



Report 09.247
Date 28 April 2009
File PK/10/03/05

Committee Regional Sustainability Committee
Author Sonia Chisholm, Advisor - Planning and Policy

Consideration of submissions for the draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008

1. Purpose

To consider written submissions to the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan* and highlight the key issues of both the written and oral submissions.

2. Significance of the decision

The matters for decision in this report do not trigger the significance policy of the Council or otherwise trigger section 76(3)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2002*.

3. Background

The *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan* review began in February 2006. The draft *Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan* was written under the now repealed provisions of the *Local Government Act 1974* and consultation on it has broadly followed the statutory process set out in that Act and the *Reserves Act 1977*. As part of this process, the Wellington Regional Sustainability Committee is to receive public submissions and to conduct hearings related to this management plan.

A Parks and Forests Hearing Subcommittee heard submissions on the draft *Battle Hill Farm Forest Management Plan* on 27 June 2007. A second round of consultation on this draft plan was recommended and initiated by the Parks, Forests and Utilities Committee on 24 October 2008 (Report No. 08.759). The submission period for this round of consultation ran from early December 2008 to 2 March 2009.

Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) received 43 written submissions. Fifteen oral submissions were heard by the Regional Sustainability Committee on 16 April 2009 (Report No. 09.208).

4. The consideration of oral and written submissions

The oral submissions were heard and considered on 16 April. A summary has been provided within section 5 of this report to highlight the “key points” for deliberation of both the oral and written submissions.

The written submissions will need to be formally considered at this meeting. However, any deliberations or decisions are to be made at a future Wellington Regional Sustainability Committee meeting.

Only those members of the Committee who heard the oral submissions should be involved in the consideration and deliberation on the submissions, and in the formulation of any recommendations made as a result of those deliberations.

The summary of submissions is attached in Attachment 1.

5. Key issues of the submissions

5.1 Introduction

GWRC has received 43 written submissions. A number of issues that were raised by submitters were similar in nature. These concerns have been grouped together below for their consideration.

5.2 Wind farm related activities - support

Two submissions support the wind farm related activities, with recommendations for some minor changes. These submissions:

- Support the changes of the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan* regarding wind farm activities and support the development of Puketiro wind farm.
- Consider that as a prohibited activity wind turbines within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park are recognised as “activities that would have long-term adverse effects on the environment”. The submitters believe that this statement is inappropriate as wind farms do not universally result in long-term adverse effects on the environment.

5.3 Wind farm related activities - opposed

Thirty-three submissions oppose the use of the park for wind farm related activities, especially the wind farm access and infrastructure required for the wind farm development. Points made by these submitters were that:

- The submitters support the prohibition of wind turbines within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park.
- Activities associated with the proposed Puketiro wind farm are inconsistent with the vision regarding protection of the park’s landscape values from excessive development.

- The wind farm will introduce visual pollution into the natural landscape of the park and will reduce the open space character of the park.
- The inclusion of wind farm provisions introduces a commercial interest into an area that is of historical and environmental significance, and is designated for recreational purposes. The wind farm provisions are inconsistent with the purpose for which the park was purchased.
- The construction of a major access road through the park will change the character of the park. It will restrict the right of access for the public and local communities. Allowing access to the wind farm will introduce significant industrial development into a natural area for commercial benefit.
- Wind turbine flyover should not be allowed to encroach into Battle Hill Farm Forest Park. The siting of wind turbines close to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park's boundary will reduce the scenic value of the park.
- The nature, scale and size of the roads for wind farm construction will increase the risk of erosion and sedimentation impacting on the Horokiri Stream and Pauatahanui Inlet.
- GWRC is acting outside its powers and from a position of predetermination and bias as the wind farm activities cannot be considered to have been approved before the consultation process has been completed.
- GWRC should postpone the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan* process until Plan Change 7 of the Porirua District Plan is finalised.
- Wind farm access to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park should be revoked and the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park not be made available for wind farm related activities, and all such activities should be classified as prohibited.

5.4 Access road for logging and/or the wind farm

Two submitters oppose the proposed road through the park for logging and/or the wind farm but did not mention any of the wind farm related activities. These matters are summarised as follows. These submissions:

- Consider that the park should remain "as is" without an additional roadway, as an extra road is unacceptable and will result in heavy vehicles using Paekakariki Road, Haywards Hill Road and Pauatahanui Village.
- Question why a road is required through a park for the logging of another park and consider that felled trees should be transported out of the park via existing routes and roadways.

5.5 Other matters raised

Six submitters raised other matters and noted errors and inconsistencies found within the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan*. They recommend technical amendments to the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan*.

Ngati Toa generally supports the *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan*. They also acknowledge the access that is required for Puketiro wind farm but believes further discussion is required in relation to the access.

The matters within the other submissions are summarised as follows:

- The plan should be amended to reflect the changes for the future requirements of the Transmission Gully motorway project.
- The minor upgrading of network utilities should be specifically provided for.
- The *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan* does not consider the surrounding land uses and possibilities. Seeks consistency with naming the bush remnant.

6. Next steps

The final deliberations of these submissions and the formulation of any recommendations will occur at a future Regional Sustainability Committee meeting.

7. Recommendations

That the Committee:

1. **Receives and notes** the content of the report.
2. **Notes** the key issues raised in the submissions, as outlined in section 5 of this report.
3. **Considers** the written submissions for the draft *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008*.
4. **Notes** that deliberations on the submissions will occur and any recommended changes to the draft *Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008* will be made at a future meeting of the Regional Sustainability Committee.

Report prepared by:

Sonia Chisholm
Advisor - Planning and Policy

Report approved by:

Murray Waititi
Manager, Parks

Report approved by:

Murray Kennedy
Divisional Manager, Water Supply,
Parks and Forests

Attachments

- 1 Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 summary of submissions

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
1	Diane and Mike Strugnell	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the Puketiro wind farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the plan) of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 2. Supports the objective on page 16. 3. In relation to objectives 2.22, 2.24 and 2.25 on page 21, it is considered that the access and infrastructure required for wind farm development is inappropriate development. 4. In relation to policy 3.12 (page 29), it is considered that the use of park for the Puketiro wind farm is inconsistent with the parks' purpose as a recreational activity. 5. The statement on page 37 "Prior to the release of the plan, GW (hereafter as 'Greater Wellington') approved making Battle Hill Park available to an independent development on commercial terms for any ancillary activities relating to the development of a wind farm...." In claiming this statement, GW acted outside of their mandate and outside of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan at the time and so their actions were ultra vires. 6. In relation to policy 3.62, it is considered that GW is acting outside of its mandate as it assumes that the public and park users concur with the approval given. GW has a conflict of interest in its ability to determine provisions for wind farm requirements within the park as it has already entered a contractual agreement with RES NZ Ltd. 7. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park." 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seek that the words <i>Puketiro wind farm</i> be deleted from the vision statement on page 6. 2. Seeks that wind farm infrastructure and access be classified as prohibited activities. 	Yes	
2	Preserve Pauatahanui Incorporated (Diane Stugnell)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the Puketiro Wind Farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan) regarding protecting the park's landscape values from excessive development. 2. In relation to policy 3.62 (page 37), believes that GW was ultra vires in entering into a contract with RES NZ Ltd that granted use for the park for access and wind farm infrastructure. Considers that GW is acting in a questionable manner, and from a position of predetermination and bias, by stating that these activities have already been approved before consultation on this Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan has been through due process. 3. That the wind farm activities cannot be considered to be approved before the consultation process has been completed in a manner that is open and without bias. 4. That the inclusion of wind farm provisions introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes that is of historic and environmental significance and that these provisions are inconsistent with the purpose for which the park was purchased and is managed. It will also change the character of the park. 5. Considers that road access for wind farm construction far exceeds those required for logging and general park use. 6. Considers that public access will be restricted through the requirements of wind farm construction and will restrict the right of access for the public and local communities. 7. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park." 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' be deleted from the vision statement on page 6. 2. Seeks that the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park not be made available for wind farm-related activities and seeks that all such activities be classified as prohibited. 	Yes	
3	Mark Phillips	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the legal action taken by Pauatahanui Futures Society, all wind farm related activities in Battle Hill were deemed to be ultra vires. Therefore pre-approving certain ancillary activities could also be deemed illegal. To include the Puketiro wind farm in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan is presumptive. 2. The inclusion of wind farms activities within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan is illegal as GW has a predetermined position concerning the Puketiro wind farm due to its contract with RES NZ Ltd which necessitates the inclusion for wind farm access and infrastructure within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that any activities related to the Puketiro wind farm not be pre-approved and not be included in this Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 2. Seeks that GW appoint an independent commissioner to oversee the process of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan to ensure it is fair and unbiased. 	Yes	
4	Judith de Wilde	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the development of the Puketiro wind farm is inconsistent with the executive summary on page 1 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 2. Considers that the Puketiro Wind Farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan) regarding protecting the park's landscape values from excessive development and providing recreational opportunities. 3. In relation to policy 3.1 (page 28), considers that wind energy operations are inconsistent with protecting the parks landscape, historical values and providing the community with open spaces. 4. Believes there is no need to transport logs out via Battle Hill. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that "and incorporates the development of the Puketiro wind farm" be deleted from the executive summary. 2. Seeks that the words "Puketiro wind farm" be deleted from the vision statement. 3. Seeks that the words "or potential wind energy operations" be deleted. 4. Seeks that paragraph 6 "the majority of trees.....to.....this is currently programmed for 2020/2021" be deleted. 	Yes	

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. In relation to policy 3.6.2, considers that GW is biased in allowing predetermined activities relating to wind farm development. 6. Supports prohibition of the construction of wind turbines in the park. 7. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park". 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Requests that background information, text box and policy 3.6.2 be deleted. 6. Seeks to add that <u>all</u> wind farm related activities be prohibited. 7. Seeks that the access road be deleted from the map. 8. Seeks that the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan not be made available for wind farm-related activities and seek that all such activities be classified as prohibited. 		
5	Lisa Kouges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In relation to page 31/41 text box 4, questions why a road is required to be planned through a park for the logging of the park. 2. Considers having a road through the park goes against the idea of a park. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks not to allow any heavy vehicles access through the park. 2. Why do we have to log the park? Why can't it grow and develop into a full forest. 	No	
6	Alan Bloomfield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Believes providing access to a commercial wind farm with construction of a major road and providing a right of way for transmission lines is contrary to stewardship of the park land. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that for all references to providing access by road construction or erection of power lines to be deleted. 	Yes	
7	Dianne Boyack	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Believes policy 3.33 (page 35) is very subjective. 2. As in Policy 3.37 (page 35), considers the effects of forestry could have an impact on Pauatahanui inlet and Horokiwi Stream. 3. Considers Battle Hill to be one of the few places to camp safely. 4. Considers that forestry activities and wind farming access should not be allowed to transport through the park. 5. Considers all references to Puketiro wind farm development should be removed. 6. In relation to policy 3.62, it is considered that ancillary activities related to the wind farm should not be allowed. 7. Believes that the community is not in favour of the wind farm and were not consulted. 8. In relation to policy 3.63, considers major roading through the park not acceptable. Further detail in submission should be noted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to submission for detail on recommendation for policy 3.33 and 3.37. 2. Table 2 (page 37) – Seeks that camping be included in a managed activity in all areas of Battle Hill 3. Paragraph 6 (page 38) - Seeks that when logging occurs alternative access is available through private land and/or existing logging roads through Cooks road. 4. As the public were no consulted nor the community involved with the wind farm then all connections with the Puketiro wind farm should be deleted. 5. Seeks the prohibition of all ancillary activities associated with wind farm development and access. 6. Seeks the prohibition of access to wind turbine within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and neighbouring properties. 	Yes	
8	Ian Flux and Merryl Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In relation to page 11, "wind generation plants" have nothing to do with "farm" they are an industrial activity and is inappropriate and contrary to the function of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Believes that in relation to policy 3.62, there should not be used to legalise wind generation related permits as "already approved". These "approvals" were wrong and must be revisited with full public consultation. 2. Strongly opposes policy 3.62 that allows for road access to the Puketiro wind farm. Considers that the permanent road will disfigure the landscape, detract from visitor appeal and we do not consider this is in keeping with the purposes for which regional parks were established. 	No	
9	Steven Nutt and Susan Linda Hoyle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In relation to the vision on page 6, the inclusion of Puketiro wind farm is opposed under the heading "USE" as it is not compatible with the vision statement. 2. Considers that the nature, scale and size of the roads for wind farm construction will result in a devastating risk to the Horokiwi Stream and the Pauatahanui Inlet. 3. Considers that the road access for wind farm traffic would severely restrict the public access and use of Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan contrary to other statements in the management plan regarding access. 4. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park". 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro wind farm' be deleted from the vision statement. 2. Seeks that policy 3.62 be deleted and the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park not be used for any wind farm related activities. 3. Seeks that wind turbines and any other activity and infrastructure associated with a wind farm be classified as prohibited. 	No	
10	Moonshine Residents Association Incorporated (Andrew Hutson)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that any industrial plant is inappropriate in a park. 2. In relation to policy 3.61, oppose allowing a road access to any wind farm development. Roading access is excessive, on going and will seriously undermine the intention of policy 3.2 "To minimise the impact of any necessary restrictions on public access" 3. Objects to the wind turbines, based on their location relative to where people live. 4. Considers section 3.62 legitimises approval for permissions already approved. 5. Supports section 3.63. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks removal of the words "wind farm development" on page 15. 2. Opposes allowing road access in policy 3.61. 3. Opposes section 3.62. 4. Seeks changing "siting of wind turbines" to "construction of" in section 3.63. 	Yes	

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
11	Coralie Walton	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the Puketiro wind farm will use the Battle Hill reserve as an access route. This will mean that horse riding in the time of construction will be cancelled or severely restricted. 2. GW has not given regard to the safety of horse riders for when the Puketiro wind farm is constructed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the Battle Hill tracks are not used as the access to the Puketiro wind farm. 	No	
12	Ms Nicola Chapman	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' objective relating to network utilities be deleted from the vision statement within the text box 1 on page 6. 2. Considers that the executive summary on page 2 be deleted as is inconsistent with other visions for the plan. 3. Seeks that the policies in relation to wind farms within the management plan is consistent with those in the proposed amendments to the PCC District plan as indicated in PCC plan change 7 (see submission for details). 4. Opposes policy 1.10 on page 13 as is inconsistent with the Councils duty under s138 of the Local Government Act 2002 (see submission for details). 5. Opposes policy 1.11 on page 13 and as is opposed to disposal of park land. 6. Requests amendments to policies 1.16 and 1.18 to minimise impacts of developments on the park. 7. Supports policies 2.1-2.11, 2nd objective on page 20, policy 2.25 on page 21 and policies 3.4 and 3.5 on page 28 (see submission for details). 8. Fully supports policy 3.63 in relation to "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park" (see submission for details). 9. Supports the priority of the "LTCCP in relation to maintaining the regional parks" as on page 9 (see submission for details). 10. Requests amendment for paragraph 2 on page 20, policy 3.1 on page 28, policy 3.12 on page 29, policy 3.33 on page 31, policy 3.46 on page 32, policy 3.63 on page 37 (see submission for details). 11. Requests deletion of paragraph 5 of page 20, some of paragraph 6 on page 20 policy 3.3 on page 28, policy 3.34 on page 31, all of the background information within the text box on page 37 and policy 3.6.2 on page 37 (see submission for details). 12. Recommends an addition for policy 2.22 on page 21, for policy 2.24 on page 21 and for table 2 on page 33. Considers that amendments should be made to landscape and geological values section, as well as policies 2.22 and 2.24, as parks should be natural landscapes. 13. Opposes textbox 4 on page 34 and recommends amendments to it (refer to submission for details). 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks the revocation of the approval for wind farm access to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and once this has occurred open it up as a genuine matter for consultation. 2. Seeks that if the management plan is progressed then the various changes to the plan as requested by the submitter, take action to revoke the pre-approval of ancillary activities and include within the plan a moratorium on all wind farm associated activities within the plan until the Porirua City District Plan plan change is finalised and its policies included in the management plan. 3. Seeks that GWRC postpones consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan until such time that the policies and objectives in Porirua City District Plan proposed plan change 7 are finalised. Alternatively if (1) and (3) cannot occur that: 4. That the GWRC undertake the action request at point 2 and make all of the requested changes to the plan as proposed by the submitter (as outlined in the submission). 	Yes	
13	Roger and Pauline Procter	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes the Puketiro wind farm and believes that the use of Battle Hill for wind farm activities is inconsistent with the values and purpose of the park. 2. Consider that Puketiro wind farm will introduce visual pollution into the natural landscape of the park. 3. Believes that the Puketiro wind farm introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes. 4. Considers the construction of a major access road through the park will change the character of the park, increase the risk of erosion and sedimentation and restrict the right of access to the public and local communities. 5. Believes that the recreational and environmental values of the park are inconsistent with the development of Puketiro wind farm. 6. Considers that on page 6 in relation to the text box 1, is inconsistent with other visions for the park. 7. Notes that the management plan must comply with Porirua City Council District Plan and so needs to be consistent with the proposed policies. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The submitter seeks that under section 3.63 the plan prohibits the construction of any wind farm in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 2. The submitter seeks a plan change to the Battle Hill Management Plan so that it prohibits the building or development of any wind farm in any area visible from Whitby or any other significant urban area. 3. The submitter seeks a number of changes to the policies as detailed in the submission. 	No	
14	Ron and Tricia Segal	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes the use of Battle Hill farm forest park for industrial wind farm access. 2. Considers that wind farm development is not an appropriate use of a park. 3. Opposes the description of Puketiro wind farm as "approved" by GW as we this has been withdrawn due to a legal challenge and whose approval is subject to re-consultation that we had understood is part of the purposes of the current draft plan. 4. Opposes the roading for wind farm access, which would effectively provide major access to an industrial development through the park which we do not support as an acceptable park activity. 5. Considers that GW is acting in a position of predetermination and bias by stating these activities have already been approved before consultation on this management plan has been through due process. 6. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park". 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' be deleted from the vision statement. 2. Seeks that the words "wind farm development" be removed from paragraph 2 of Part A on page 11 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 3. Seeks all supporting provisions for wind farm access to be removed from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 4. Seeks that all references to or implications of prior approval for wind farm supporting activities are removed from the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan. 5. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park." 	Yes	

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
15	Dr Christopher Brough	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the park should remain 'as is' without an additional roadway being constructed, and felled trees should be transported out of the park via existing routes and roadways to the east of the ridge. 2. Believes that an extra roadway would be unacceptable as it will result in heavy vehicles using Paekakariki Hill Road and Haywards Hill Road as well as Pauatahanui Village. To allow traffic through this small community would be dangerous. This will bring noise pollution to local residents and devalue properties. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that a major access road should not be built between Battle Hill ridge and Paekakariki Hill Road. The impact of construction and logging traffic on Battle Hill Farm Forest Park, Paekakariki Hill Road and Pauatahanui Village should be zero. 	Yes	
16	Dean and Lisa Whiteman	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that wind industrial developments are incompatible with core regional park values such as landscape protection or visual amenity and certainly access to the park should not be restricted due to a business activity. 2. Believes there is no evidence to support any local, regional nor international benefits from wind energy. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks to remove from the executive summary on page 1 the following "and incorporates the development of Puketiro wind farm". 2. Seeks to remove the reference of "Puketiro wind farm" within the Vision (page 6 of the plan). 3. Seeks to remove the reference of "or potential wind energy operations" from policy 3.1 (access). 4. Seeks to remove the all reference to Puketiro wind farm under the "Puketiro wind farm development" on page 37. 	Yes	
17	Steven Pyne	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that wind farming and associated construction and maintenance are not in line with the vision of Battle Hill Park. 2. Supports wind turbines as prohibited activities. 3. Considers that the inclusion of Puketiro wind farm in the vision statement is not compatible with other values in the statement. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that wind farming and associated construction and maintenance should be prohibited activities. 2. Seeks that "wind farm access road" and "wind farm above ground power or communications cables" as prohibited activities. 3. Seeks the revocation of ancillary restricted activities previously granted to the independent developer. 	No	
18	Peter and Martha Rowbotham	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park". 2. On page 37 "...that Council has approving making the park available relating to a wind farm..." reveals a prior judgement and bias in favour of a particular outcome and that GW is acting with bias as the Council has a pecuniary interest with the Puketiro wind farm. 3. Considers that roading for the wind farm should not be allowed in the park. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' is deleted from the vision statement on page 6. 2. Seeks that under the Puketiro wind farm development and under policy 3.62 that Battle Hill not be used for any wind farm related activities. 3. Seeks that all wind turbines and any other activity and infrastructure associated with wind farms be classified as prohibited. 4. Seeks that Council and its officers are disqualified from making decisions regarding the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan and that an independent commissioner is appointed. 	Yes	
19	Caroline and Hans Hendriks	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes policy 3.1 in relation to allowing road access to any wind farm development (see submission for details). 2. Opposes section 3.61 in allowing road access to any wind farm development (see submission for details). 3. Opposes section 3.62 in relation to legitimising the approval for permissions "already approved" (see submission for details) 4. Seeks amendment to section 3.63 in relation to wind turbines (see submission for details). 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks removal of any references to wind farm development in relation to policies 3.61, 3.62 and 3.63 as these words imply consent. 2. The major objecting to wind turbines is their location relative to where people live. 3. Seeks amendment to Table 2, page 33 and removal of policy 3.58. 	No	
20	Wayne and Sandra Estment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The submitter does not agree with allowing access through Battle Hill for the proposed Puketiro Wind Farm. 2. Seeks amendment to table 2 on page 33 (see submission for details). 3. Seeks removal of policy 3.58 on page 36. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notes that GWRC has a pecuniary interest in Puketiro wind farm processing where the GW has a vested financial interest in this issue. This introduces significant bias when considering adopting the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan (see submission for details). 2. Agrees with ban on turbines in the park, but believes that other wind farm activities should also be prohibited. 	No	
21	Inlet Motors Ltd (Hans Hendrik)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considers that the Puketiro Wind Farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan) regarding protecting the park's landscape values from excessive development. 2. In relation to policy 3.62 (page 37), believes that GW was ultra vires in entering into a contract with RES NZ Ltd that granted use for the park for access and wind farm infrastructure. Considers that GW is acting from a position of predetermination and bias. 3. Believes the inclusion of wind farm provisions introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes (and of historic and environmental significance) and that these provisions are inconsistent with the purpose for which the park was purchased and is managed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' be deleted from the vision statement on page 6. 2. Seeks that Battle Hill Farm Forest Park not be made available for wind farm-related activities and seek that all such activities be classified as prohibited. 	No	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Considers that road access for wind farm construction far exceeds those required for logging and 			

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		<p>general park use.</p> <p>5. Considers the requirements of wind farm construction will restrict the right of access for the public and local communities.</p> <p>6. Fully supports policy 3.63 "to prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park."</p>			
22	Tara Cooper and Tony Elliot	<p>1. States that the inclusion of activities related to the Puketiro wind farm is inconsistent with the values and character of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and contradicts many of the stated objectives of the plan.</p> <p>2. States that allowing access to the wind farm will introduce significant industrial development into a natural area for commercial benefit.</p> <p>3. Notes that section 3.62 contradicts the process as outlined in the plan. Questions how GW can pre-approve activities that aren't allowed in the plan (see submission for details).</p> <p>4. Questions how an area of recreational and cultural heritage is reconciled with the proposed wind farm activities.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that the reference to 'Puketiro wind farm' be deleted from page 1 of the executive summary, and from the vision on page 6.</p> <p>2. Seeks that section 3.62 and the list of ancillary activities be deleted.</p> <p>3. Seeks amendment of section 3.63 to include ancillary wind farm activities.</p>	Yes	
23	Dr Philip J Dickinson	<p>1. Believes that battle Hill Farm Forest Park has been set aside for the protection of nature and amenity of the land for the local people. State that this should not be abused by a transport link to an industrial activity outside the park.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that any access through the park should not be given to service an industry of any sort within Battle Hill Farm Forest Park.</p>	No	
24	Pauatahanui Residents Association (Alan Gray)	<p>1. Have concerns over the prior approval by Council to make the park available for RES for ancillary activities such as access and blade fly over.</p> <p>2. Believes there will be a large visual impact by allowing the development of a roading structure suitable for transport of wind turbine components.</p> <p>3. Considers that by allowing blade fly over there will still be impacts from wind turbines positioned close to the park boundary.</p> <p>4. Considers that finalisation of the draft management plan should not occur until PCC's plan change 7 has been finalised.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that wind farm access be made a prohibited activity in all zones.</p> <p>2. Asks that wind turbine blade fly over be inserted as a prohibited activity in all zones.</p> <p>3. Requests that all consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan cease until the content of PC7 of Porirua City District Plan is finalised and that GW can incorporate these policies into the management plan.</p> <p>4. Asks that GW use an independent commissioner for the hearings as they have a conflict of interest with the wind farm negotiations.</p>	Yes	
25	Ken McAdam	<p>1. Has concerns over the prior approval by Council to make the park available for RES for ancillary activities such as access and blade fly over.</p> <p>2. Believes there will be a large visual impact by allowing the development of a roading structure suitable for transport of wind turbine components.</p> <p>3. Considers that by allowing blade fly over there will still be impacts from wind turbines positioned close to the park boundary.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that wind farm access be made a prohibited activity in all zones.</p> <p>2. Asks that wind turbine blade fly over be inserted as a prohibited activity in all zones.</p> <p>3. Requests that all consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan cease until the content of PC7 of Porirua City District Plan is finalised and that GW can incorporate these policies into the management plan.</p> <p>4. Asks that GW use an independent commissioner for the hearings as they have a conflict of interest with the wind farm negotiations.</p>	Yes	
26	Peter Neal	<p>1. Believes that the construction of a significant access road is purely for commercial reasons and is inconsistent with the stewardship of land that has historic and environmental significance and has been set aside for recreational use.</p> <p>2. Requests that the amenity values of the park be protected and strongly opposes access rights for any 'commercial activity'.</p> <p>3. Opposes "ancillary" activities as proposed.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that the environment should be protected.</p> <p>2. Seeks that the eastern hills be listed as a key landscape.</p> <p>3. Asks that GW details what 'ancillary' activities are.</p>	No	
27	Rory and Sharon Hayes	<p>1. Considers that the Puketiro Wind Farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan) regarding protecting the park's landscape values from excessive development.</p> <p>2. In relation to policy 3.62 (page 37), believes that GW was ultra vires in entering into a contract with RES NZ Ltd that granted use for the park for access and wind farm infrastructure. Considers that GW is acting from a position of predetermination and bias, by pre-approving the wind farm activities.</p> <p>3. Believes that the wind farm activities cannot be considered to be approved before the consultation process has been completed in a manner that is open and without bias.</p> <p>4. Notes that the inclusion of wind farm provisions introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes that is of historic and environmental significance and that these provisions are inconsistent with the purpose for which the park was purchased and is managed.</p> <p>5. Considers that road access for wind farm construction far exceeds those required for logging and general park use.</p>	<p>1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro Wind Farm' be deleted from the vision statement on page 6.</p> <p>2. Seeks that the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park not be made available for wind farm-related activities and seek that all such activities be classified as prohibited.</p>	Yes	
		<p>6. Considers that public access will be restricted through the requirements of wind farm construction and</p>			

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		will restrict the right of access for the public and local communities. 7. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park" with the addition of words "utilities and infrastructure".			
28	Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (EECA) Contact person: Tania Hood	1. Supports the development of Puketiro wind farm. Expresses concern that as a prohibited activity, wind turbines are recognised as "Activities that would have long-term adverse effects on the environment, or would detract from the enjoyment of other forest users." Believes that this statement is inappropriate as wind farms do not universally result in long term effects.	1. Seeks that the description of a prohibited activity be replaced with a statement that such activities are "inconsistent with the overall vision and objectives of the draft Management Plan".	No	
29	Sue Balance	1. Opposes the use of Battle Hill Farm Forest park as a means of access or for any other purpose from which will detract from its original purpose. 2. Opposes anything which will require permanent changes to the park.	1. Seeks that GW uphold an area such as Battle Hill that has been left for the benefit of the community.	Yes	
30	RES New Zealand Limited Contact person: Chris Drayton	1. Supports the changes of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan as the plan provides more certainty and direction for the public and the developer and settles the approach of Council towards the Puketiro wind farm development. 2. It is concerned that as a prohibited activity, wind turbine construction is said to "have long term adverse effects on the environment, or would detract from the enjoyment of other forest users" (see table 2, page 33). Disputes that conclusion. 3. Believes that bullet points 4 and 5 in the background material are too specific and potentially inaccurate. 4. Notes that the access road and power line routes shown in the map 5 are indicative only.	1. Seeks amendment to policy 3.63 on page 37. 2. Seeks amendments to the background information within the text box on page 37. 3. Seeks changes to the legend on Map 5 (potential development) on page 54.	Yes	
31	J Chris Horne	1. In relation to the text box 1 for the vision on page 6, recommends that GW uplifts the designation of Transmission Gully. 2. Supports policies 1.16 and 1.17 provided recommendations are accepted. 3. In relation to policy 3.63, considers that the blades of any turbines should not enter the airspace above the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park. 4. Believes that if the proposed Puketiro wind farm is developed, that it should not require the construction of a road across Battle Hill Farm Forest Park. 5. Notes concerns regarding Akatarawa forest, Whakatikei river and Puketiro wind farm (see submission for further details). 6. Considers that the wind farm proposal will result in irreversible damage to the values for which the park was established. 7. Believes that Transmission Gully Motorway will have devastating impacts on the park. 8. Believes that the motorway designation and proposal to build a road access the park are incompatible with the reason for the park. 9. Believes that the exotic pines are of low timber value.	1. Recommends that Puketiro wind farm development be removed from the draft management plan. 2. Recommends that GW uplifts the designation of Transmission Gully. 3. Recommends that logging be done by overhead loader and land be allowed to revert to native forest. 4. Seeks that the park not be used to provide road access for Puketiro wind farm.	Yes	
32	New Zealand Transport Agency Contact person: Selwyn Blackmore	1. NZTA supports the intent of the draft plan, however it does seek that the plan be amended to provide more specific recognition of the strategic importance and the future requirements of the Transmission Gully Motorway project.	1. Seeks that the executive summary be amended (see submission for details). 2. Seeks that policy 1.26 on page 3 be amended (see submission for details). 3. Seeks that within the network utilities section of Part C – Sustaining opportunities and use within the bottom paragraph on page 35 is recommended to be amended (see submission for details). 4. Seeks clarification over policies 3.56 and 3.58 on page 36. 5. Seeks that map 6 requires amendment to reflect the preferred alignment for the Transmission Gully Motorway project.	Yes	
33	Pauline and Stephen Murray	1. Considers that the Puketiro wind farm is inconsistent with the Vision (page 6 of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan) regarding protecting the park's landscape values from excessive development. 2. In relation to policy 3.62 (page 37), believes that GW was ultra vires in entering into a contract with RES NZ Ltd that granted use for the park for access and wind farm infrastructure. Considers that GW is acting from a position of predetermination and bias. 3. Believes that the Wind farm activities cannot be considered to be approved before the consultation process has been completed in a manner that is open and without bias.	1. Seeks that the words 'Puketiro wind farm' be deleted from the vision statement on page 6. 2. Seeks that the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan not be made available for wind farm-related activities and seek that all such activities be classified as prohibited.	Yes	
		4. Considers that the inclusion of wind farm provisions introduces a commercial interest into an area designated for recreational purposes that is of historic and environmental significance and that these			

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		<p>provisions are inconsistent with the purpose for which the park was purchased and is managed. It will also change the character of the park.</p> <p>5. Considers that road access for wind farm construction far exceeds those required for logging and general park use.</p> <p>6. Considers that public access will be restricted through the requirements of wind farm construction and will restrict the right of access for the public and local communities.</p> <p>7. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park."</p>			
34	Transpower New Zealand Ltd Contact person: Jenna Fincham	<p>1. Seeks an amendment to text box 1 (vision) on page 6 under "use", an amendment to policy 3.5.1, an amendment to policy 3.53 and an amendment to policy 3.61 to be located under a different policy (see submission for further details).</p> <p>2. Considers that minor upgrading of network utilities should be specifically provided for.</p>	<p>1. Generally supports changes made to the plan as a result of Transpower's last submission.</p> <p>2. Seeks amendments to page 6 and two policies in relation to network utilities (see submission).</p> <p>3. Seeks that policy 3.53 be amended (see submission for further details).</p> <p>4. Asks that policy 3.61 be relocated to section 2.</p> <p>5. Seeks inclusion of the words of "minor upgrading" on page 6.</p>	No	
35	Mrs Barbara Hunter	<p>1. Seeks that the vision statement within text box 1 on page 6 be amended (see submission for further details).</p> <p>2. Seeks that the executive summary on page 1 be amended to delete the reference to the 'Puketiro wind farm' (see submission for further details).</p> <p>3. Considers that the policies in relation to wind farms within the management plan should be consistent with those in the proposed amendments to the PCC District plan as indicated in PCC plan change 7 (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>4. Supports page 9 in relation to land acquisitions.</p> <p>5. Seeks that policy 1.10 on page 13 be deleted as this inconsistent with the Councils duty under s138 of the Local Government Act 2002 (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>6. Opposes policy 1.11 on page 13 in reference to disposal of park land.</p> <p>7. Considers that policies 1.16 and 1.18 should be amended (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>8. Supports policies 2.1-2.11, 2nd objective on page 20, policy 2.25 on page 21 and policies 3.4 and 3.5 on page 28 (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>9. Fully supports policy 3.63 in relation to "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park" (see submission for details).</p> <p>10. Notes that as on page 9 the LTCCP states "To maintain the existing regional parks..." which should not be compromised by commercial ventures (see submission for details).</p> <p>11. Seeks amendment for paragraph 2 on page 20, policy 3.1 on page 28, policy 3.12 on page 29, policy 3.33 on page 31, policy 3.46 on page 32, policy 3.63 on page 37 (see submission for details).</p> <p>12. Seeks deletion of paragraph 5 of page 20, some of paragraph 6 on page 20, policy 3.3 on page 28, policy 3.34 on page 31, all of the background information within the text box on page 37 and policy 3.6.2 on page 37 (see submission for details).</p> <p>13. Recommends an addition for policy 2.22 on page 21, an addition for policy 2.24 on page 21 and an addition for table 2 on page 33 (see submission for details).</p> <p>14. Opposes textbox 4 on page 34 and recommends amendments to it (refer to submission for details).</p>	<p>1. Seeks the revocation of the approval for wind farm access to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and once this has occurred open it up as a genuine matter for consultation.</p> <p>2. Seeks that if the management plan is progressed then the various changes to the plan as requested by the submitter, take action to revoke the pre-approval of ancillary activities and include within the plan a moratorium on all wind farm associated activities within the plan until the Porirua City District Plan plan change is finalised and its policies included in the management plan.</p> <p>3. Seeks that GWRC postpones consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan until such time that the policies and objectives in Porirua City District Plan proposed plan change 7 are finalised.</p> <p>4. Seeks amendments to policies 1.10, 1.11, 1.16 and 1.18 Alternatively if (1) and (3) cannot occur that;</p> <p>5. That the GWRC undertake the action request at point 2 and make all of the requested changes to the plan as proposed by the submitter (as outlined above).</p>	No	
36	New Zealand Historic Places Trust Contact person: Rakesh Mistry	<p>1. Seeks amendments to 'Part B – Conserving our environment and cultural heritage', to policy 2.30, policy 3.63 and policy 'Section 3 – Heritage' (see submission).</p>	<p>1. Supports the overall intent of the objectives and policies of the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan but considers that amendments required to some policies to ensure protection of historic features.</p>	No	
37	Mrs Linda Boyd	<p>1. Seeks that the vision statement within text box 1 on page 6 be amended (see submission for further details).</p> <p>2. Seeks that the executive summary on page 1 be amended to delete the reference to the 'Puketiro wind farm' (see submission for further details).</p> <p>3. Seeks that the policies in relation to wind farms within the management plan is consistent with those in the proposed amendments to the PCC District plan as indicated in PCC plan change 7 (refer to submission for details).</p>	<p>1. Seeks that GWRC postpones consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan until such time that the policies and objectives in Porirua City District Plan proposed plan change 7 are finalised.</p> <p>2. Seeks the revocation of the approval for wind farm access to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and once this has occurred open it up as a genuine matter for consultation.</p>	No	
		<p>4. Seeks that policy 1.10 on page 13 be deleted as this inconsistent with the Councils duty under s138 of the Local Government Act 2002 (refer to submission for details).</p>	<p>3. Seeks that if the management plan is progressed then the various changes to the plan as requested by the submitter, take action to</p>		

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Opposes policy 1.11 on page 13 and recommends amendments for it (refer to submission for details). 6. Seeks that policies 1.16 and 1.18 be amended (refer to submission for details). 7. Supports policies 2.1-2.11, 2nd objective on page 20, policy 2.25 on page 21 and policies 3.4 and 3.5 on page 28 (refer to submission for details). 8. Fully supports policy 3.63 in relation to "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park" (see submission for details). 9. Notes that as on page 9 the LTCCP states "To maintain the existing regional parks..." which should not be compromised by commercial ventures (see submission for details). 10. Seeks amendment for paragraph 2 on page 20, policy 3.1 on page 28, policy 3.12 on page 29, policy 3.33 on page 31, policy 3.46 on page 32, policy 3.63 on page 37 (see submission for details). 11. Seeks deletion of paragraph 5 of page 20, some of paragraph 6 on page 20, policy 3.3 on page 28, policy 3.34 on page 31, all of the background information within the text box on page 37 and policy 3.6.2 on page 37 (see submission for details). 12. Recommends an addition for policy 2.22 on page 21, an addition for policy 2.24 on page 21 and an addition for table 2 on page 33 (see submission for details). 13. Opposes textbox 4 on page 34 and recommends amendments to it (refer to submission for details). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> revoke the pre-approval of ancillary activities and include within the plan a moratorium on all wind farm associated activities within the plan until the Porirua City District Plan plan change is finalised and its policies included in the management plan. Alternatively if (1) and (3) cannot occur that; 5. That the GWRC undertake the action request at point 2 and make all of the requested changes to the plan as proposed by the submitter (as outlined above). 		
38	Derek Fitzgerald	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does not agree with the five activities listed within the text box 'background information' on page 37 relating to this development should be permitted. 2. Fully supports policy 3.63 "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park". 3. Does not agree that wind turbine blades or any part of the construction on neighbouring land should be allowed to encroach on the park air. The siting of the wind turbines close to the Park's boundary will reduce the scenic value of the Park. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that no construction, work or activity relating to wind farm proposals (including the Puketiro Wind Farm proposal) to be carried out within the Park boundaries. 2. Seeks the deletion of the intention to permit previously approved wind farm associated activities to be permitted (restricted). 3. Seeks that all proposed wind farm activities be prohibited. 	No	
39	Ngati Toa Rangatira by Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira Incorporated (The Runanga), Porirua	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Runanga generally supports the draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan and revised history in the executive summary. 2. Acknowledges the provision in the plan for access for the proposed Puketiro wind farm but believes further discussion is required about the proposed wind farm. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that any further details for the Puketiro wind farm will need to be discussed between Ngati Toa Rangatira, GWRC and the developer. 	No	
40	Tararua Tramping Club Contact person: Michael Taylor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Believes more certainty required in executive summary about how the park will be managed. 2. Seeks an amendment to the executive summary on page 1 (see submission for details). 3. Considers that vision relating to Transmission Gully should be changed. 4. Seeks various amendment(s) to the overall vision on page 6 (see submission for details). 5. Considers changes are needed to the wording on page 9 for clarity (see submission for details). 6. Seeks an amendment to paragraph under 'preparing the management plan - consultation' (see submission for details). 7. Strongly supports the addition of policies 1.12 on page 13, policy 2.4 and 2.1 on pages 16 and 17. 8. Supports the addition of the paragraph on page 15 referring to Pauatahanui Inlet (see submission for details). 9. Supports the strengthening of policy 3.30 and find policy 3.31 incompatible and suggest it be removed. 10. Supports policy 3.41 and policy 5.8. 11. Suggests amendments to policies 3.62 and 3.63 (see submission for details). 12. Suggests rewording of 3.44. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks an amendment to executive summary. 2. Seeks amendments to vision (page 6), land acquisition (page 9) and policy 3.44. 3. Seeks a change to the wording for policies 3.62 and 3.63. 	No	
41	The Forest and Bird Protection Society (Lower Hutt Branch) Contact person: R.J Bell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned that the management plan does not adequately consider the surrounding land uses and possibilities (see submission for all of the details of Forest and Bird Protection Society concerns). 2. Supports the inclusion of natural areas, protection and enhancement of nature areas. 3. Considers that the plan enhances future development opportunities for ecological values. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks consideration of land uses and requests be incorporated into the plan (see submission for details) 	Yes	
42	Pauatahanui Futures Society Incorporated Contact person: Ms Nicola Chapman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seeks that the vision statement within text box 1 on page 6 be amended (see submission for further details). 2. Seeks that the executive summary on page 1 be amended (see submission for further details). 3. Seeks that the policies in relation to wind farms within the management plan is consistent with those in the proposed amendments to the PCC District plan as indicated in PCC plan change 7 (refer to submission for details). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In order to protect the integrity of this consultation process the GWRC appoints an independent commissioner in its place to preside over the consultation; and 2. Seeks that GWRC postpones consultation on the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan until such time that the policies and objectives in Porirua City District Plan proposed plan change 7 are finalised. 	Yes	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Seeks that policy 1.10 on page 13 be deleted as this inconsistent with the Councils duty under s138 of the Local Government Act 2002 (refer to submission for details). 5. Opposes policy 1.11 on page 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Seeks the revocation of the approval for wind farm access to the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park and once this has occurred open it up 	Yes	

Draft Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan 2008 - Summary of submissions					
Submission No.	Name	General submission	Relief sought or decision sought	To be heard?	Councillors Notes
		<p>13 and recommends amendments for it (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>6. Seeks that policies 1.16 and 1.18 be amended (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>7. Supports policies 2.1-2.11, 2nd objective on page 20, policy 2.25 on page 21 and policies 3.4 and 3.5 on page 28 (refer to submission for details).</p> <p>8. Fully supports policy 3.63 in relation to "To prohibit the construction of wind turbines in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park" (see submission for details).</p> <p>9. Notes that as on page 9 the LTCCP states 'To maintain the existing regional parks...' which should not be compromised by commercial ventures (see submission for details).</p> <p>10. Seeks amendment for paragraph 2 on page 20, policy 3.1 on page 28, policy 3.12 on page 29, policy 3.33 on page 31, policy 3.46 on page 32, policy 3.63 on page 37 (see submission for details).</p> <p>11. Seeks deletion of paragraph 5 of page 20, some of paragraph 6 on page 20, policy 3.3 on page 28, policy 3.34 on page 31, all of the background information within the text box on page 37 and policy 3.6.2 on page 37 (see submission for details).</p> <p>12. Recommends an addition for policy 2.22 on page 21, an addition for policy 2.24 on page 21 and an addition for table 2 on page 33 (see submission for details).</p> <p>13. Opposes textbox 4 on page 34 and recommends amendments to it (refer to submission for details).</p>	<p>as a genuine matter for consultation.</p> <p>4. Seeks that if the management plan is progressed then the various changes to the plan as requested by the submitter, take action to revoke the pre-approval of ancillary activities and include within the plan a moratorium on all wind farm associated activities within the plan until the Porirua City District Plan plan change is finalised and its policies included in the management plan. Alternatively if (2) and (4) cannot occur that;</p> <p>5. That the GWRC undertake the action request at point 2 and make all of the requested changes to the plan as proposed by the society (as outlined above).</p>		
43	Porirua City Council (Leisure Assets and Services) Contact person: Christine Jacobson	<p>1. Seeks consistency with reference to page 11 (paragraph 3), page 13 (policy 1.12) and page 15 (paragraph 6), Maps 3-7 in regards to the 'the bush reserve', 'bush remnant' and 'the bush forest block'.</p> <p>2. Seeks in relation to policy 1.7 for a further clause to enable activities to be managed sustainably.</p> <p>3. Recommends a few changes in relation to policy 3.58 pertaining to the Transmission Gully.</p> <p>4. Notes in relation to policy 3.62, that there is no reference made to the relationship between the proposed roads for a wind farm and the need for road access for logging.</p> <p>5. Considers that the PCC District Plan Change 7 should be taken into account before the final plan drafted.</p> <p>6. Considers that technical amendments need to be made to objectives/policies 1.1 + 1.7, 3.42 + 3.43 and issues on page 47 (see submission for details).</p>	<p>1. Asks that amendments be made to a number of policies (see submission for details).</p> <p>2. Seeks consistency in naming the bush remnant and seeks that the bush remnant be changed to scenic reserve once classification is complete.</p> <p>3. Seeks a number of errors and inconsistencies within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan (see submission for details).</p> <p>4. Seeks that page 47 acknowledges the management issues of Transmission Gully, wind farm and logging access issues.</p> <p>5. That the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Management Plan takes into account of the two proposed changes to the Porirua City Council District Plan.</p>	No	