

# **Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region**

## **Section 42A Hearing Report Hearing Stream 1**

**Topic: Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives**

**Process: Freshwater Planning Process and Schedule 1, Part 1 Process**

**Prepared by: Samuel O'Brien**

**Report Date: 3 October 2024**

**Hearing Date: 4 November 2024**

## Contents

Executive Summary.....	1
Interpretation .....	2
1.0 Introduction.....	3
1.1 Purpose .....	3
1.2 Scope of this Report .....	3
1.3 Author.....	3
1.4 Supporting Evidence.....	4
1.5 Key Issues .....	4
1.6 Pre-hearing Meetings.....	4
2.0 Statutory Considerations .....	4
2.1 Resource Management Act 1991 .....	4
2.2 National Direction .....	5
2.3 Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative) .....	5
2.4 Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region .....	6
2.5 Section 32AA .....	6
2.6 Trade Competition .....	6
3.0 Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions.....	6
3.1 Report Structure .....	6
3.2 Issue 1: Categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process.....	7
3.2.1 <i>Analysis</i> .....	7
3.2.2 <i>Recommendations</i> .....	8
3.3 Issue 2: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values .....	8
3.3.1 <i>Analysis</i> .....	8
3.3.2 <i>Recommendations</i> .....	10
3.4 Issue 3: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems and Schedule F2 Significant habitats for indigenous birds .....	10
3.4.1 <i>Analysis</i> .....	10
3.4.2 <i>Recommendations</i> .....	11
3.5 Issue 4: Region wide amendments to Schedule F4 Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area and Schedule F5 Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area .....	11
3.5.1 <i>Analysis</i> .....	11
3.5.2 <i>Recommendations</i> .....	12
3.6 Issue 5: Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4.....	12

3.6.1	<i>Analysis</i>	12
3.6.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	13
3.7	Issue 6: Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species Definition	13
3.7.1	<i>Analysis</i>	13
3.7.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	13
3.8	Issue 7: Maps	14
3.8.1	<i>Analysis</i>	14
3.8.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	14
4.0	Conclusions	14

## Executive Summary

1. This report considers submissions received by Greater Wellington Regional Council ('the Council') in relation to the provisions of Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region ('PC1') as they apply to Chapter 12 – Schedules and the threatened species objectives and definition.
2. The provisions in this topic are following the Freshwater Planning Process and Schedule 1, Part 1 Process of the Resource Management Act 1991 ('the RMA').
3. A total of 86 submissions points and 123 further submission points were received on this topic. The submissions on this topic were narrow in focus, addressing the miscellaneous amendments to the Schedules and the threatened species objectives and definition. The following key issues are raised in submissions and are covered by this report. The detail of the issues and who the submitters are is set out in Appendix 2:
  - Categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process
  - Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values
  - Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems and Schedule F2 Significant habitats for indigenous birds
  - Region wide amendments to Schedule F4 Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area and Schedule F5 Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area
  - Threatened Species Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4
  - Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species Definition
  - Maps
4. Other issues raised by submitters in relation to this topic are also covered in the report, along with a range of consequential amendments that have arisen in responding to submissions.
5. As a result of analysing the submissions, I have recommended a number of amendments to the PC1 provisions to address issues raised. These amendments can be summarised as follows:
  - Minor amendments to Schedules F1 and F2c
6. These recommended changes are set out in Appendix 4 of this report.
7. I have also undertaken a section 32AA evaluation for the amendments I have recommended, and this is attached to this report as Appendix 5.
8. For the reasons outlined in the section 32AA evaluation in Appendix 5 and outlined in this report, I consider that the proposed definitions, policies, and schedules with the recommended amendments, are the most appropriate.

## **Interpretation**

9. This report utilises a number of abbreviations and should be read in conjunction with the document 'Plan Change 1 to the Natural resources Plan – List of Abbreviations of Terms and Submitter Names' available on the Plan Change 1 website.

## **1.0 Introduction**

### **1.1 Purpose**

10. This report is prepared under section 42A of the RMA. The purpose of this report is to provide the Freshwater Hearings Panel and the Part 1, Schedule 1 Hearings Panel ('the Hearing Panels') with an analysis of submissions received by the Council in relation to the Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives topic of PC1. I make recommendations as to whether or not those submissions should be accepted or rejected, and where appropriate, provide recommendations for amendments to the PC1 provisions.
11. This report should be read in conjunction with the section 42A report 'Overarching' which provides the background to PC1, the statutory context, scope of the plan change, the approach to the categorisation of provisions, and administrative matters relating to PC1.

### **1.2 Scope of this Report**

12. PC1 has been notified via two plan-making processes under Schedule 1 of the RMA:
  - The Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) under Part 4, Schedule 1 for the provisions that form the Freshwater Planning Instrument. These provisions are marked in the PC1 document with the freshwater icon.
  - The standard plan-making process in Part 1, Schedule 1 ('P1S1').
13. This report addresses submission points and provisions under both the FPP and P1S1 processes.
14. The provisions of PC1 that are addressed by this report are set out in Appendix 1. That table also includes the relevant page number in the notified plan change document, the relevant plan change process for each provision (FPP or P1S1), and the number of submission points received for each provision.

### **1.3 Author**

15. My name is Samuel Nicholas O'Brien, and I am employed by the Council as an Environmental Policy Advisor in the Environmental Policy team. I hold a Master of Planning and Bachelor of Applied Science from the University of Otago. I am a Graduate member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
16. I have 1 year of experience in resource management and planning, working in local government. During this time, I have specialised in policy planning working across a range of resource management issues.
17. I have been involved in the development of the provisions for PC1 and also contributed to the Section 32 evaluation report.
18. I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in the Practice Note 2023 issued by the Environment Court. I have complied with that Code when preparing my written statement of evidence and I agree to comply with it when I give any oral evidence.
19. The scope of my evidence relates to submissions and further submissions on Chapter 12 – Schedules, Maps, and the threatened species objectives and definition in the NRP. Other

than when I state that I am relying on the evidence of another person, I confirm that the issues addressed in this statement of evidence are within my area of expertise.

20. Any data, information, facts and assumptions I have considered in forming my opinions are set out. Where I have set out opinions in my evidence, I have given reasons for those opinions.
21. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.

#### **1.4 Supporting Evidence**

22. The evidence, literature, or other material which I have used or relied upon in support of the opinions expressed in this report includes the following:

- Technical Evidence (Ecology) - Statement of evidence of Dr Phillipa Crisp

#### **1.5 Key Issues**

23. A number of submitters raised issues within the Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives topic. A total of 86 submission points and 123 further submission points were received on the provisions relating to this topic.

24. The following are considered to be the key issues in contention. They are set out in more detail in Appendix 2:

- Categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process
- Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values
- Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems and Schedule F2 Significant habitats for indigenous birds
- Region wide amendments to Schedule F4 Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area and Schedule F5 Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area
- Threatened Species Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4
- Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species Definition
- Maps

25. This report addresses each of these key issues, as well as any other issues raised by submissions.

#### **1.6 Pre-hearing Meetings**

26. At the time of writing this report there has not been any pre-hearing meetings, Schedule 1, clause 8AA meetings or expert witness conferencing in relation to submissions on this topic.

## **2.0 Statutory Considerations**

### **2.1 Resource Management Act 1991**

27. PC1 has been prepared in accordance with the RMA and in particular, the requirements of:
  - Section Part 2 Purpose and principles
  - Section 30 Functions of regional councils

## Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region

### Hearing Stream: Hearing Stream 1

#### Officer's Report: Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives

- Section 32 Requirements for preparing and publishing evaluation reports
- Section 32AA Requirements for undertaking and publishing further evaluations
- Section 63 Purpose of regional plans
- Section 64 Preparation and change of regional coastal plans
- Section 65 Preparation and change of other regional plans
- Section 66 Matters to be considered by regional council (plans)
- Section 67 Contents of regional plans
- Section 68 Regional rules
- Section 69 Rules relating to water quality
- Section 70 Rules about discharges
- Section 80A Freshwater planning process
- Part 1 and Part 4 of Schedule 1

## 2.2 National Direction

28. The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) sets the direction for management of natural and physical resources to achieve healthy waterbodies and freshwater ecosystems. In doing so, it seeks to improve the management of freshwater quality and quantity in New Zealand. The NPS-FM identifies threatened species as a compulsory value under the National Objectives Framework (NOF). It requires regional councils to identify the locations of habitats of threatened freshwater species, set an environmental outcome for threatened species and include this as an objective in regional plans, and identify attributes for threatened species and set baseline states, target attribute states, environmental flows and levels and other criteria support the achievement of environmental outcomes. The provisions included in this report seek to give effect to these requirements.
29. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) sets the national policy framework for the management of activities in the coastal environment and CMA. This statement is relevant to this topic of PC1. In particular Policy 11 which sets out a policy framework to protect indigenous biological diversity in the coastal environment.

## 2.3 Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative)

30. The RPS sets out the framework and priorities for resource management in the Wellington Region. The RMA requires regional councils to produce an RPS for their region and review it every 10 years. The RPS was made operative on 24 April 2013. The RPS identifies the regionally significant issues around the management of the region's natural and physical resources and sets out what needs to be achieved (objectives) and the way in which the objectives will be achieved (policies and methods). The provisions addressed in this s42A report give effect to *Policy 23: Identifying indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values* and *Policy 24: Protecting indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values* of the Operative Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region. The provisions within this topic are consistent with the identification and protection criteria identified in these policies.



## **2.4 Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region**

31. Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement (RPS Change 1) was notified on 19 August 2022. RPS Change 1 makes changes to the operative RPS primarily in anticipation of significant increases in urban development activity as the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and the medium density residential standards (MDRS) take effect in the Region. The Council sought to take an integrated approach to managing resource management issues associated with this increase in urban development activity and RPS Change 1 includes a number of amended and new policies relating to climate change adaptation and resilience, freshwater management, and indigenous biodiversity.
32. As a proposed regional policy statement, section 66(1)(a) of the RMA requires regard to be had to it.
33. The PC1 provisions covered in this report have regard to Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region in particular amendments to Objective 16 that seek to protect and enhance indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant biodiversity values. They also have regard to the amendments to Policies 23 and 24.

## **2.5 Section 32AA**

34. I have undertaken an evaluation of my recommended amendments to provisions since the initial section 32 evaluation was undertaken in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA.
35. The required section 32AA evaluation for changes proposed as a result of consideration of submissions with respect to this topic is set out in Appendix 5.
36. The section 32AA further evaluation contains a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the anticipated effects of the amendments that have been recommended in this report. Recommendations on editorial, minor and consequential changes that improve the effectiveness of provisions without changing the policy approach or intent are not re-evaluated.

## **2.6 Trade Competition**

37. Trade competition is not considered relevant to this topic within PC1.
38. There are no known trade competition issues raised within the submissions.

## **3.0 Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions**

### **3.1 Report Structure**

39. The issues raised in submissions are addressed by sub-topics within this report. Some submissions cross several sub-issues and are therefore addressed under more than one sub-issue heading. Appendix 2 provides a description of the matters raised for each issue in table format, along with the relevant submission point references.

40. The RMA allows the Hearing Panels to address submissions by grouping them either by the provisions to which they relate, or the matters to which they relate.<sup>1</sup> On this basis, I have undertaken my analysis and evaluation on an issues and provisions-based approach, rather than a submission-by-submission approach.
41. Appendix 4 sets out the amendments I am recommending to PC1 as a result of my analysis of submissions. These recommended amendments are supported by an evaluation in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA, which is provided in Appendix 5.
42. This report should be read in conjunction with the submissions and the summary of those submissions as published on the Council's website. Appendix 6 to this report includes a table setting out all submission points relevant to this hearing topic. In that table I have identified whether I recommend accepting/accepting in part or rejecting/rejecting in part the relief sought by submitters, or make no recommendation. My reasons for these recommendations are explained in the body of this report.

## **3.2 Issue 1: Categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process**

### **3.2.1 Analysis**

43. The issue of categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process is raised by Wellington International Airport Limited [S101.070]. The submitter notes that the section 32 evaluation cites that Schedule F2c relates to objectives that give effect to the NPS-FM but the NPS-FM does not apply to coastal waters. In this section I evaluate the categorisation process for all provisions within this topic including Schedule F2c.
44. At the time of notification of PC1, section 80A of the RMA provided the relevant tests for determining which parts of PC1 should form part of the FPI. While an amendment to section 80A(4)(b) was made post notification of PC1,<sup>2</sup> that amendment does not have retrospective effect to PC1. Regardless, the amendment to section 80A(4)(b) of the RMA related to the date by which the Council was to notify a freshwater planning instrument to give effect to the NPS-FM 2020 (a change from 31 December 2024 to 31 December 2027) as opposed to the content of an FPI or categorisation of provisions.
45. I have not considered the amended version of section 80A as part of this assessment, and instead have assessed the relevant FPI provisions against the version of section 80A as it was when PC1 was notified.
46. Section 80A of the RMA provided that:
  - regional coastal plan provisions are not part of a freshwater planning instrument (section 80A(8));
  - any part of PC1 that relates to objectives that give effect to the NPS-FM 2020 is part of a freshwater planning instrument (sections 80A(2)(d)(i) and 80A(6B)(a));
  - any part of PC1 which relates to freshwater, where the Council has decided to use the freshwater planning process is part of a freshwater planning instrument (section 80A(2)(d)(ii)); and

---

<sup>1</sup> Clause 49(4)(c) of Schedule 1, Part 4 of the RMA for the Freshwater Hearings Panel and Clause 10(3) of Schedule 1, Part 1 of the RMA for the P1S1 Hearings Panel.

<sup>2</sup> Section 80A(4)(b) was amended on 12 December 2023 by section 6 of the Resource Management (Natural and Built Environment and Spatial Planning Repeal and Interim Fast-track Consenting) Act 2023.

- a proposed regional plan relates to freshwater if (section 80A(6A)):
    - i. it relates (in whole or in part) to an objective of the NRP or the RPS; and
    - ii. the objective relates to the performance of a function in section 30(1)(c), (e), (f), (fa), (g) or (ga).
47. The process the Council followed in determining which provisions should be notified as part of the FPI and which provisions should be part of the P1S1 process is set out in section 6.1 of the Section 32 report that was prepared in support of PC1<sup>3</sup>.
48. The section 32 report concluded that Schedule F4 and F5 Maps 27, 27(1), 27(2) related to sites within the CMA, form part of the Regional Coastal Plan and were therefore categorised as P1S1 provisions. Schedules A2, F1, F2a, and F2b, and Schedule F2c were provisions that relate to an objective that gives effect to the NPS-FM and were therefore categorised as part of the FPI. For Objectives WH.O4 and P.O4 the habitats of some of these freshwater species extend into the CMA, meaning that the provisions also apply within the CMA as well as freshwater environments. Therefore, these provisions were categorised as P1S1 provisions.
49. I have assessed each provision addressed by this report according to the tests that were applied to categorise each provision in PC1 to either the FPP or to the P1S1 process at the time of notification, consistent with the Council's understanding of section 80A at the time.
50. The result of my assessment is provided in Appendix 3. In summary, I agree with the categorisation of provisions undertaken when PC1 was notified for Schedule F4 and F5 Maps 27, 27(1), 27(2), 27(3), Schedules A2, F1, F2a, and F2b, and Objectives WH.O4 and P.O4. I also note that while Schedules A2, F1, F2a, and F2b were categorised as part of the FPI the freshwater icon was not added in the plan change document. I consider that the freshwater icon should be included to these provisions, noting that it is for information only.
51. I disagree with the categorisation of Schedule F2c because the schedule identifies significant habitats for bird in the coastal marine area and has a coastal icon. It therefore should be recategorised to the P1S1 process. I therefore recommend accepting in part the relief sought by Wellington International Airport Limited on this matter [S101.070].

### **3.2.2 Recommendations**

52. As a result of the assessment undertaken in Appendix 4, I recommend that the following is moved from the FPP into the P1S1 process:
- Schedule F2c
53. I recommend that the submission is accepted in part as detailed in Appendix 6.

### **3.3 Issue 2: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values**

#### **3.3.1 Analysis**

54. The proposed amendments to Schedule A2 through PC1 identify populations of nationally threatened freshwater species in 2 Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values in

---

<sup>3</sup> [Proposed-Plan-Change-1-Section-32-report.pdf \(gw.govt.nz\), from page 22.](#)

**Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region**

**Hearing Stream: Hearing Stream 1**

**Officer’s Report: Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives**

Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua. They also identify critical habitat attributes for each species.

Mapping Schedule A2 Water Bodies

55. Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.081/S255.082] seeks that unnamed streams in Schedule A2 are given a reference and mapped, Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.115] and Forest and Bird [S261.209] consider that outstanding water bodies need to be listed and mapped. Schedule A2 relates to lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values and there are no unnamed streams within this schedule. The lakes within this schedule are outlined in Map 1 within the plan, and more detailed mapping is available online through the online web map viewer. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

Indigenous Fish Diversity

56. Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.116] and Forest and Bird [S261.209] have sought that indigenous fish diversity is listed as a value of Lake Wairarapa to ensure values can be protected. This change falls outside the geographical area of the two whaitua (Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua) and in my view, are outside scope of PC1. I have therefore recommended rejecting this submission.

57. However, if the Hearings Panel takes a different view on scope, I consider the changes sought are appropriate and these additions would improve the effectiveness and efficiency of Schedule A2. Including ‘indigenous fish diversity’ in Schedule A2 achieves consistency with Schedule F1 that outlines the threatened fish species in Lake Wairarapa. The submitters have sought any further consequential relief to address their concerns. I therefore would also consider that *Echyridella aucklandica* (Threatened-Nationally Vulnerable) should also be added to the threatened species section in A2 as it is present in Lake Wairarapa. This is outlined in the statement of evidence provided by Phillipa Crisp. If the panel takes a different view on scope, the Lake Wairarapa row in Schedule A2 could be amended as follows:

Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values		
Lakes	Values	<u>Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes (for Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua)</u>
Lake Kohangapiripiri	Aquatic plants Indigenous fish diversity Threatened fish species	<u>Aquatic herb (Plant) <i>Althenia bilocularis</i>: Shallow freshwater close to coast, habitat free of exotic aquatic pest plants.</u>
Lake Kohangatera	Aquatic plants Indigenous fish diversity Threatened fish species	<u>Aquatic herb (Plant) <i>Althenia bilocularis</i>: Shallow freshwater close to coast, habitat free of exotic aquatic pest plants.</u>
Lake Wairarapa	Wildlife habitat <u>Indigenous fish diversity</u>	<u>Freshwater invertebrate <i>Echyridella aucklandica</i>:  Good quality water and substrate that is not too silty, as can clog the gills. Presence of native host fish species required for the larval stage and key to recruitment</u>

### 3.3.2 Recommendations

58. If the Hearings Panel considers there is scope to do so, Schedule A2 could be amended as shown above.
59. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.

### 3.4 Issue 3: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems and Schedule F2 Significant habitats for indigenous birds

#### 3.4.1 Analysis

60. The proposed amendments in PC1 included changes to identify populations of nationally threatened freshwater species and their habitat in Schedule F1 and F2 for the two whaitua (Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua).
61. Submitters have sought additions and other amendments to the Schedules which are addressed further below.

#### Species

62. Based on information provided by Dr Philippa Crisp in her statement of evidence I accept the submission from Zealandia [S113.013] to add *E. aucklandica* as a nationally threatened freshwater species in the Kaiwharawhara Stream in Schedule F1: Rivers and Lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems. This species has the conservation status of "Threatened-Nationally Vulnerable" in the NZ Threat Classification system. However, Dr Crisp advises that kākahi/freshwater mussel (*Echyridella menziesii*) has a conservation status of are 'At Risk Declining', which is not a threatened species within the NZ Threat classification system. I therefore do not recommend that this species should be added to the nationally threatened freshwater species column.
63. Zealandia [S113.013] has requested that 'reach of tidal influence' should be added to the inanga spawning habitat column for the Kaiwharawhara Stream in Schedule 1. Inanga spawning habitat in the Kaiwharawhara Stream is already recognised in *Schedule F1b: Known rivers and parts of the coastal marine area with inanga spawning habitat* and the amendment sought by the submitter achieve consistency between the schedules. For these reasons, I agree with the submitter and recommend that inanga spawning habitat in the Kaiwharawhara Stream be recognised in Schedule F1.
64. Wellington International Airport Ltd [S101.070] requests that evidence should be provided that the mapped areas in *Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area* are sufficiently accurate for inclusion in the plan. A range of surveys of the scheduled *Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Palmer Head to Lyall Bay excluding the seawall at the southern and western end of the Wellington International Airport* habitat extent have been conducted, highlighting the significance of the area as habitat for coastal birds. Further evidence relating to this information is outlined in the statement of evidence of Dr Philippa Crisp. I consider this information is appropriate for the use within the schedule.
65. The submitter seeks that within Schedule F2c where the description refers to "the site" it should be replaced with "Overall the harbour provides" or "Part of the Harbour provides" to reflect the size of the area. Based on the evidence of Dr Crisp I disagree with the request to

## Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region

### Hearing Stream: Hearing Stream 1

#### Officer's Report: Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives

replace the reference to 'the site' with alternative references that recognise the size of the area. The determination of significant sites for coastal birds within the Wellington harbour was based on the geographical segments used for the Ornithological Society surveys and those segments were considered appropriate for environmental planning. Their use enables greater accuracy to be provided for planning purposes and meant that developments within specific sites did not need to consider impacts on the whole harbour.

66. The submitter also seeks that the description of the habitat extent in Schedule F2c is amended to match the NRP GIS maps by excluding the seawall at the western end of the Wellington International Airport in addition to the exclusion for the seawall at the southern end that is currently stated in the description. I agree that the description needs to be amended to accurately match the online GIS maps to provide clarity for plan users. I therefore recommend it is amended to exclude the seawall on the "south-western" end of the airport.
67. Forest and Bird [S261.210] and Environmental Defence Society Inc [S222.117] seek the soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish is considered in all of the schedules within Schedule F. I note this request but consider as this document is not yet published, I cannot consider it within this plan change. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

#### Unnamed Streams

68. Similar to Schedule A2 above, Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.083-S255.087] seeks that any unnamed streams in any of the Schedule F schedules are given a reference and mapped. I consider that the available online GIS information and information within the schedules is appropriate for functionality of the plan. I consider that Greater Wellington Regional Council is unable to resource any further information at this time.

### **3.4.2 Recommendations**

69. I recommend that Schedule F1 and Schedule F2c are amended as shown in Appendix 4. No amendments are recommended to Schedule F2a or F2b.
70. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, accepted in part, or rejected detailed in Appendix 6.

## **3.5 Issue 4: Region wide amendments to Schedule F4 Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area and Schedule F5 Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area**

### **3.5.1 Analysis**

#### General

71. Wellington International Airport Limited [S101.071/S101.072] does not have any particular issues with the habitats in Schedules F4 and F5 being identified subject to the mapping of Horse mussel beds (Evans Bay and Kapiti Island) and Adamsiella algal beds being sufficiently accurate. I do not consider there are any issues with the accuracy of the mapping. The methodology used to map the sites is outlined in reports available on the

Greater Wellington Regional Council website<sup>4</sup>. I consider this approach appropriate for use within the NRP. I have also consulted with Dr Megan Oliver who is the Principal Advisor Knowledge, Environment Group at Greater Wellington Regional Council. Dr Oliver also considers this mapping to be accurate and appropriate for use within the NRP.

72. The submitter also seeks reconsideration of the use of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) icon given the "regionally rare" status of the species outlined above. The NZCPS icon is attached to any site that meets the criteria of NZCPS Policy 11(a) which outlines the need to avoid adverse effects of activities on particular types of species listed in the policy. I consider the use of NZCPS is appropriate as the species meet NZCPS Policy 11(a) for being "habitats of indigenous species that are at the limit of their natural range, or are naturally rare". The reports cited above outline further detail of the rare values of the species, highlighting their suitability to meet the NZCPS Policy 11(a) criteria. I have also consulted with Dr Oliver on this matter, she also holds the view that the NZCPS icon is appropriate for these species.

#### Unnamed Streams

73. Similarly to Schedules above, Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.088-S255.089] seeks that any unnamed streams in any of the Schedule F schedules are given a reference and mapped. I consider that the available online GIS information and information within the schedules is appropriate for functionality of the plan. I consider that Greater Wellington Regional Council is unable to resource any further information at this time.

### **3.5.2 Recommendations**

74. I do not recommend any changes to Schedule F4 and F5.
75. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.

## **3.6 Issue 5: Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4**

### **3.6.1 Analysis**

76. Proposed Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4 set new environmental outcomes in Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua for the habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species and the species themselves. The objective requires an increase in the extent, condition, and connectivity of the habitats to support an increase in the long-term population numbers of these species.

#### Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4

77. Submitters seek alignment within objectives P.O4 and WH.O4 with the NPSFM definition of threatened species, which refers to "threatened species" rather than "threatened freshwater species". Nationally threatened 'freshwater' species is intentionally highlighted in the

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.gw.govt.nz/document/21475/characterisation-of-the-evans-bay-adamsiella-algal-bed-in-2022/>  
<https://www.gw.govt.nz/document/21179/sites-of-significance-for-indigenous-marine-biodiversity-in-the-wellington-region-2021-update/>

definition to distinguish the definition from other threatened species managed in the NRP which includes provisions that also apply to threatened species in the coastal domain. Further, the point raised by submitters that some species rely on freshwater for part of their life cycle is recognised in the definition itself, which replicates the NPS-FM definition. For these reasons, I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

78. Wairarapa Federated Farmers [S193.060/S193.116] requests that the reference to improving threat classification should be removed as it is out of scope of council control. The objective sets a desired outcome which can be achieved over time through management under the NRP, Plan Change 1 and other initiatives. For this reason, I consider this appropriate for an objective and I therefore do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
79. Wellington Fish and Game Council [S188.034/S188.070] requests that it be included in management plans if sport fish or game habitats are considered to potentially impact on nationally threatened freshwater species. I note that this request from the submitter and consider that collaborative management between organisations in this manner would likely be beneficial for threatened species. I consider that amendments to these provisions are not required to enable this approach to be undertaken and I therefore do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### **3.6.2 Recommendations**

80. I do not recommend any changes to Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4.
81. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.

## **3.7 Issue 6: Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species Definition**

### **3.7.1 Analysis**

82. PC1 introduces a new definition for 'nationally threatened freshwater species'.

#### Alignment with NPSFM

83. Similarly to submission points on Objectives P.O4 and WH.O4, submitters seek alignment of the NPSFM definition of threatened species, which refers to "threatened species" rather than "threatened freshwater species. I disagree with submitters as threatened 'freshwater' species are explicitly outlined to distinguish the definition from other threatened species managed in the plan. Further, the point raised by submitters that some species rely on freshwater for part of their life cycle is recognised in the definition which replicates the NPS-FM definition.

### **3.7.2 Recommendations**

84. I do not recommend any changes to 'Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species' definition.
85. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.



### **3.8 Issue 7: Maps**

#### **3.8.1 Analysis**

##### Map 27

86. Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.099-S255.102] seeks TA District Plan style online maps. Online maps are already available for the NRP and Plan Change 1 through GW's online web map viewer. The maps inserted in PC1 are indicative only and the online maps should be used for greater detail. For this reason, I do not consider that any amendments are required to address the concerns raised by this submitter.

#### **3.8.2 Recommendations**

87. I do not recommend any changes to the Maps.
88. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.

## **4.0 Conclusions**

89. A range of submissions have been received in support of, and in opposition to the provisions relating to the Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives topic of PC1.
90. After considering all the submissions and reviewing all relevant statutory, I recommend that PC1 should be amended as set out in Appendix 4 of this report.
91. I consider that the amended provisions will be the most appropriate in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of PC1 and other relevant statutory documents, for the reasons set out in the Section 32AA evaluations undertaken.

#### **Recommendations:**

I recommend that:

1. PC1 is amended in accordance with the changes recommended in Appendix 4 of this report; and
2. The Hearing Panels accept/accept in part, or reject/reject in part submissions (and associated further submissions) as outlined in Appendix 6 of this report.



## Appendix 1: Table of Provisions within Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives topic and supporting information

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
Nationally threatened freshwater species	6	P1S1	9
Objective WH.O4	60	P1S1	27
Objective P.O	116	P1S1	19
Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values	164	FPP	24
Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems	165	FPP	31
Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers	204	FPP	13
Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lake	207	FPP	13
Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area	211	P1S1	15
Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in	238	P1S1	15

**Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024**

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
the coastal marine area			
Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area	246	P1S1	14
Map 27	289	P1S1	26

**Appendix 2: Description of matters raised by Submitters (by issue)****Issue 1: Categorisation of Provisions to the Freshwater Planning Process**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Schedule F2c	Wellington International Airport Limited notes that the section 32 evaluation cites that the schedules relate to objectives that give effect to the NPS-FM but the NPS-FM does not apply to coastal waters.	Wellington International Airport Limited [S101.070]

**Issue 2: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Support	Yvonne Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and Guardians of the Bays Inc supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated support Schedule A.	Yvonne Weeber [S183.344, S183.343], Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.141, S186.140] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.563-FS27.564, FS27.343-FS27.344]
Mapping Schedule A2 Waterbodies	Woodridge Holdings Ltd seek that unnamed streams in schedule A2 are given a reference and mapped. Environmental Defence Society Inc.[supported by Forest & Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] and Forest and Bird [supported by by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] consider that outstanding water bodies need to be listed and mapped.	Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.082, S255.081] Environmental Defence Society Inc [S222.115] Forest & Bird [S261.208], [FS23.271] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.1007-FS27.1008] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.296-FS9.297]
Indigenous Fish diversity	Environmental Defence Society Inc.[supported by Forest & Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] and Forest and Bird [supported by by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek that indigenous fish diversity is listed as a value of Lake Wairarapa (Wairarapa Moana).	Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.116] Forest & Bird [S261.209], [FS23.272] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.827-FS27.828] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.535-FS9.536]

**Issue 3: Threatened Species Amendments to Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems and Schedule F2 Significant habitats for indigenous birds**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Species	Guardians of the Bays Inc [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Pareraho Forest Trust, Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Environmental Defence Society Inc., and Forest & Bird support Schedule F1.  Guardians of the Bays Inc [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Environmental Defence Society Inc. [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and supported in part by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki], and Forest & Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and supported in part by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] support Schedule F2a, F2b, and F2c.  Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne supported by Forest & Bird seek amendments to add reach of tidal influence to the inanga spawning habitat column.	Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.142-S186.146] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.235, FS27.565-FS27.569, FS27.1009- FS27.1013, FS27.829-FS27.833, FS27.346- FS27.351] Yvonne Weeber [S183.345-S183.349] Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.117-S222.121] Forest & Bird [S261.210-S261.214], [FS23.273-FS23.277, FS23.1523, FS23.1323-FS23.1325] Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.035-FS37.038] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.298-FS9.302, FS9.537-FS9.541]

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
	<p>Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne supported by Forest &amp; Bird seek amendments to add kākahi/freshwater mussel (<i>Echyridella menziesii</i>) (At Risk declining) and <i>E. aucklandica</i> (Threatened- Nationally Vulnerable) to nationally threatened freshwater species column.</p> <p>Environmental Defence Society Inc. [supported by Forest &amp; Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Wellington International Airport Limited and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association and Forest &amp; Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Wellington International Airport Limited and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek the inclusion of additional detail in the soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish species is considered.</p>	<p>Pareraho Forest Trust [S213.027] Wellington International Airport Limited [S101.003, S101.070], [FS31.086-FS31.087] Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne [S113.013]</p>
Unnamed Streams	Woodridge Holdings Ltd supported by Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities seek that unnamed streams in Schedules F1 and F2 are given a reference and mapped.	Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.083-S255.087] Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities [FS45.102]

#### Issue 4: Region wide amendments to Schedule F4 Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area and Schedule F5 Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Support	Guardians of the Bays Inc [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Environmental Defence Society Inc. [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and supported in part by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki], and Forest & Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and supported in part by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] support Schedule F4 and F5.	<p>Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.147-S186.148] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.570-FS27.571, FS27.1014- FS27.1015, FS27.834-FS27.835] Yvonne Weeber [S183.350-S183.351] Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.122-S222.123] Forest &amp; Bird [S261.215-S261.216], [FS23.278-FS23.279] Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.039] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.303-FS9.304, FS9.542-FS9.543]</p>
General	Wellington International Airport Limited opposed by Forest & Bird seek amendments to the Schedule and associated planning map to accurately map the extent of the habitats and seek reconsideration of the use of the NZCPS icon given the "regionally rare" status.	Wellington International Airport Limited [S101.071-S101.072] Forest & Bird [FS23.1324-FS23.1325]
Unnamed Streams	Woodridge Holdings Ltd supported by Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities seek that unnamed streams in all schedule F4 and F5 are given a reference and mapped.	Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.088-S255.089] Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities [FS45.102]

#### Issue 5: Threatened Species Objective P.04 and Objective WH.04

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Objective P.O4 and Objective WH.O4	<p>China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd [opposed by Forest &amp; Bird], Guardians of the Bay Inc [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated], Pareraho Forest Trust, Porirua City Council [opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association], Upper Hutt City Council [opposed by Forest &amp; Bird], Wellington City Council, and Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] support objectives P.O4 and/or WH.O4.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui [supported by Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust and Rangitāne o Wairarapa] support objective WH.O4]</p> <p>Environmental Defence Society Inc.[supported by Forest &amp; Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] and Forest and Bird [supported by by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek alignment of the definition with the NPSFM.</p> <p>Wairarapa Federated Farmers [opposed by Forest &amp; Bird] considers that the threat classification relies on factors outside the scope of the objective.</p> <p>Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [supported by Forest &amp; Bird, Manor Park Golf Club, Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated, New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek that they are included in management plans if sport fish or game habitats are considered to potentially impact on national threatened freshwater species.</p> <p>Wellington Water Ltd [opposed by Forest &amp; Bird] seek that Objective P.O4 is amended.</p>	<p>China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd [S288.084], [S288.041]  Guardians of the Bay Inc [S186.099]  Pareraho Forest Trust [S213.011]  Porirua City Council [S240.028]  Taranaki Whānui [S286.022]  Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust [FS2.019]  Rangitāne o Wairarapa [FS24.022]  Upper Hutt City Council [S225.062]  Wellington City Council [S33.027],[S33.078]  Yvonne Weeber [S183.182], [S183.270]  Forest and Bird [S261.053], [S261.137], [FS23.108], [FS23.065], [FS23.182], [FS23.1190], [FS23.1226],[FS23.1016], [FS23.1072], [FS23.980], [FS23.1432]  Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.918, FS27.6672], [FS27.756], [FS27.1123], [FS27.1159], [FS27.182], [FS27.270],[FS27.522]  New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.464, FS9.207, FS9.380, FS9.034, FS9.070, FS9.125]  Environmental Defence Society [S222.026]  Wairarapa Federated Farmers [S193.060, S193.116]  Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [S188.034, S188.070]  Manor Park Golf Club [FS21.075, FS21.039]  Wellington Water Ltd [S151.105]</p>

**Issue 6: Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species Definition**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Alignment with NPSFM	<p>Environmental Defence Society Inc.[supported by Forest &amp; Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] and Forest and Bird [supported by by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek alignment of the definition with the NPSFM.</p>	<p>Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.005]  Forest &amp; Bird [S261.018] [FS23.161], Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.897, FS27.637]  New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.186, FS9.345]</p>
Neutral	<p>Yvonne Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated is neutral.</p>	<p>Yvonne Weeber [S183.031]  Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.031]</p>

**Issue 7: Maps**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Map 27	<p>Forest &amp; Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] support Map 27 in assisting with plan interpretation.</p> <p>Woodridge Holdings Ltd seek TA District Plan style online maps.</p> <p>Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] is neutral.</p>	<p>Forest &amp; Bird [S261.250-S261.253]                      New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.577-FS9.580]                      Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.399 – FS27.402], FS27.869 – FS27.872]                      Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.099-S255.102]                      Yvonne Weeber [S183.399-S183.402]</p>

DRAFT



### Appendix 3: Assessment of the categorisation of provisions in the Freshwater Planning Instrument component of PC1

Provision in FPI	S32 report justification	S42A author assessment on categorisation of notified provision
Objectives WH.O4 and P.O4, Map 77	These objectives, method and supporting map are focused on the habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species and therefore relate to objectives that give effect to the NPS-FM. However, the habitats of some of these freshwater species extend into the CMA, meaning that the provisions also apply within the Section 32 Report: Part A 27 CMA as well as freshwater environments. Therefore, these provisions form part of the Regional Coastal Plan.	I agree with the S32 report justification.
Schedules A2, F1, F2a, and F2b	These schedules are provisions that relate to an objective that give effect to the NPS-FM and therefore are categorised to the FPP process.	I agree with the S32 report justification.
Schedule F2c	These schedules are provisions that relate to an objective that gives effect to the NPS-FM and therefore are categorised to the FPP process.	I disagree with the categorisation of Schedule F2c because the provision identifies significant habitats for bird in the coastal marina area and has a coastal icon. It therefore should be recategorised to the P1S1 process
Schedule F4 and F5, Maps 27, 27(1), 27(2), 27(3)	These schedules relate to sites within the CMA and therefore form part of the Regional Coastal Plan and are categorised to the P1S1 process.	I agree with the S32 report justification.

## Appendix 4: Recommended Amendments to Provisions

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are underlined and deletions are ~~struck through~~.

Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in red text. Additions are underlined and deletions are ~~struck through~~.

Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems						
River or Lake	Criteria that identify rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems				Indigenous fish species recorded in catchment (Migratory species are indicated in italics and the conservation status of “At Risk” and “Nationally Vulnerable” species are underlined and in bold, respectively)	<u>Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes</u> (for Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whitua)
	High macroinvertebrate community health	Habitat for indigenous threatened/at risk fish species	Habitat for six or more migratory indigenous fish species	Inanga spawning habitat		
Kaiwharawhara Stream		Stream and all tributaries	Stream and all tributaries	<u>Reach of tidal influence</u>	<i>Banded kokopu, bluegill bully, common bully, <u>giant bully, giant kokopu, inanga, koaro, longfin eel, redfin bully, shortfin eel, lamprey</u> and <b>shortjaw kokopu</b></i>	<u>Kōkopu/shortjaw kokopu (Fish) Galaxias postvectis:</u> <u>Small to medium-sized streams and rivers with large boulders and cobbly substrate, instream woody debris and undercut banks. Swiftly flowing clean,</u>



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems						
River or Lake	Criteria that identify rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems				Indigenous fish species recorded in catchment (Migratory species are indicated in italics and the conservation status of “At Risk” and “Nationally Vulnerable” species are underlined and in bold, respectively)	<u>Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes</u> (for Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua)
	High macroinvertebrate community health	Habitat for indigenous threatened/at risk fish species	Habitat for six or more migratory indigenous fish species	Inanga spawning habitat		
						<p><u>clear water. Catchments with native forest cover and intact riparian margins. Spawning habitat: Riparian vegetation and gravels or boulders and cobbles.</u></p> <p><u>Piharau/lamprey (Fish) <i>Geotria australis</i>:</u></p> <p><u>Small to medium-sized streams and rivers with large boulders and cobbly substrate, instream woody debris and undercut banks. Swiftly flowing clean, clear water. Catchments with native forest cover and intact riparian margins. Spawning habitat: Riparian vegetation and gravels or boulders and cobbles.</u></p> <p><u>Freshwater snail (Invertebrate) <i>Potamopyrgus oppidanus</i>:</u></p> <p><u>Spring-fed gully streams, riparian vegetation, minimisation of sediment run-off.</u></p>

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems						
River or Lake	Criteria that identify rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems				Indigenous fish species recorded in catchment (Migratory species are indicated in italics and the conservation status of “At Risk” and “Nationally Vulnerable” species are underlined and in bold, respectively)	<u>Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes</u> (for Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua)
	High macroinvertebrate community health	Habitat for indigenous threatened/at risk fish species	Habitat for six or more migratory indigenous fish species	Inanga spawning habitat		
						<p><u>Freshwater invertebrate <i>Echyridella aucklandica</i>:</u></p> <p><u>Good quality water and substrate that is not too silty, as can clog the gills.</u></p> <p><u>Presence of native host fish species required for the larval stage and key to recruitment</u></p>

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area					
Habitat extent	NZTM 2000 Northings	NZTM 2000 Eastings	Description of Nationally Threatened or At Risk species (Nationally threatened freshwater species are bold)	Critical periods	Nationally threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes (for Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whitua)
Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Palmer Head to Lyall Bay excluding the seawall at the southern <u>and south-western</u> end of the Wellington International Airport as shown on the NRP GIS maps 	5421979	1750808	<del>Four</del> <u>Six</u> Nationally Threatened or At Risk species are known to be resident or regular visitors to occur at this habitat site: <u>Banded dotterel</u> , little penguin, <u>pieb shag</u> , red-billed gull, variable oystercatcher, and white-fronted tern.	<del>None</del> <u>Banded dotterel breeding season: 1 August to 1 February</u>  <u>Little penguin breeding season: 1 July to 1 March</u>  <u>Variable oystercatcher breeding season: 1 September to 1 April</u>	
Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Te Raekaihau Point to Ohiro Bay road end 	5421200	1748110	<u>This site provides habitat for 7% of the regional breeding population of reef herons.</u> <del>Five</del> <u>Six</u> Nationally Threatened or At Risk species are known to be resident or regular visitors to occur at this habitat site: <u>Black shag</u> , little penguin, red-billed gull, <b>reef heron</b> , variable oystercatcher, and <del>black shag</del> , white-fronted tern.	<del>None</del> <u>Little penguin breeding season: 1 July to 1 March</u>  <u>Variable oystercatcher breeding season: 1 September to 1 April</u>	

## Appendix 5: Recommended Amendments to Provisions and Section 32AA Evaluation

This document sets out only the provisions of the notified version of Proposed Plan Change 1 for which submissions were specifically received.

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are underlined and deletions are ~~struck through~~. Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in red text. Additions are underlined and deletions are ~~struck through~~. Recommended amendments from other S42A reports are shown in orange text. Additions are underlined and deletions are ~~struck through~~.

The section 32AA assessment follows alongside for each of the provisions where amendments have been recommended by the officer.

Amendment no./Submission no.	Chapter	Provision	Text of provision with any recommended amendments	Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)
S113.013	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and Lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems	<a href="#">Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems</a>  See page 4	<p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b> The recommended amendments to Schedule F1 are effective in ensuring that the most up to date information on Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes is included.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits</b> The additions to Kaiwharawhara Stream in Schedule F1 provide environmental benefits through the protections enabled for scheduled species and habitats through the plan. The costs of the proposed amendments are low.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b> There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits to people and communities to justify the amendment to the policy.</p> <p><b>Recommendation about most appropriate option</b> The recommended amendments are the most appropriate as they provide Schedule F1 with the most up to date information.</p>

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

S101.070	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area	<a href="#">Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area</a>  See page 6	<p><b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b> The recommended amendments to Schedule F2c are effective in ensuring that the habitat extents in the schedules accurately reflect the NRP GIS maps.</p> <p><b>Costs and Benefits</b> There are no costs associated with this amendment. The amendment provides clarity to plan users.</p> <p><b>Risk of acting or not acting</b> There is sufficient information to act.</p> <p><b>Recommendation about most appropriate option</b> The recommended amendments are the most appropriate as they provide for the effective operation of Schedule F2c.</p>
----------	--------------	---	--	---





Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems						
River or Lake	Criteria that identify rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems				Indigenous fish species recorded in catchment (Migratory species are indicated in italics and the conservation status of “At Risk” and “Nationally Vulnerable” species are underlined and in bold, respectively)	Nationally Threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes (for Te Whanganui a Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua)
	High macroinvertebrate community health	Habitat for indigenous threatened/at risk fish species	Habitat for six or more migratory indigenous fish species	Inanga spawning habitat		
Kaiwharawhara Stream		Stream and all tributaries	Stream and all tributaries	<u>Reach of tidal influence</u>	<i>Banded kokopu</i> , <i>bluegill bully</i> , <i>common bully</i> , <u><i>giant bully</i></u> , <u><i>giant kokopu</i></u> , <i>inanga</i> , <i>koaro</i> , <u><i>longfin eel</i></u> , <u><i>redfin bully</i></u> , <u><i>shortfin eel</i></u> , <u><i>lamprey</i></u> and <u><i>shortjaw kokopu</i></u>	<p><u>Kōkopu/shortjaw kokopu (Fish) <i>Galaxias postvectis</i></u>: Small to medium-sized streams and rivers with large <u>boulders and cobbly substrate</u>, <u>instream woody debris</u> and <u>undercut banks</u>. <u>Swiftly flowing clean, clear water</u>. Catchments with <u>native forest cover</u> and <u>intact riparian margins</u>. Spawning habitat: <u>Riparian vegetation and gravels or boulders and cobbles</u>.</p> <p><u>Piharau/lamprey (Fish) <i>Geotria australis</i></u>: Small to medium-sized streams and rivers with large <u>boulders and cobbly substrate</u>, <u>instream woody debris</u> and <u>undercut banks</u>. <u>Swiftly flowing clean, clear water</u>. Catchments with <u>native forest cover</u> and <u>intact riparian margins</u>. Spawning habitat: <u>Riparian vegetation and gravels or boulders and cobbles</u>.</p> <p><u>Freshwater snail (Invertebrate) <i>Potamopyrgus oppidanus</i></u>: <u>Spring-fed gully streams</u>, <u>riparian vegetation</u>, <u>minimisation of sediment run-off</u>.</p> <p><u>Freshwater invertebrate <i>Echyridella aucklandica</i></u>:</p>

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

						<u>Clean water and substrate that is not too silty, as can clog the gills. Presence of native host fish species required for the larval stage and key to recruitment</u>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area					
Habitat extent	NZTM 2000 Northings	NZTM 2000 Eastings	Description of Nationally Threatened or At Risk species (Nationally threatened freshwater species are bold)	Critical periods	Nationally threatened Freshwater Species and their critical habitat attributes (for Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua whaitua)
Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Palmer Head to Lyall Bay excluding the seawall at the southern <u>and south-western</u> end of the Wellington International Airport as shown on the NRP GIS maps 	5421979	1750808	<del>Four</del> <u>Six</u> Nationally Threatened or At Risk species are known to be resident or regular visitors to occur at this habitat site: <u>Banded dotterel</u> , little penguin, <u>ped shag</u> , red-billed gull, variable oystercatcher, and white-fronted tern.	None <u>Banded dotterel breeding season: 1 August to 1 February</u>  <u>Little penguin breeding season: 1 July to 1 March</u>  <u>Variable oystercatcher breeding season: 1 September to 1 April</u>	
Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Te Raekaihau Point to Ohiro Bay road end 	5421200	1748110	This site provides habitat for 7% of the regional breeding population of reef herons. <del>Five</del> <u>Six</u> Nationally Threatened or At Risk species are known to be resident or regular visitors to occur at this habitat site: <u>Black shag</u> , little penguin, red-billed gull, <b>reef heron</b> , variable oystercatcher, and <del>black shag</del> , white-fronted tern.	None <u>Little penguin breeding season: 1 July to 1 March</u>  <u>Variable oystercatcher breeding season: 1 September to 1 April</u>	

Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)	S101.003			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Amend		Does not oppose amendments to schedule F2(C), F4 and F5, and understands that a consenting pathway remains available through the operative NRP provisions for regionally significant infrastructure to continue to operate in these areas. Provided this pathway remains available, supports the identification of the additional scheduled items (namely Horse mussels and Adamsiella beds within Evans Bay), subject to the identification of these particular features being accurately identified and mapped.	Not stated		Reject
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1256	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)	S101.070			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Oppose		Notes the "Habitat Extent" as described in the Schedule only excludes the Southern Seawall but the description should be updated to also exclude the Western Seawall. Notes the section 32 evaluation cites that the schedules relate to objectives that give effect to the NPS-FM but the NPS-FM does not apply to coastal waters. Submitter questions the rationale for the change to Schedule F2c, particularly with respect to Wellington Harbour - (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Palmer Head to Lyall Bay excluding the seawall at the southern end of the Wellington International Airport as shown on the NRP GIS maps and Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) – inland waters.	Amend Schedule F2(c) as follow: Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson) foreshore; Palmer Head to Lyall Bay excluding the seawall at the southern <strong>and western </strong>end of the Wellington International Airport as shown on the NRP GIS maps. Delete proposed changes to the identifies species list within Schedule F2(c) and renotify with an accompanying evaluation that meets the requirements of section 32 of the RMA. Evidence should also be provided that the mapped areas are sufficiently accurate for inclusion the Proposed NRP. References to "the site" should be replaced with "Overall the Harbour provides" or "Part of the Harbour provides" to reflect the size of the area. Or delete the changes to Schedule F2(c) that relate to the CMA.		Accept in part
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1323	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)	S101.071			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Amend		Acknowledges the presence of these indigenous species within Evans Bay and notes Policies 38, 39 and P41 of the Operative NRP provides a pathway for regionally significant infrastructure to undertake works within these areas.  Provided these operative provisions are not amended in any way as part of the Proposed NRP, submitter does not have any particular issue with the Horse mussel beds and Adamsiella beds being identified in Schedule F4, subject to the mapping being sufficiently accurate.	Amend the Schedule and associated planning map to accurately map the extent of the mussel beds. Reconsider the use of the NZCPS icon given the "regionally rare" status.		Reject
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1324	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)	S101.072			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Amend		Acknowledges the presence of these indigenous species within Evans Bay and notes Policies 38, 39 and P41 of the Operative NRP provides a pathway for regionally significant infrastructure to undertake works within these areas.  Provided these operative provisions are not	Amend the Schedule and associated planning map to accurately map the extent of the habitats. Reconsider the use of the NZCPS icon given the "regionally rare" status.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					in the coastal marine area.			amended in any way as part of the Proposed NRP, submitter does not have any particular issue with the Horse mussel beds and Adamisella beds being identified in Schedule F4, subject to the mapping being sufficiently accurate.			
Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1325	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)	S113.013			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Amend		Notes that both ākahi/freshwater mussel (Echyridella menziesii) (At Risk declining) and E. aucklandica (Threatened- Nationally Vulnerable) have been reintroduced to the upper catchment in Zealandia	Amend to add reach of tidal influence' to the inanga spawning habitat column. Amend to add kākahi/freshwater mussel (Echyridella menziesii) (At Risk declining) and E. aucklandica (Threatened- Nationally Vulnerable) to nationally threatened freshwater species column.		Accept in part
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1523	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.105			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		Not stated	Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1432	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.031			2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.031	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.182			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.182	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.270			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.270	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.343			12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.343	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be	Allow	Not stated	Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.344			12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.344	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.345			12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.345	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.346			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.346	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.347			12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.347	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.348			12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.348	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.349			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Support		Notes banded dotterel have been known to breed in the Palmer Head to Lyall Bay area	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.349	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			birds in the coastal marine area.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.350			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.350	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.351			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.351	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.399			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.399	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.400			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.400	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.401			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.401	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural	Allow	Not stated	Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.402			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.402	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.099			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.522	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.140			12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.563	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.141			12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.564	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		("MPHRCI")						Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.142			12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.565	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.143			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.566	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.144			12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.567	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.145			12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.568	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.146			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Support		Banded dotterl have been known to breed in the Palmer Head to Lyall Bay area.	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.569	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.147			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.570	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.148			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.571	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.190			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.613	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								<p>resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)	S188.034			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		<p>Considers if sports fish or game bird habitats and interactions are considered to potentially impact on nationally threatened freshwater species, Wellington Fish and Game Council as statutory managers are required to be involved in any management plans and actions.</p>	<p>If sports fish or game bird habitats and interactions are considered to potentially impact on nationally threatened freshwater species, Wellington Fish and Game Council to be involved in management plans and strategy creation as the statutory managers of these and as the organisation with the comprehensive knowledge to be involved in management plans and actions.</p>		Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.034	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					classification status.						
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.039	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1190	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1123	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)	S188.070			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		If sports fish or game bird habitats and interactions are considered to potentially impact on nationally threatened freshwater species, WFGC to be involved in management plans and strategy creation as the statutory managers of these and as the organisation with the comprehensive knowledge to be involved in management plans and actions.	Amend to provide for Wellington Fish and Game Council involvement in management plans and action where sports fish or game bird habitats and interactions are considered to potentially impact on nationally threatened freshwater species.		Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.070	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.075	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.						
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1226	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1159	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.060			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		Considers threat classification relies on factors outside the scope of this objective.	Delete "improving their threat classification"  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1016	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.116			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		Outside council control	Delete reference to improving threat classification status  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1072	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)	S213.011			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Supports improved catchment quality for more diverse, abundant and healthy populations of threatened species including 'Lamprey'.	Retain as notified		Accept
Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)	S213.027			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Support		Confirms presence of lamprey in Speedy's Stream and submitter stated they have an eDNA sample.	Retain as notified		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.005			2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species	Amend		Does not align with NPSFM, which is "threatened species".	Amend to "threatened species".		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.186	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.161	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	FS27.897	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")						amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.026			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		Considers it does not align with NPSFM, which is "threatened species".	Amend to <strong>"threatened species".</strong>		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.207	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.						
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.182	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.918	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.115			12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies	Amend		Considers outstanding water bodies need to be listed and mapped.	List and map outstanding water bodies in the area that are streams, rivers and wetlands, including Te Awakairangi, the Akatarawa River, and the Pakuratahi River.		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.296	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.271	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1007	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence	S222.116			12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with	Amend		Considers further detail is required to ensure values can be protected.	List Indigenous fish diversity as a value of Lake Wairarapa (Wairarapa Moana).		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Society Inc. (S222)					outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.				Note threatened fish species known to be present for each lake.		
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.297	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.272	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1008	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.117			12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.	Amend		Considers additional detail from the DOC report on habitat requirements of native fish is required.	Consider including additional detail in the soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish species.		Reject
Environmental Defence		New Zealand Farm	FS9.298	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Society Inc. (S222)		Forestry Association (NZFFA)			significant indigenous biodiversity values.						
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.273	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1009	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Wellington International Airport Limited	FS31.086	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Oppose	It is inappropriate to seek to include new species from a yet to be published report. A subsequent variation should be advanced, as appropriate, to incorporate such changes.	Disallow	Consider including additional detail in the soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish species.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.118			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry	FS9.299	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Association (NZFFA)			indigenous ecosystems.						
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.274	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1010	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.119			12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.300	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.275	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1011	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.036	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support in part	NHoŌ support the proposed amendments to Schedule F2a, F2b, F2c and F4 to the extent that they update the status of indigenous bird species and include new species. We note that we would like to explore with GWRC including further sites to these Schedules at the appropriate time. Through our kaitiaki and their observations, as well as our research conducted, we have observed indigenous species at sites not currently included in the Schedule. Schedules must be continually reviewed and updated to ensure that taonga species are protected across the rohe. As kaitiaki, we are obligated to ensure that taonga species are protected through mechanisms such as the regional plan. As Te Tiriti partners we look forward to working together with Greater Wellington Regional Council to Schedule additional sites in the future.	Allow in part	Allow the submission point.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.120			12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.301	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.276	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1012	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.037	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support in part	NHoO support the proposed amendments to Schedule F2a, F2b, F2c and F4 to the extent that they update the status of indigenous bird species and include new species. We note that we would like to explore with GWRC including further sites to these Schedules at the appropriate time. Through our kaitiaki and their observations, as well as our research conducted, we have observed indigenous species at sites not currently included in the Schedule. Schedules must be continually reviewed and updated to ensure that taonga	Allow in part	Allow the submission point.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								species are protected across the rohe. As kaitiaki, we are obligated to ensure that taonga species are protected through mechanisms such as the regional plan. As Te Tiriti partners we look forward to working together with Greater Wellington Regional Council to Schedule additional sites in the future.			
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.121			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.302	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.277	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1013	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.038	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Support in part	NHoO support the proposed amendments to Schedule F2a, F2b, F2c and F4 to the extent that they update the status of indigenous bird species and include new species. We note that we would like to explore with GWRC including further sites to these Schedules at the appropriate time. Through our kaitiaki and their observations, as well as our research conducted, we have observed indigenous species at sites not currently included in the Schedule. Schedules must be continually reviewed and updated to ensure that taonga species are protected across the rohe. As kaitiaki, we are obligated to ensure that taonga species are protected through mechanisms such as the regional plan. As Te Tiriti partners we look forward to working together with Greater Wellington Regional Council to Schedule additional sites in the future.	Allow in part	Allow the submission point.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.122			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.303	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.278	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1014	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.039	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support in part	NHoO support the proposed amendments to Schedule F2a, F2b, F2c and F4 to the extent that they update the status of indigenous bird species and include new species. We note that we would like to explore with GWRC including further sites to these Schedules at the appropriate time. Through our kaitiaki and their observations, as well as our research conducted, we have observed indigenous species at sites not currently included in the Schedule. Schedules must be continually reviewed and updated to ensure that taonga species are protected across the rohe. As kaitiaki, we are obligated to ensure that taonga species are protected through mechanisms such as the regional plan. As Te Tiriti partners we look forward to working together with Greater Wellington Regional Council to Schedule additional sites in the future.	Allow in part	Allow the submission point.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.123			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM and complies with RMA.	Not stated		Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.304	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.279	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1015	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Upper Hutt City Council (S225)	S225.062			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Supports in principle but may have specific comments on policies and rules that implement this objective.	Retain as notified		Accept
Upper Hutt City Council (S225)		Forest & Bird	FS23.890	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					classification status.						
Porirua City Council (S240)	S240.028			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Supports in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Porirua City Council (S240)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.125	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.081			12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.082			12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.083			12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)		Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS45.102	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support in part	Subject to the Kāinga Ora primary submission, as notified, the rule requires EW consent for ALL EW (no matter scale) unless all discharge can be prevented, which essentially means water has to be contained on site until EW stabilisation. This is not practical and has resulted in most urban development, even small scale EW requiring a regional discharge consent.	Allow in part	Considers it will not be possible to comply with these rules as their conditions specify that there should be no discharge of sediment and suggests the majority small scale earthworks which are currently permitted would need a consent to ensure compliance is not an issue. Concern about GW resourcing to accommodate the costs generated by PC1.  Considers WRC's own ESCP Guidelines don't consider or provide solutions for the level of treatment required, which is greater than that of a permitted stormwater discharge. Notes that as a result of these rules, the pre-earthworks development is allowed to discharge a prescribed level of SS and the post-development site is allowed to discharge a prescribed level of SS but the development phase is not allowed any, and topography and permeability in Wellington and Porirua makes treatment difficult.  Withdraw and redraft PC1 or amend Rules WH.R23 and P.P22 so that they allow an appropriate level of SS in any stormwater discharge. 50g/m3 to Schedule A sites and 100g/m3 to any other water body are noted in WH.R3 (notes these levels may need to be amended following submission by experts in this field).	Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.084			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.085			12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.			
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.086			12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.087			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.088			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.089			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Amend		Considers unnamed streams in all schedules be given a reference and mapped, including provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates, so that the location can be more readily identified. Considers it not sufficient to state coordinates alone.   Considers all streams with names should also be mapped and provided with a hyperlink so that it's easier to determine where they are, noting not everyone knows individual stream names and where they are and it can be difficult to determine their locations using the available online info.	Provide a reference and map all unnamed streams in all schedules and include the provision of a hyperlink to the coordinates.  Map and provide a hyperlink to all streams with names.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.099			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.100			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.101			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Reject
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.102			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.018			2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species	Amend		Notes the NPSFM refers to "threatened species" rather than "threatened freshwater species", and that some species that rely on freshwater for part of their life cycle will not constitute "freshwater species".	Amend to <strong>"nationally threatened species"</strong> or <strong>"threatened species"</strong> Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.345	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.637	2 Interpretation	Nationally threatened freshwater species		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.053			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Oppose		Notes the NPSFM refers to "threatened species" rather than "threatened freshwater species", and that some species that rely on freshwater for part of their life cycle will not constitute "freshwater species". Notes amendment is also needed to definition. Seeks to avoid conflation between freshwater species habitat and threatened species direction from the NPSFM.	Amend as follows: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened <del>freshwater </del>species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.  Retain balance of policy to provide direction for protection and monitoring of habitat.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.380	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.672	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.137			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Amend		Notes the NPSFM refers to "threatened species" rather than "threatened freshwater species", and that some species that rely on freshwater for part of their life cycle will not constitute "freshwater species". Considers amendment is also needed to definition. Seeks to avoid conflation between freshwater species habitat and threatened species direction from the NPSFM.	Amend as follows: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened <del>freshwater </del>species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.  Retain balance of policy to provide direction for protection and monitoring of habitat.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.464	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	FS27.756	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.			amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.208			12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies	Amend		Considers outstanding water bodies need to be listed and mapped.	List and map outstanding water bodies in the area that are streams/rivers/wetlands, including Te Awakairangi, the Akatarawa River, and the Pakuratahi River.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.535	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.827	12 Schedules	Schedule A: Outstanding water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.209			12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.	Amend		Considers further detail is required to ensure values can be protected	List Indigenous fish diversity as a value of Lake Wairarapa (Wairarapa Moana). Note threatened fish species known to be present for each lake.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.536	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.828	12 Schedules	Schedule A2: Lakes with outstanding indigenous ecosystem values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to,	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.210			12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.	Neutral		Notes additional detail is forthcoming from the DOC report on habitat requirements of native fish.	Consider including additional detail in soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish species.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.537	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.829	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)		Wellington International Airport Limited	FS31.087	12 Schedules	Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.		Oppose	It is inappropriate to seek to include new species from a yet to be published report. A subsequent variation should be advanced, as appropriate, to incorporate such changes.	Disallow	Consider including additional detail in the soon-to-be published DOC literature review of habitat requirements of native fish species.	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.211			12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.538	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.830	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant indigenous ecosystems.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
Forest & Bird (S261)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.035	12 Schedules	Schedule F1: Rivers and lakes with significant		Support in part	NHoŌ support the proposed amendments to Schedule F1 to amend the status of indigenous fish species and include new indigenous fish species in Schedule F1.	Allow in part	Allow the submission point.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					indigenous ecosystems.						
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.212			12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.539	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.831	12 Schedules	Schedule F2a: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.213			12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.540	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.832	12 Schedules	Schedule F2b: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.214			12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.541	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.833	12 Schedules	Schedule F2c: Significant habitats for indigenous birds in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.215			12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.542	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.834	12 Schedules	Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be	Allow	Not stated	Accept



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.216			12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.543	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.835	12 Schedules	Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.250			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.577	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.869	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.251			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.578	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.870	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 1: (Kāpiti).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.252			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2:	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					(Wellington Harbour).						
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.579	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.871	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: (Wellington Harbour).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.253			13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm	FS9.580	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Forestry Association (NZFFA)			biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.						
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.872	13 Maps	Map 27: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area (Schedule F4) Insert 2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Taranaki Whānui (S286)	S286.022			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					classification status.						
Taranaki Whānui (S286)		Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	FS2.019	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and - regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept
Taranaki Whānui (S286)		Rangitāne o Wairarapa	FS24.022	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)	S288.041			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)		Forest & Bird	FS23.065	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.						
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)	S288.084			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)		Forest & Bird	FS23.108	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington City Council (S33)	S33.027			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which	Support		Support the goal that nationally threatened freshwater species are increased.	Retain as notified.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Schedules and Threatened Species Objectives – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.						
Wellington City Council (S33)	S33.078			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O4: The extent, condition, and connectivity of habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species are increased, and the long-term population numbers of these species and the area over which they occur are increased, improving their threat classification status.	Support		Support the goal that nationally threatened freshwater species are increased	Retain as notified		Accept