

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S32 Director- General of Conservation	S32.006	General comments - natural hazards	Support	The proposed changes are an appropriate response to current information on climate change, and recognise that natural hazards and mitigation measures can impact on natural values.	Retain as notified.
S94 Guardians of the Bays Incorporated	S94.024	General comments - natural hazards	Support	Not stated	Retain as notified
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.002	General comments - natural hazards	Not Stated / Neutral	WIAL is a lifeline utility operator under the CDEM 2002 in respect of its operation of Wellington Airport. In the event of a significant earthquake or other hazard event, the airport is recognised as potentially the only link between the city and the rest of the country given the vulnerability of the road and rail network, and the potential for the port and harbour access to be affected by liquefaction. This further emphasises why it is important to appropriately recognise and provide for Wellington Airport's ongoing operation and development.	Not stated.
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.007	General comments - natural hazards	Support in part	Wellington Airport is located near the coast, for example. There needs to be suitable recognition within the natural hazard provisions of the RPS that infrastructure often has a functional or operational requirement to locate in a certain area, even if that area is subject to natural hazard risk. Wellington Airport is located near the coast, for example. Infrastructure providers the RPS needs to suitably recognise that natural hazard tolerance is therefore inherently different to those without the same operational or functional need to be located in such areas.	Amend provisions to address the relief sought in the submission.
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.008	General comments - natural hazards	Not Stated / Neutral	NA	The provisions also need to suitably recognise that in some instances hard engineering structures can be an acceptable and most appropriate response to the management and protection of existing infrastructure assets (such as the sea wall adjacent to the south and western airport areas, which protect and support the adjacent road, 3 Waters and Airport infrastructure) and where nature-based solutions are

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					simply not appropriate, including importantly for aircraft safety reasons.
S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers	S163.033	General comments - natural hazards	Oppose	Natural Hazards issues and objectives would more properly be considered in the full review of the RPS scheduled in 2024. The proposed amendments are principally tinkering with words; and not adding much of value which could not be more properly addressed in 2024.	That the proposed amendments to Chapter 3.8 be deleted
S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers	S163.034	General comments - natural hazards	Oppose	Do not agree that any of the proposed natural hazard provisions are freshwater instruments, refer to submission for details on relevant case law.	Delete FW icons
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.042	General comments - natural hazards	Support in part		Amend chapter to include Māori names - e.g. Hutt River - Te Awa Kairangi
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0197	General comments - natural hazards	Support in part		Give effect to higher order direction in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0198	General comments - natural hazards	Support in part		Reflect the updated scientific knowledge regarding climate change and its effects.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0199	General comments - natural hazards	Not Stated / Neutral		Taranaki Whānui want to signal their intention to be involved in partnership and decision-making regarding Natural Hazards.
S16 Kāpiti Coast District Council	S16.068	Natural hazards introductory text	Support in part	Council supports the amendments proposed to this introduction text - in particular the shift in language to include references to risk, likelihood, and consequences. The updated information regarding sea level rise predictions and the likely impacts of this on flood events is also useful for Council plan-making responsibilities with respect to future coastal hazards and flood hazard plan changes. Council requests this section be amended to include an outline of the requirements of the RMA for natural hazard avoidance and mitigation under section sections	Amend the chapter introduction to make it clear that city and district councils, and regional councils are responsible for provisions that require the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards including significant coastal hazards (such as predicted coastal erosion above MHWS) via provisions in district plans, the RPS and the regional plan(s). Commit in the RPS that the regional plan will include provisions including rules to achieve the above.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				30 and 31 of the RMA. Council requests GWRC states it will put in place provisions that require the avoidance and mitigation of coastal hazards (such as predicted coastal erosion above MHWS) via policies in the RPS and provisions including rules in the PNRP. If this is not to be inserted, Council requests the chapter introduction is amended to clearly explain the legislative and policy justification for not including such provisions in the RPS and regional plan(s).	
S31 Robert Anker	S31.014	Natural hazards introductory text	Oppose in part	The inclusion of this phrase is not necessary and implies that the effect on Iwi is deserving of special mention and differs from the effect on other sectors of the community.	Amend the regionally significant issues (p.69) to read: The regionally significant issues and the issues of significance to the Wellington region's iwi authorities for natural hazards are:
S31 Robert Anker	S31.015	Natural hazards introductory text	Not Stated / Neutral	While there may be truth in this statement it should also be recognised that the converse is also true - eg. Hutt River stopbanks.	Amend paragraph 2 in the regionally significant issue section (p.69) to read: 2. Human actions can increase or decrease risk and consequences from natural hazards
S102 Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	S102.067	Natural hazards introductory text	Support	Generally supports the inclusion of the 'Chapter Introductions' for Natural Hazards.	Retain as notified.
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.020	Natural hazards introductory text	Support	No reasons provided	Retain as notified
S128 Horticultur	S128.009	Natural hazards	Support in part	As noted in the Section 32 report, 'Shifting and more variable weather patterns threaten food production, and ...', consequential to this are impacts on food security.	Amend paragraph 1 (p.69) 1. Risks from natural hazards Natural hazard events in the Wellington region have an adverse impact on people and

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
e New Zealand		introductory text			communities, food production and food security , businesses, property and infrastructure.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.034	Natural hazards introductory text	Support in part	Ātiawa supports that the Regional Council have explicitly outlined climate change will occur, rather than 'having the potential to' or 'expected to'. Ātiawa seeks that the natural environment be referred to in Issue 1. The natural environment is at risk and can be significantly altered through a natural hazard event, many of these natural environments have value including mana whenua values and should be protected. Ātiawa acknowledges that natural hazards are a naturally occurring phenomena and it is not possible or appropriate to protect everything, everywhere. However, there are parts of the natural environment that provide for mahinga kai, sites of significance including wāhi tapu, wāhi tupuna that should be considered in regard to reducing the impacts of natural hazards. This amendment complements Objective 19 and the reference to the environment.	1. Risks from natural hazards Natural hazard events in the Wellington region have an adverse impact on people and communities, the natural environment , businesses, property and infrastructure.
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.022	Natural hazards introductory text	Support	Support as proposed	Retain as notified.
S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council	S147.044	Natural hazards introductory text	Support	Necessary to give effect to the NPS-FM	Retain as notified
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	S165.026	Natural hazards introductory text	Support	This is consistent with the RMA and higher order planning instruments	Retain.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
(Forest & Bird)					
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.043	Natural hazards introductory text	Support in part	<p>Taranaki Whānui support the introductory text for Natural Hazards. We note in particular the statement the Climate change will increase the frequency and magnitude of these.</p> <p>Acknowledgment of impacts on mana whenua supports Taranaki Whānui as Treaty partners and sets a precedent for a flow through of partnership in this chapter.</p>	Add description of the impacts of natural hazards on mana whenua and their areas of significance.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.044	Issue 1: Risks from natural hazards	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this provision.	Retain as notified.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.056	Issue 1: Risks from natural hazards	Support in part	<p>Cultural visibility and the expression of Taranaki Whānui as ahi kā in their areas of interest is important and needs to be articulated throughout the RPS. Current and future urban design practices and urban development need to provide for the cultural visibility, identity, and expression for Taranaki Whānui. Amending Issue 1 will sufficiently lift the culture and identity aspirations of Taranaki Whānui. Taranaki Whānui are Treaty partners through the Port Nicholson Block (Taranaki Whānui ki Te Upoko o Te Ika) Claims Settlement Act 2009 and consider it necessary for NPS-UD objective 5 and policy 9 to be appropriately reflected in RPS1.</p>	Amend the provision to read: Poor quality urban design can adversely affect public health, social equity, land values, the cultural practices, visibility, identity, and wellbeing of mana whenua / tangata whenua and communities, the vibrancy of local centres and economies, and the provision of, and access to, civic services. It can also increase the use of non-renewable resources and vehicle emissions in the region.
S4 Dom Harris	S4.003	Issue 3: Climate change will increase the likelihood and consequences from natural hazard events	Support in part	Sea level rise is a longer term problem (except for certain communities) floods, and resultants slips, of which we are seeing an increasing amount, should also be expected with increasing regularity and severity. It may be necessary/beneficial to separate genuine natural hazards from hazards caused/exacerbated by climate change, as these may need to be considered differently in the near future.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S79 South Wairarapa District Council	S79.012	Issue 3: Climate change will increase the likelihood and consequences from natural hazard events	Support in part	Not all natural hazard events, such as seismic or tsunami will increase either the likelihood or consequences as a result of climate change.	Amend to reflect that not all natural hazard events are impacted by the effects of climate change.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.045	Issue 3: Climate change will increase the likelihood and consequences from natural hazard events	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this provision	Retain as notified.
S16 Kāpiti Coast District Council	S16.069	Objective 19	Support	Council supports the changes proposed to Objective 19, in particular the focus on the minimisation of risks and consequences to the environment including the effects of climate change.	Retain.
S30 Porirua City Council	S30.017	Objective 19	Oppose	Council support the need to consider effects on the environment, although this duplicates changes to Objective 20.	<i>[Note reasoning references Objective 20, Chapter 3.8, Natural Hazards]</i> Amend the objective to remove duplication with other objectives.
S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council	S34.086	Objective 19	Support in part	Council supports the need to recognise and address risks associated with natural hazards. See comments on Policies 29 and 51.	Retain objective as notified and seek relief sought in relation to policies 29 and 51.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S79 South Wairarapa District Council	S79.013	Objective 19	Support in part	The Objective is particularly high level and would benefit from some nuance, addressing how new and existing risk and development are treated. This will become more relevant as the effects of climate change increase in severity and frequency and sea level rise.	Retain as notified AND; Include additional objectives and policies that give direction as to when mitigation and adaptation should be considered and the outcomes sought by that mitigation and adaptation. AND; Any consequential amendments to give effect to the relief sought.
S102 Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	S102.064	Objective 19	Support	Generally supports the objectives in the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.021	Objective 19	Support	No reasons provided	Retain as notified
S128 Horticulture New Zealand	S128.010	Objective 19	Support in part	Natural hazards pose a risk to essential human health needs including food production, as these events can disrupt food supply. Natural hazard events (e.g., frequency of flood, drought) are set to be worsened by climate change.	Amend Objective 19, The risks and consequences to people, communities, food production and food security, their businesses, property, and infrastructure and the environment from natural hazards and the effects of climate change effects are reduced minimised .
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.035	Objective 19	Support	Ātiawa supports Objective 19.	Retain as notified.
S132 Toka Tu Ake EQC	S132.002	Objective 19	Support	We support the objective of minimizing the risks of natural hazards.	No Change
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.023	Objective 19	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc	S144.053	Objective 19	Support		Retain as notified.
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.044	Objective 19	Support in part	WIAL supports the intent of this objective, however it is unclear what is meant by the term "minimise" This needs to be defined as per the Council's proposed Natural Resources Plan	Define minimise as per the Council's PNRP namely "Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning." Otherwise delete the amendment.
S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers	S163.036	Objective 19	Oppose	Defer to the RPS review in 2024	Delete the proposed amendments
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.027	Objective 19	Support	This is consistent with the RMA	Retain as written
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.028	Objective 19	Support	Consequential changes are appropriate. [Note: submission refers to 'Policies and Methods to Achieve Objective 19']	Support consequential changes to policies and methods to address relief sought in submission.
S166 Masterton District Council	S166.016	Objective 19	Support in part	Objective is very high-level.	Retain as notified. However: Further clarity would help to understand what this means for our district in practice.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.046	Objective 19	Support	Taranaki Whānui support Objective 19. We note in particular the inclusion of Method 22	Retain as notified.
S16 Kāpiti Coast District Council	S16.070	Objective 20	Oppose	The proposed objective wording does not differentiate between the significance of different levels of risk arising from natural hazards. The objective also does not reflect the wording of sections 30 and 31 with respect to regional council and territorial local authority functions for the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards.	Amend Objective 20 so it reads as follows: Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities avoid minimise the risks from significant natural hazards and mitigate the risks from all other natural hazards and impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity.
S30 Porirua City Council	S30.018	Objective 20	Oppose	It is unclear what this objective is seeking to achieve and could be better worded.	Amend the objective so that it is clear what the outcome sought is, and/or reword as follows: Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities minimise the risks from natural hazards and impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity. Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities do not compromise / are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity.
S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council	S34.087	Objective 20	Support in part	Council supports the need to recognise and address risks associated with natural hazards. See comments on Policies 52, FW.7 and FW.8.	Retain objective as notified and seek relief sought in relation to policies 52, FW.7 and FW.8
S79 South Wairarapa District Council	S79.014	Objective 20	Support in part	This objective is supported. However, the framework for natural hazards overall does not give sufficient guidance for when intervention including mitigation, adaptation should be considered, including managed retreat. This is important to ensure at development and hazard management level (for example flood	Retain as notified AND; Include additional objectives and policies that give direction as to when mitigation and adaptation should be considered or required. AND; Any consequential amendments to give effect to the relief

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				management) there are clear expectations around roles responsibilities.	sought.
S102 Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	S102.065	Objective 20	Support	Generally supports the objectives in the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.
S113 Wellington Water	S113.007	Objective 20	Support in part	Support general intention but the word 'minimise' is too strong unless it is defined as per the pNRP	Include a definition of minimise as per the pNRP
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.022	Objective 20	Support	No reasons provided	Retain as notified
S128 Horticulture New Zealand	S128.011	Objective 20	Support in part	The drafting of this objective could be clearer, i.e. to confirm if the 'minimise' direction applies to both parts of the following sentence. HortNZ support the 'minimise' direction in the objective, as avoidance of any impacts on for example natural processes, will not always be possible. Does not support the explanation in the 'summary of amendments' table preceding the provisions (while recognising this is not part of the plan change) - which states "Amendment to add direction that natural hazard mitigation and adaption cannot have adverse environmental effects".	Consider clarifying the drafting of Objective 20. Retain 'minimise' policy direction in respect of managing the effects that may be associated with natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	S131.036	Objective 20	Oppose in part	Ātiawa request that areas associated with mana whenua values are included in Objective 20, noting that natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation have traditionally impacted on our values, for example river works to alter the rivers natural course can destroy mahinga kai and sites of significance. Ātiawa seek to protect our values through Objective 20.	Amend to: Objective 20 Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities do not cause or increase the risk from natural hazards or adversely impact on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Mana o te Taiao, areas associated with mana whenua values , natural processes, ecosystems and biodiversity.
S134 Powerco Limited	S134.005	Objective 20	Oppose	The anticipated environmental results for Objective 20 are identified as being: 1. There is no increase in the risk from natural hazards as a result of subdivision, use or development	Amend proposed Objective 20 to provide greater certainty as to the scope and intent, as described in the Anticipated Environmental Results for the objective. This could be achieved by retaining the wording of existing Objective 20 as follows, or making changes to the same effect:

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				<p>(including mitigation works).</p> <p>2. Where hazard mitigation and climate change measures are employed, there is a greater number and range of soft engineered measures used, that achieve integrated management and broad environmental outcomes.</p> <p>The Objective focuses on 'natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities'. There is significant uncertainty in the definitions of 'climate change mitigation' and 'climate change adaptation' and the types of activities that will fall into these categories. However, they appear unlikely to apply to all subdivision, use or development, in which case the Objective will not achieve the first anticipated environmental result. The wording of existing Objective 20 appears likely to be more effective at achieving the first anticipated environmental result and provides greater certainty of the scope and intent of the objective.</p> <p>The term 'minimise' is considered to be too strong unless it is defined as per the PNRP.</p>	<p>"Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities minimise the risks from natural hazards. Hazard mitigation measures, structural works and other activities do not increase the risk and consequences of natural hazard events and seek to minimise impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity."</p>
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.024	Objective 20	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council	S147.045	Objective 20	Support in part	<p>The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, above, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.</p>	<p>amend.</p> <p>Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities minimise the risks from natural hazards and impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, and indigenous and valued introduced ecosystems and biodiversity.</p>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.045	Objective 20	Support in part	WIAL submits that it is unclear what is meant by the term "minimise" This needs to be defined as per the Council's proposed Natural Resources Plan	Define minimise as per the Council's PNRP namely "Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning." Otherwise delete the amendment.
S157 BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil Ltd and Z Energy Ltd	S157.007	Objective 20	Oppose	<p>The anticipated environmental results for Objective 20 are identified as being:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no increase in the risk from natural hazards as a result of subdivision, use or development (including mitigation works). 2. Where hazard mitigation and climate change measures are employed, there is a greater number and range of soft engineered measures used, that achieve integrated management and broad environmental outcomes. <p>The Objective focuses on 'natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities'. There is significant uncertainty in the definitions of 'climate change mitigation' and 'climate change adaptation' and the types of activities that will fall into these categories. However, they appear unlikely to apply to all subdivision, use or development, in which case the Objective will not achieve the first anticipated environmental result. The wording of existing Objective 20 appears likely to be more effective at achieving the first anticipated environmental result and provides greater certainty of the scope and intent of the objective.</p> <p>The term 'minimise' is considered to be too strong unless it is defined as per the pNRP.</p>	<p>Amend proposed Objective 20 to provide greater certainty as to the scope and intent, as described in the Anticipated Environmental Results for the objective. This could be achieved by retaining the wording of existing Objective 20 as follows, or making changes to the same effect:</p> <p>Objective 20: Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities minimise the risks from natural hazards Hazard mitigation measures, structural works and other activities do not increase the risk and consequences of natural hazard events and seek to minimise impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity.</p>
S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	S158.010	Objective 20	Support in part	Supports the intent of the objective, however seeks that the objective is amended to provide clarity but also recognises that natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities are not limited to mitigation measures.	<p>Amend the objective as follows:</p> <p>Natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities do not increase minimise the risks from natural hazards and do not have an adverse effect on impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity</p>
S163 Wairarapa	S163.037	Objective 20	Oppose	Defer to the RPS review in 2024	Delete the proposed amendments

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Federated Farmers					Delete the FW icon
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.029	Objective 20	Support	This objective is appropriate.	Retain
S166 Masterton District Council	S166.017	Objective 20	Not Stated / Neutral	Need to provide for impacts on the natural environment where the need for essential services or infrastructure is great. For example, protecting a communities drinking water supply. It is possible that mitigation measures to protect human life, regionally significant infrastructure, or critical facilities such as hospitals, will impact on natural values of rivers and wetlands, etc. The RPS should look at including a hierarchy whereby mitigation or protection measures that impact on natural process are provided for if the need is great.	Include hierarchy for mitigation and protection measures.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.047	Objective 20	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui support the principle of Objective 20. Areas of significance to mana whenua need to be provided for in this objective.	Amend the provision to read:and impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, areas of significance to mana whenua , natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity.
S169 Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa	S169.006	Objective 20	Support	On behalf of a mandated iwi organisation, Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa, I, Rawiri Smith, an Environmental Manager for Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa would like to express our support for the iwi expressions of Te Mana o Te Wai in the proposed Regional Policy Statement of Greater Wellington 2022. I do this because it follows the process set out in regulation, namely the Resource Management Act and the key policies in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. By being in line with these two statutes we can recognise	Retain as notified

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				that the proposed Te Mana o Te Wai sections fulfill the intent of both regulations.	
S30 Porirua City Council	S30.019	Objective 21	Oppose	<p>It is unclear what this objective is seeking to achieve and could be better worded to be more certain and measurable. Issues of concern include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is unclear what 'strengthened' means in this context i.e. strengthened to what degree, to achieve what? • It is unclear what is meant by 'better prepared' i.e. better prepared than what? From what to date? How much better prepared? • Unsure why need to separate out short, medium and long term, and how this concept flows through to the policy direction. • The natural environment covered by objectives 19 and 20 and doesn't need to be mentioned in every objective as the objectives in the RPS should be read as a whole. 	Amend the objective so that it is clear what the outcome sought is.
S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council	S34.088	Objective 21	Support in part	Council supports the need to increase resilience. See comments on Policies 29, 51 and 52.	Retain objective as notified and seek relief sought in relation to policies 29, 51 and 52 and the definition of resilience.
S79 South Wairarapa District Council	S79.015	Objective 21	Support	This objective is supported. However, the framework for natural hazards overall does not give sufficient guidance for when intervention including mitigation, adaptation should be considered, including managed retreat. This is important to ensure at development and hazard management level (for example flood management) there are clear expectations around roles responsibilities.	<p>Retain as notified AND; Include additional objectives and policies that give direction as to when mitigation and adaptation should be considered or required. AND; Any consequential amendments to give effect to the relief sought.</p>
S102 Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	S102.066	Objective 21	Support	Generally supports the objectives in the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.023	Objective 21	Support	No reasons provided	Retain as notified
S128 Horticulture New Zealand	S128.012	Objective 21	Support in part	Generally support the objective of strengthening resilience, however it seeks an amendment to specially reference food production, as one of the components of resilience. Natural hazards (and the effects of climate change) pose a risk to essential human health needs including food production, as these events can disrupt food supply. The Paris Agreement speaks to a 'fundamental priority of safeguarding food security' and action in a manner that does not threaten food production.	Amend Objective 21 The resilience of our communities, including food production and food security , and the natural environment to the short, medium, and long-term effects of climate change, and sea level rise is strengthened, and people are better prepared for the consequences of natural hazard events.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.037	Objective 21	Support	Ātiawa supports the amendments made to Objective 21	Retain as notified.
S132 Toka Tu Ake EQC	S132.003	Objective 21	Support	We support increasing the resilience of communities to natural hazards, including the increase in risk likely to be caused by climate change.	No Change
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.025	Objective 21	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
S148 Wellington International Airport Ltd (WIAL)	S148.046	Objective 21	Support in part	WIAL supports ensuring that communities and the environment are made more resilient to and are better prepared for natural hazard events. This should be extended to also ensure regionally significant infrastructure is similarly managed	Amend the objective as follows: The resilience of our communities, regionally significant infrastructure, and...
S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	S158.011	Objective 21	Support in part	Seeks that the objective is amended to provide clarity to provide for measurable outcomes. The words strengthened and better prepared are ambiguous.	Amend the objective to provide measurable clarity. The resilience of our communities and the natural environment is strengthened to avoid loss of life and damage to property due to the to the short, medium, and long-term effects of climate change, and sea level rise is strengthened, and people are better prepared for the consequences of natural hazard

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					events.
S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers	S163.038	Objective 21	Oppose	Defer to the RPS review in 2024	Delete the proposed amendments
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.030	Objective 21	Support	This objective is appropriate.	Retain.
S166 Masterton District Council	S166.018	Objective 21	Support in part	Objective is supported but more guidance needed around how this would work in practice.	Retain as notified.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.048	Objective 21	Support	Taranaki Whānui support Objective 19. We note in particular the inclusion of Method 22	Retain as notified.
S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers	S163.035	Table 8(a)	Oppose	Defer to the full RPS review in 2024.	Delete Table 8A OR Amend objectives and policies in Table 8A as per details in submission and make consequential amendments to related methods.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.049	Table 8(a)	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui want to see objectives in line with Te Tiriti o Waitangi that enable partnership in the incorporation of Te Mana o Te Wai and Te Rito of te Harakeke.	Include specific objective to partner with mana whenua in the protection of iwi/hapū against natural hazards.