

## Appendix A - Significant Historic Heritage Values – section 32AA assessment

This table sets out only the provisions of the notified proposed Plan on this topic **for which submissions were specifically received**. This table does not include provisions for which no specific submissions were received but that may be affected by consequential amendments. Where the officer has recommended amendments, these are set out below. Additions to the notified text are in underline and deletions are ~~strike-through~~ text. The section 32AA assessment follows alongside for each of the provisions where amendments have been recommended by the officer. If the officer does not recommend any changes, the provision appears in grey.

Red text amendments = recommendations from the officer's s42A report

Blue text amendments = updated recommendations from the officer's Right of Reply

Note that requests for **new** provisions are not included in these tables.

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter      | Provision  | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
|                              | 3 Objectives | Objective O34: Significant historic heritage values                                    |  |  |
|                              | 4 Policies   | Policy P46: Managing adverse effects on sites with significant historic heritage value |  |  |
|                              |              |  | <p><u>Policy P46A: Managing adverse effects on historic heritage values forming a Maritime Heritage Area</u></p> <p><u>To recognise the historic heritage values that are located within the CMA of Lambton Harbour, and to manage the effects of the use and development on the historic heritage values of the items identified in Schedule E6: Lambton Harbour Heritage Area, so that:</u></p> <p><u>a. the location and form of the reclamation edges, finger wharves and wharf edges is maintained; and</u></p> <p><u>b. the scale and design of any structures</u></p> | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The additional policy P46A manages the historic heritage values of Lambton Harbour, while recognising that changes have occurred to the items in Schedule E6 , and therefore these should be subject to a different policy approach that manages further change in a manner that recognises the heritage values of the wider area</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>Both the costs and benefits associated with recognising and providing for the heritage values of Lambton Harbour are increased. The potential benefits associated with the rmanaging heritage values in the coastal marine area are considered to be higher than the potential costs of</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter    | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|------------|---|--|---|
|                              |            |   | <p><u>proposed to be built or located on the wharves recognise the historic heritage character, development and associations of the area; and</u></p> <p><u>c. any demolition and replacement maintains the heritage values and character of the heritage area, and any unique or special materials and/or craftsmanship are retained in situ, or stored for re-use if practicable; and</u></p> <p><u>d. interconnections and linkages between sites are not significantly altered or lost; and</u></p> <p><u>e. any adjacent significant historic heritage values are unlikely to be adversely affected; and</u></p> <p><u>f. has a complimentary relationship with the historic heritage identified in Lambton Harbour and surrounds, and</u></p> <p><u>g. has particular regard is given to the maritime use of the wharves, including historic use of the wharves and access to, from and along the coastal marine area.</u></p> | <p>amending proposals to manage potential and cumulative adverse effects on these historic heritage items.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The regulatory costs are likely to increase over the notified version of the proposed Plan.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>The risk of acting or not acting is considered to be low, There is sufficient information about the historic heritage values to justify managing potential effects of changes to items in Schedule E on the heritage values in the CMA of Lambton Harbour.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b></p> <p>The additional policy P46A addresses a resource management issue, manages potential effects on historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of the plan. Therefore, the additional Policy P46A and associated Schedule E6 are considered to be the most appropriate option.</p> |
|                              | 4 Policies | <p><b>CentrePort Supplementary</b><br/>Centreport Dual Zone</p> | <p><u>CentrePort Supplementary</u><br/><u>Centreport Dual Zone: Railway Wharf and Waterloo Quay Wharf:</u><br/><u>Seeking amended Policies P47 and P47, new Policy P47A: Amended rules</u></p>   | <p><b>The submission of CentrePort does not have scope for the changes sought.</b></p> <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The additional plan framework sought by CentrePort fails to implement Objective O34, and is uncertain.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>The costs to the environment associated with a failure to recognise and provide for the significant heritage values of Scheduled items are increased. The potential benefits associated with recognising and providing for significant heritage values in the coastal marine area are</p>  |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter    | Provision                          | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
|                              |            |                                    |  | <p>considered to be lower than the potential costs.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The regulatory costs are likely to be the same as the notified version of the proposed Plan.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>There is sufficient information about the historic heritage values to justify managing potential effects of changes to items in Schedule E on the heritage values in the CMA of Lambton Harbour.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b></p> <p>The plan framework sought by CentrePort fails to implement Objective O34, is uncertain and is not considered to be the most appropriate option.</p>   |
|                              | 4 Policies | Policy P47: Appropriate demolition | <p><b>Policy P47: Appropriate demolition</b></p> <p>Demolition, <a href="#">partial demolition</a> or removal of a structure with significant historic heritage value identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds), Schedule E3 (navigation aids), or Schedule E5 (freshwater heritage) is inappropriate except where the structure:</p> <p>(a) is substantially damaged by fire or natural hazard, and/or</p> <p>(b) poses a significant risk to human safety, and</p> <p>(c) it is not reasonably practicable to repair it.</p> <p>Note: Applications for demolition should consider any relevant matters of Policy P46.</p> | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The addition of 'partial demolition in Policy P47 implements Objective O34 by managing the potential effects on significant historic heritage values of items in Schedule E1 to E3, or E5.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>The costs and benefits are unchanged. Partial demolition is a subset of demolition, and the regulatory effect is unchanged.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>The risk of acting or not acting is considered to be moderate. There is sufficient information about the historic heritage values of the items in Schedule E1 to E3 or E5.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b></p> <p>The addition of partial demolition to Policy P47 is considered to be the</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments   | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|---------|---|---|--|
|                              |         |   |   | most appropriate option.   |
|                              | 5 Rules | Rule R149: Maintenance or repair of structures – permitted activity <sup>1</sup>            |   |  |
|                              | 5 Rules | Rule R165: Additions or alterations to existing seawalls – controlled activity <sup>2</sup> | <p>Rule R165: Additions or alterations to existing seawalls – controlled activity</p> <p>The addition or alteration to an existing seawall and the associated use of the addition in the coastal marine area, including any associated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and</li> <li>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</li> <li>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</li> <li>(d) discharge of contaminants, and</li> <li>(e) diversion of open coastal water is a controlled activity, provided the following conditions are met:</li> <li>(f) any addition shall add no more than 5m in horizontal projection and 1m in vertical projection to the structure as it existed on the date of public notification of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (31.07.2015), and</li> <li>(g) the addition shall not extend any further seaward than the existing seawall, <b>and</b></li> <li>(h) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified</li> </ul> | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The alternative rule is efficient and effective because it is focussed on managing potential adverse effects of additions, and alterations to seawalls of regional significant</p> <p>A controlled activity rule is not the most efficient and effective in for implementing the Objective O34. There are seawalls that have regionally significant historic heritage values, and a controlled activity would not provide sufficient control to protect those values from inappropriate use and development, and would not be able to manage cumulative effects from additions and alterations.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>Adverse effects on identified historic heritage places will be assessed and managed through a more appropriate discretionary activity rule (Rule R171). The potential benefits associated with the retention of significant heritage values in the coastal marine area are considered to be higher than the potential costs of amending proposals to alter and extend the seawall to manage potential and cumulative adverse effects on these historic heritage items.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The regulatory costs will increase over the notified version of the proposed</p> |

<sup>1</sup> Submissions assessed in RMA section 42A Report: Management of the CMA

<sup>2</sup> Submissions assessed in RMA section 42A Report: Management of the CMA

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments   | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|---------|---|---|---|
|                              |         |   | <p>above in Section 5.7.2, <u>and</u><br/> <u>(i) the structure is not identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) or Schedule E3 (navigation aids).</u></p> <p>Matters of control</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effects on public access</li> <li>2. Design and construction</li> <li>3. Effects on coastal natural processes including effects on shoreline stability in the vicinity and adjacent areas</li> <li>4. Effects on a site or habitat identified in Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule F4 (coastal sites), Schedule F5 (coastal habitats), Schedule J (geological features) or Schedule K (surf breaks)</li> <li><del>5. Effects on the heritage values of structures identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) or Schedule E3 (navigational aids)</del></li> </ol> | <p>Plan.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>The risk of acting is low, and the risk of not acting is considered to be moderate to high, because of the risk of the loss of regionally significant historic heritage values through additions and extensions. There is sufficient information about the significant historic heritage values to justify control potential effects through a discretionary activity rule.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b></p> <p>The alternative Rule 165 addresses a resource management issue, manages regionally significant historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of Rule R165. Therefore, the alternative Rule R165 is considered to be efficient and effective in implementing Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47.</p> |
|                              | 5 Rules | Rule R168A:<br>Maintenance or repair of structures – permitted activity | <p><b>Option 1 :</b></p> <p><u>Rule R168A: Maintenance or repair of structures in Schedule E1, E2 and E3 – permitted activity</u></p> <p><u>The maintenance or repair of a structure in the coastal marine area, including any associated:</u></p> <p><u>(a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and</u></p> <p><u>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</u></p> <p><u>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</u></p>   | <p><b><u>Option 1: repair and maintenance of historic heritage Rule R168A</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effectiveness and efficiency</u></b></p> <p>The alternative Rule R168A duplicates Rule R148, which applied in the proposed Plan as notified. The duplicate rule transfers the permitted activity providing for repair and maintenance to sit alongside the other rules that implement Objective O34.</p> <p>Alternative Rule R168A allows the maintenance and repair of scheduled historic heritage in Schedules E1, E2 and E3 subject to conditions that are considered to be efficient and effective in enabling regionally</p>  |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|--|---|
|                              |         |           | <p><u>(d) discharge of contaminants, and</u><br/> <u>(e) diversion of open coastal water</u><br/> <u>is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <p><u>(f) for structures identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) and Schedule E3 (navigation aids) E2 or E3 the materials used for maintenance and repair of the structure shall use the same materials or match the existing structures in texture, form profile, design and appearance, and does not involve any other alteration of, addition to, or demolition of any structural element and</u></p> <p><u>(g) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified above in Section 5.7.2.</u></p> <p><u>Note</u><br/> <u>Repainting is permitted by this rule and this rule applies to structures listed in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) and Schedule E3 (navigation aids)</u></p> | <p>significant historic heritage to be kept in good repair, and to controlling the amount of change and loss of heritage fabric. The amended condition R168A(f) is more efficient and effective in managing the potential effects on significant historic heritage values.</p> <p><b><u>Costs and Benefits:</u></b><br/> The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The permitted activity manages the potential regulatory costs by enabling work to occur that assists with the long term retention of regionally significant historic heritage.</p> <p><b><u>Risk of acting or not acting</u></b><br/> The risk of acting or not acting is considered to be low, because providing for repair and maintenance promotes good asset management practices, and reduces the risk of the loss of regionally significant historic heritage values through deterioration and neglect.</p> <p><b><u>Decision about most appropriate option</u></b><br/> The alternative Rule 168A addresses a resource management issue, manages regionally significant historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of Rule R165. Therefore, the alternative Rule R168A is considered to be efficient and effective in implementing Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47.</p> |
|                              |         |           | <p><b>Option 2: Repair and Maintenance of heritage structures: Amend Rule R149(g) to apply to Schedule E1 to E3</b></p> <p>Amend Rule R149: Maintenance or repair of structures – permitted activity</p>   | <p><b><u>Option 2: repair and maintenance of historic heritage Rule R149(g)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effectiveness and efficiency</u></b><br/> The efficiency and effectiveness of implementing Objective O34 by amending <b>Rule R149(g)</b> is similar to that of Option 1: the new rule</p>  |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|--|---|
|                              |         |           | <p>The maintenance or repair of a structure in the coastal marine area, including any associated:</p> <p>(a) occupation of space in the <b>common marine and coastal area</b>, and</p> <p>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(d) discharge of contaminants, and</p> <p>(e) diversion of open coastal water is a <i>permitted</i> activity, provided the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(f) the maintenance and repair of the structure is contained within the form of the existing structure and there is no increase in length, width, or height of the existing structure (except for increases for the purposes of replacement, removal and alterations of existing <a href="#">utility services, electric or</a><sup>3</sup> aerial telecommunications cables/<a href="#">conductors/pipelines</a><sup>4</sup> where these activities will not result in increases in design voltage and the new or altered cables/<a href="#">conductors/pipelines</a><sup>5</sup> will not be lower in height above the foreshore or seabed), and</p> <p>(g) for structures identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), <a href="#">Schedule E2 (Historic</a></p> | <p>R168A. There is a degree of increased effectiveness as locating a rule dealing with repair and maintenance alongside the other rules that apply to historic heritage structures assists users of the plan to understand the extent of changes requiring consent.</p> <p><b><u>Costs and Benefits:</u></b><br/>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p> <p><b><u>Risk of acting or not acting</u></b><br/>The risk of acting or not acting is similar to that of Option 1: the new rule R168A.</p> <p><b><u>Decision about most appropriate option</u></b><br/>Option 1: the alternative Rule 168A is considered to be the most appropriate option.</p> |

<sup>3</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Coastal Management prepared by Mr Denton

<sup>4</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Coastal Management prepared by Mr Denton

<sup>5</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Coastal Management prepared by Mr Denton

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision  | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment) |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|---|
|                              |         |  | <p><a href="#">heritage wharves and boatsheds</a>), <a href="#">Schedule E3 (heritage navigation aids)</a><sup>6</sup> the materials used for maintenance and repair of the structure <a href="#">shall use the same materials</a> or match the existing structures in <a href="#">texture</a><sup>7</sup>, form <a href="#">profile</a>, <a href="#">design</a><sup>8</sup> and appearance, <a href="#">and does not involve any other alteration of, addition to, or demolition of any structural element</a><sup>9</sup> and</p> <p>(h) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified above in Section 5.7.2.</p> <p>Note: Repainting is permitted by this rule <a href="#">and this rule applies to structures listed in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) and Schedule E3 (navigation aids) and structures in the Commercial Port Area.</a></p> |   |
|                              | 5 Rules | Rule R168: Alteration of structures identified in Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – permitted |  |   |

<sup>6</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Significant historic heritage prepared by Ms Legarth: Option 2 (alternative option to adding new permitted activity rule R168A in the heritage structures Coastal Management section 5.7.7

<sup>7</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Significant historic heritage prepared by Ms Legarth: Option 2 (alternative option to adding new permitted activity rule R168A in the heritage structures Coastal Management section 5.7.7

<sup>8</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Significant historic heritage prepared by Ms Legarth: Option 2 (alternative option to adding new permitted activity rule R168A in the heritage structures Coastal Management section 5.7.7

<sup>9</sup> HS6 Right of Reply: Significant historic heritage prepared by Ms Legarth: Option 2 (alternative option to adding new permitted activity rule R168A in the heritage structures Coastal Management section 5.7.7

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments   | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|---------|---|---|--|
|                              |         | activity  |   |  |
|                              |         | <p>Rule R169: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1 or Schedule E2 – restricted discretionary activity</p> | <p>Rule R169: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1 or Schedule E2 – restricted discretionary activity</p> <p>The addition or alteration to a structure identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures) or Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) and the associated use of the addition in the coastal marine area, including any associated:</p> <p>(a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and</p> <p>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(d) discharge of contaminants that is not permitted by Rule R168, is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(e) the structure is not a seawall, and</p> <p>(f) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified above in Section 5.7.2.</p> <p>Matters for discretion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use of the structure</li> <li>2. Effects on public access</li> <li>3. Effects on public open space and visual amenity</li> <li>4. Effects of disturbance, deposition and</li> </ol> | <p><b><u>Effectiveness and efficiency</u></b></p> <p>The alternative Rule R169 includes an additional Matter for Discretion to ensure the potential effects on ecology from additions, alterations (including extensions) to structures with significant historic heritage values also considers the effects on the seabed and marine environment in the coastal marine area. The General Coastal Conditions do not specifically control potential effects on the habitats and ecology on the coastal marine area.</p> <p><b><u>Costs and Benefits:</u></b></p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p> <p><b><u>Risk of acting or not acting</u></b></p> <p>The risk of acting or not acting is cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p> <p><b><u>Decision about most appropriate option</u></b></p> <p>The additional Matter for Discretion in alternative Rule 169 addresses a resource management issue, and ensures the potential effects that may arise from activities associated with regionally significant historic heritage are assessed and avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>It would be more efficient and effective to include a General Coastal Condition that ensures the effects on the seabed and marine habitats are considered as part of all restricted discretionary activities. Alternative Rule R 169 ensures the potential effects of additions and alterations to historic heritage structures in the CMA are managed in accordance with RMA section 5(c) and section 17. The alternative Rule R169 does not address a matter that implements Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47, but does address a potential issue arising from activities associated</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision  | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
|                              |         |  | <p>discharge associated with construction</p> <p>5. Effects on the historic heritage values of structures identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures) or Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds)</p> <p>6. Lighting and noise</p> <p>7. Effects on coastal natural processes including effects on shoreline stability in the vicinity and adjacent areas</p> <p><u>8. Effects on the ecology and habitats in the CMA.</u></p> <p>Note Additions or alterations to seawalls are either a controlled activity under Rule R165, a discretionary activity under Rule R166 <u>or Rule R171</u> or a non-complying activity under Rule R167.</p> | with changes to historic heritage in the CMA..   |
|                              |         | Rule R170: Additions to structures identified in Schedule E3 – permitted activity  |  |  |
|                              |         | Rule R171: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1, Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – discretionary activity | <p>Rule R171: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1, Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – discretionary activity</p> <p>The addition or alteration to a structure identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds) or Schedule E3 (navigation aids) and the associated use of the addition in the coastal</p>   | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The alternative rule is a consequential change, and is considered to be efficient and effective because it improves the workability of the recommended changes to the proposed Plan.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>Adverse effects on identified historic heritage places will be assessed and managed through a more appropriate discretionary activity rule (Rule</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments   | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|---------|---|---|--|
|                              |         |   | <p>marine area, including any associated:</p> <p>(a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and</p> <p>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</p> <p>(d) discharge of contaminants</p> <p>that is not permitted by Rule R168, <a href="#">R168A</a> or Rule R170 <a href="#">or controlled by Rule R165</a>, or restricted discretionary under Rule R169 is a discretionary activity.</p> | <p>R171). The potential benefits associated with the retention of significant heritage values in the coastal marine area are considered to be higher than the potential costs of amending proposals to alter and extend the seawall to manage potential and cumulative adverse effects on these historic heritage items.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The regulatory costs will increase over the notified version of the proposed Plan.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>The risk of acting is low, and the risk of not acting is considered to be moderate to high, because of the risk of the loss of regionally significant historic heritage values through additions and extensions. There is sufficient information about the significant historic heritage values to justify control potential effects through a discretionary activity rule.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b></p> <p>The alternative Rule 171 addresses a resource management issue, manages regionally significant historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of Rule R171. Therefore, the alternative Rule R171 is considered to be efficient and effective in implementing Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47.</p> |
|                              |         | <p>Rule R172: Removal, demolition or replacement of structures or parts of structures identified in Schedule E1, Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 –</p> |   |  |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision              | Text of provision with any recommended amendments   | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|---------|------------------------|---|---|
|                              |         | discretionary activity |   |   |
|                              |         |                        | <p><b>Rule R173: Additions or alterations to structures inside a Commercial Port Area – permitted activity</b></p> <p>The addition or alteration to a structure inside a Commercial Port Area shown on Map 32, Map 33 and Map 34 and the associated use of the addition in the coastal marine area, including any associated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and</li> <li>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and</li> <li>(c) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and</li> <li>(d) discharge of contaminants, and</li> <li>(e) diversion of open coastal water</li> </ul> <p>is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(f) the structure is not inside a site or habitat identified in Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule F4 (coastal sites) or Schedule F5 (coastal habitats), and</li> <li>(g) the addition and alteration shall add no more than 30m horizontal projection and 10m vertical projection to the structure, as it existed on the date of public notification of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (31.07.2015), <a href="#">and</a></li> </ul> | <p><b><u>Additions and alterations to historic heritage in the CPA Rule R173(ga)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Effectiveness and efficiency</u></b></p> <p>The efficiency and effectiveness of implementing Objective O34 by adding <b>Rule R1173(ga)</b> ensures that Miramar Wharf (included as notified) and Glasgow wharf (recommended for inclusion) is increased compared with the notified version of the rule.</p> <p><b><u>Costs and Benefits:</u></b></p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The benefits of providing for management of potential effects on significant historic heritage are increased, and outweigh the costs. The regulatory costs are likely to be increased.</p> <p><b><u>Risk of acting or not acting</u></b></p> <p>The risk of not acting is that the significant historic heritage values may be lost.</p> <p><b><u>Decision about most appropriate option</u></b></p> <p>The addition of Rule R173(ga) addresses a resource management issue, manages regionally significant historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of Rule R173. Therefore, the alternative Rule R173(g) is considered to be efficient and effective in implementing Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47.</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter   | Provision                                      | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)   |
|------------------------------|-----------|--|--|---|
|                              |           |  | <p>(ga) the structure is not identified in <a href="#">Schedule E1 (heritage structures) or Schedule E3 (navigation aids)</a>, and</p> <p>(h) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified above in Section 5.7.2.</p>   |   |
|                              | 6 Methods | Method M23: Archaeological discovery protocols |  |   |
|                              | 6 Methods | Method M23A                                    | <p><a href="#">Method M23A: Archaeological Authority requirements under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014</a></p> <p><a href="#">When applications are received for ground disturbance activities near recorded archaeological sites, the Wellington Regional Council will advise consent holders that there are also separate consent requirements for the modification or destruction of an archaeological site under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. This may be by including an Advice Note in conditions in resource consents.</a></p> | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The alternative Method M23A is efficient and effective because it assists with the management of archaeological sites, and the potential for irreversible loss</p> <p>A controlled activity rule is not the most efficient and effective in for implementing the Objective O34. There are seawalls that have regionally significant historic heritage values, and a controlled activity would not provide sufficient control to protect those values from inappropriate use and development, and would not be able to manage cumulative effects from additions and alterations.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>The alternative Method M23A assists with streamlining regulatory processes and the interface across two pieces of legislation managing archaeology of regional significance.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b></p> <p>The risk of acting is low, and the risk of not acting is considered to be low, because there is sufficient information about regionally significant</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter      | Provision   | Text of provision with any recommended amendments            | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
|                              |              |   |  | <p>archaeology to justify the Method.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b><br/> The additional Method M23A addresses a resource management issue, manages regionally significant historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other legislation is considered to be efficient and effective in implementing Objective O34 and Policies P46 and P47.</p> |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E: Sites with significant historic heritage values | Amend heading: <a href="#">Summary of</a> Significant Values | Minor change   |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E1: Historic heritage structures                   |  |  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E2: Historic heritage wharves and boatsheds        | Add <a href="#">Glasgow Wharf</a>                            | <p>The change is considered to be more efficient and effective, to give effect to the RPS Policy 21, and is the most appropriate option for implementing Objective O34 Significant Historic Heritage because the amended summary better describes the significant historic heritage values.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.</p>  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E2: Historic heritage wharves and boatsheds        | Amend description of Railway (Interisland) Wharf             | The change is considered to be more efficient and effective because the amended summary better describes the significant historic heritage values.   |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E2: Historic heritage wharves and boatsheds        | Amend description of Waterloo Quay Wharf                     | The change is considered to be more efficient and effective because the amended summary better describes the significant historic heritage values  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E3: Historic heritage navigation aids              |  |  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E4:  | Add <a href="#">Rangitatau Pa</a>                            | The change is considered to be more efficient and effective, to give effect to the RPS Policy 21, and is the most appropriate option for implementing  |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter      | Provision                                       | Text of provision with any recommended amendments  | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
|                              |              | Archaeological sites                            |  | Objective O34 Significant Historic Heritage because the amended summary better describes the significant historic heritage values. The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms.  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E5: Historic heritage freshwater sites |  |  |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E5: Historic heritage freshwater sites | Amend Ladle Bend Bridge description of location  | Minor change   |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E5: Historic heritage freshwater sites | Delete Ngatiawa Bridge   | Minor change   |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E5: Historic heritage freshwater sites | Amend Pakuratahi Bridge description of its location  | Minor change   |
|                              | 12 Schedules | Schedule E6: Lambton harbour heritage area      | <p>Add Schedule E6: Lambton harbour heritage area</p> <p><a href="#">Kings Wharf*</a></p> <p><a href="#">Tug Wharf*</a></p> <p><a href="#">Reclamation edges *</a></p> <p><a href="#">Wharves and wharf edges*</a></p> <p><a href="#">Link Span (also called Customs Post Building)*</a></p> <p><a href="#">Overseas Passenger terminal*</a></p> | <p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b></p> <p>The additional Schedule identifies items that have historic heritage values in the CMA of Lambton Harbour that are of interest to the community, while recognising that changes have occurred.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits:</b></p> <p>Both the costs and benefits associated with recognising and providing for the heritage values of Lambton Harbour are increased. The potential benefits associated with the managing heritage values in the coastal marine area are considered to be higher than the potential costs of amending proposals to manage potential and cumulative adverse effects on these historic heritage items.</p> <p>The costs and benefits cannot be quantified in monetary terms. The regulatory costs are likely to increase over the notified version of the proposed Plan.</p> |

| Amendment no./Submission no. | Chapter | Provision  | Text of provision with any recommended amendments                        | Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)  |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
|                              |         |  |  | <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b><br/>The risk of acting or not acting is considered to be low, There is sufficient information about the historic heritage values to justify managing potential effects of changes to items in Schedule E on the heritage values in the CMA of Lambton Harbour.</p> <p><b>Decision about most appropriate option</b><br/>The inclusion of Schedule E6 assists with the management of potential effects on historic heritage in a manner that is consistent with RMA s.6(f) and, together with other provisions, gives better effect to the higher order planning documents than the notified version of the plan. Therefore, the additional Policy P46A and associated Schedule E6 are considered to be the most appropriate option.</p> |
|                              | 13 Maps | Map 8: Historic heritage structures (Schedule E1)            |  |  |
|                              | 13 Maps | Map 8: Insert Historic heritage structures (Schedule E1)     | Amend title Map <a href="#">8A</a> : Insert Historic heritage structures | Minor change   |
|                              | 13 Maps | Map 9: Historic heritage wharves and boatsheds (Schedule E2) | Correct map of Aberdeen Quay Seawall                                     | Minor change   |
|                              | 13 Maps | Map 10: Historic heritage navigation aids (Schedule E3)      |  |  |

