

Appendix 5: Table of recommendations on Submissions

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S101.002	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Amend		Notes new freshwater related objectives and policies within the Proposed NRP seek to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (“NPS-FM”) but some of the provisions also refer to the coastal marine area / coastal environment. Concerned that this will result in the management of the coastal resources in a way that is inconsistent with the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (“NZCPS”) and the remaining sections of the Operative NRP which are not subject to the Proposed NRP, and will apply freshwater management concepts to the coastal marine area and the coastal environment which is not appropriate.	Delete any reference to the coastal marine area from those provisions which seek to directly give effect to the NPS-FM.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1255	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.011	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units	Amend		To ensure consistent interpretation and application of the objectives, policies and rules. considers a new map be should be included which clearly delineates the boundaries of each Whaitua, including both coastal and landward areas.	Insert a new planning map that clearly identifies Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara, including both the coastal and landward areas.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1264	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.017	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			2 Interpretation	Whaitua	Amend		To ensure consistent interpretation and application of the objectives, policies and rules. considers a new map be should be included which clearly delineates the boundaries of each Whaitua, including both coastal and landward areas.	Insert a new planning map which clearly delineates all of the whaitua, including both coastal and landward areas of each one.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS16.039	Woodridge Holdings Ltd	2 Interpretation	Whaitua		Not stated	Insert a new planning map which clearly delineates all of the whaitua, including both coastal and landward areas of each one.	Allow	A digital map be should be included which clearly delineates the boundaries of each Whaitua, including both coastal and landward areas.	Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1270	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Whaitua		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.018	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O2	Oppose		Considers Objective O2 is reasonably broad and gives effect to the outcomes sought in Part 2 of the RMA. Considers it is appropriate for the objective to be retained as part of the Proposed NRP. Opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain the application of operative Objective O2 to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Accept
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1271	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS47.132	Meridian Energy Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	Objective O2 remains relevant for both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua. The benefits described in Objective O2 include the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation which are required to be recognised and provided for by the NPS-REG;	Allow	Allow S101.018 and retain Objective O2 as having application in both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Accept
S101.019	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O34	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1272	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O34		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S101.020	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O36	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1273	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O36		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.021	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O37	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1274	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O37		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.022	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O38	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed exclusion of these provisions as they relate to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Retain application of these provisions to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (by removing the symbol).		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1275	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O38		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.023	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 Objective WH.O3 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed note that excludes application of the objective and associated Tables 3.1 to 3.3 to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Delete the proposed amendments to the Note for Objective O18.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1276	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.024	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed note that excludes application of the objective and associated Tables 3.7 to 3.8 to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Delete the proposed amendments to the Note for Objective O19.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1277	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.025	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Amend		Should the relief sought by the submitter with respect to Chapter 8 of the NRP not be accepted, the submitter opposes the proposed note that excludes application of the objective and associated Tables 3.7 to 3.8 to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Delete the proposed amendments to the Note for Objective O28.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1278	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S101.038	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports the intent to improve the health of freshwater bodies and coastal marine area but considers “wai ora”, as expressed in the objectives, cannot be achieved at the Airport due to its operational and functional requirements. Notes as examples: protecting the seawall surrounding the Airport is critical to the ongoing operational of the Airport and reverting the coast to its “natural character” would not be practicable in this location, and restoration of freshwater bodies where located within the Airport site will potentially affect the ongoing operation and development of the Airport. Notes higher order planning documents (such as the NPS-FM and the NPS-IB) provide a path for specified infrastructure to undertake activities within freshwater bodies in accordance with the effects management hierarchy. Considers that the objective to be wai ora by 2100 should be qualified rather than absolute.	Amend the Objective after reviewing the extent to which the objective should apply to sites containing critical infrastructure and whether such an objective is appropriate where it is also necessary to utilise natural and physical resources to meet the economic and social needs of Wellington’s communities. Or delete and revert to Operative NRP.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1291	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S101.039	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Supports the general intention of this objective but notes there are practical difficulties with an absolute requirement for wai ora to be achieved. Considers it important to recognise that the trajectory required by the objective is to be applied at a Whaitua or catchment wide level and that localised effects will not necessarily preclude the overall outcomes from being achieved. Note higher order planning documents (such as the NPS-FM and NPS-IB) provide a path for specified infrastructure to undertake activities within freshwater bodies (such as rivers and wetlands).	Amend the objective to clarify that the reference to the health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara is at a broad, regional level (and thus recognising that there may be localised effects arising as a result of specified infrastructure undertaking activities in rivers and wetlands. Review the extent to which the objective should apply to sites containing critical infrastructure and amend accordingly. Review whether such an objective is appropriate where it is also necessary to utilise natural and physical resources to meet the economic and social needs of Wellington's communities and amend accordingly. Or delete and revert to Operative NRP.		Reject
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1292	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S101.040	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Amend		Supports the general intention of this objective. Concerned that (g) and (h) do not recognise that for health and safety reasons it may not be appropriate to provide a physical connection to the coast. Considers the phrase “the health and wellbeing of coastal water quality” is unclear and considers the chapeau would be better articulated by relating the “health and wellbeing” component of the objective to ecosystems and health.	Amend the objective to recognise that physical access will not be appropriate in all situations. Amend the chapeau of the objective as follows: The health and wellbeing of the coastal water quality, and the health and wellbeing of ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained and improved to achieve” At an overall level, review the extent to which the objective should apply to sites containing critical infrastructure and amend accordingly. Review whether such an objective is appropriate where it is also necessary to utilise natural and physical resources to meet the economic and social needs of Wellington’s communities and amend accordingly. Or delete and revert to Operative NRP		Accept in part
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1293	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S101.041	Wellington International Airport Limited (S101)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Support		Supports the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Wellington International Airport Limited	FS23.1294	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				anui-a-Tara				be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S106.001	Korokoro Environment Group (S106)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Lack of baseline data for Korokoro Stream but considers the high Target Attributes for Korokoro Stream are appropriate. Supports the inclusion of monitoring of Korokoro Stream and the tributary in Galbraiths Gully and seeks to be involved with community participation and information sharing.	Retain as notified (inferred)		Accept in part
S107.002	Friends of Waipāhihi Karori Stream (S107)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		States that monitoring shows that water quality is poor in the Waipāhihi Karori Stream, particularly from <i>E. coli</i> . Considers that councils need to focus on basics, such as fixing pipes.	Not stated		No recommendation
S107.003	Friends of Waipāhihi Karori Stream (S107)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Particularly supports the following:- proposed timeframes for achieving the target attribute states. - proposed measures to reduce wet weather overflows and dry weather discharges from the wastewater system. Ideally prefers these are removed completely, however acknowledges the complexity of doing so.	Not stated		No recommendation
S107.005	Friends of Waipāhihi Karori Stream (S107)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Notes that Wellington City Council will submit that the timeframes for achieving the target attribute state be extended to 2060 but this is not supported by the submitter.	Not stated		Accept
S107.006	Friends of Waipāhihi Karori Stream (S107)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Considers the Waipāhihi Karori Stream and its community are likely to be most impacted by the timeline, though may not be a priority from a regional perspective. Concerned that they have been asking for these problems to be solved for decades; community wants to be able to safely use the stream and enjoy more abundant biodiversity.	Not stated		No recommendation
S107.007	Friends of Waipāhihi Karori Stream (S107)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Opposes any extension of the proposed timeframe for achieving the target attribute states. Considers that the proposed timeframes would be strengthened by interim and measurable milestones (e.g. by 2030 and 2035), which would be essential should the timeframe be extended.	Not stated		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S113.008	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Supports establishing a vision to restore the āhua of freshwater bodies by 2100 with specific goals to accomplish this vision in the interim. Considers this aspiration aligns with the 100-year vision of Sanctuary to Sea Kia Mouriora te Kaiwharawhara to restore the mouri of the Kaiwharawhara catchment, so that the wellbeing of te awa, te ngahere, and ngā tāngata are restored and thriving.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	FS23.1518	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S113.009	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Supports establishing a vision to restore the āhua of freshwater bodies by 2100 with specific goals to accomplish this vision in the interim. Considers this aspiration aligns with the 100-year vision of Sanctuary to Sea Kia Mouriora te Kaiwharawhara to restore the mouri of the Kaiwharawhara catchment, so that the wellbeing of te awa, te ngahere, and ngā tāngata are restored and thriving.	Not stated		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	FS23.1519	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S113.010	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Concerned that current development works at the mouth of the Kaiwharawhara estuary intend to permanently restrict public access and that in order for an ecosystem to thrive, sustainable and responsible access must be preserved.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	FS23.1520	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S113.011	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	FS23.1521	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S115.003	Mary Hutchinson (S115)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.1 Objectives	Support		Requests interim milestones, supported by numerical objects and monitoring programmes are required to ensure actions implemented are effective.	Not stated		Accept
S115.004	Mary Hutchinson (S115)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Support		Supports numerical requirements for lakes and surface water, particularly the Hutt River catchment in Table 8.3.Supports human health/contact recreation being the standard where water bodies are used for that purpose	Not stated		Reject
S115.005	Mary Hutchinson (S115)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Supports Target attribute states for rivers in Table 8.4	Not stated		Accept in part
S116.025	Taumata Arowai (S116)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.593	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S116.026	Taumata Arowai (S116)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.594	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.027	Taumata Arowai (S116)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Notes that managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.595	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.028	Taumata Arowai (S116)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.596	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.077	Taumata Arowai (S116)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.645	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.078	Taumata Arowai (S116)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.646	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S116.079	Taumata Arowai (S116)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.647	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S116.080	Taumata Arowai (S116)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Notes managing stormwater and wastewater discharges is important to Māori. Supports acknowledgment of Māori customary practice and use of wai, the partnership role of mana whenua in developing freshwater action plans, and acknowledgment of the need to engage with mana whenua in rules for discharges of wastewater and stormwater.	Retain as notified (except as requested to be amended by mana whenua).		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taumata Arowai	FS23.648	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S120.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S121.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark Robbins (S121)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S122.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph Lambert (S122)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S123.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy Cooper (S123)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S124.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S125.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S126.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S127.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S128.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S129.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S13.001	Sofia Holloway (S13)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Support		Aligns with greater Wellington region's values	Retain as notified		No recommendation
S130.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S131.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S132.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S133.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S134.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S135.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S136.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S137.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S138.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S139.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S140.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S141.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S142.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S143.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S144.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S145.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S146.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S147.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S148.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S149.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S150.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S151.003	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Oppose		Opposes the provisions relating to TAS and Coastal Water Objectives (CWO) in full. Considers there is a general lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the TAS parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers the CWO in Table 8.1 are generally appropriate parameters for coastal environmental health, but concerned the lack of information relating to baseline states and timeframes to meet requirements makes it difficult to determine whether improvement is measurable. Considers it is unclear how the TAS and CWO provisions will be assessed and measured. Considers the provisions do not currently recognise the complexities and contributing factors for achieving TAS and that meeting TAS for network discharges cannot wholly sit with Wellington Water as there are many factors within catchments that contribute to water quality, and the provisions do not reflect the magnitude	The plan change include guidance or provisions that outline how proportional contribution to meeting the TAS can be demonstrated, and more realistic timeframes in the relevant TAS tables. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								of work involved in delivering water quality improvement. Considers the uncertainty and lack of information in the provisions regarding the baseline state means that Wellington Water cannot undertake a full assessment of the potential impact that the TAS/CWO provisions will have on their discharge consent applications and the prioritisation and implementation of sub-catchment improvements. Considers it is likely that the TAS 2040 timeframe (particularly as it relates to <i>E. coli</i>), will result in the requirement for a large proportion of sub-catchments (or possibly all of them) to be upgraded in the short term. As such, undertaking a prioritisation exercise and implementing the sub-catchment management plans for stormwater and wastewater could be rendered meaningless. This is unlikely to allow for progressive improvement, or for practicable implementation. Seeks further discussions with Greater Wellington on this matter, particularly around a more detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS and CWO provisions on a sub-catchment basis and a clear understanding of how these would be addressed in a resource consent application.			
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1330	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.019	Wellington City Council	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.074	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the need for guidance or provisions that outline how proportional contribution to meeting the TAS can be demonstrated.	Allow	The plan change include guidance or provisions that outline how proportional contribution to meeting the TAS can be demonstrated, and more realistic timeframes in the relevant TAS tables. Other relief as	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.004	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Oppose		Considers the scale and volume of work necessary between now and 2040 to achieve the necessary reduction in wet weather wastewater overflows, dry weather wastewater discharges and stormwater contamination is significant. Retrofitting the urban areas of four cities to also address environmental outcomes will take decades of planning, designing and construction. Acknowledges this work needs to be done but 17 years (between now and 2040) is insufficient to achieve this. Considers that delivery of the network discharges programme at such a fast pace will impact on delivery of other important work programmes for Te Mana o te Wai such as storage lakes for drinking water supply to support increased minimum flows, wastewater treatment plant upgrades and the renewals programmes for both wastewater and water supply.	Amend all timeframes associated with TAS from 2040 to 2060. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS8.038	Winstone Aggregates	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Winstone support altering the timeframe to 2060. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be “ambitious but reasonable” ⁷ . Winstone consider that 2060 would meet this direction.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1331	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.020	Wellington City Council	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council’s position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS31.002	Wellington International Airport Limited	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support in part	WIAL supports the retention and application of Table 3.7 while further work is being undertaken on the	Allow in part	Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								appropriateness or otherwise of target attribute states.			
S151.006	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		<p>Generally supportive of the requirement to provide methodologies to prioritise sub-catchment upgrades or improvements as part of consent applications for stormwater network discharges and wastewater network discharges. Considers it appropriate that prioritisation methodologies, rather than the actual order of sub-catchments, are provided as part of the Wastewater Network Catchment Improvement Strategy (WNCIS) and Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS). Considers that the prioritisation should be done in an integrated manner considering both stormwater and wastewater discharges concurrently and would be done with investors and mana whenua in a collaborative manner. Considers the range of factors that should influence prioritisation is greater than currently indicated within the plan change provisions. Refers to figures that illustrate some of the matters identified within the plan change provisions that require prioritisation in respect of wastewater and stormwater network discharges on a sub-catchment basis, and the impact that the provisions as currently drafted may have in terms of requiring prioritisation. Notes this does not take into account broader matters that Wellington Water considers are necessary to ensure deliverability and implementation of sub-catchment upgrades and improvements. Considers prioritisation should be undertaken in a more integrated manner so wastewater and stormwater discharges are upgraded at the same time for each sub-catchment. Concerned the number of provisions that could influence the prioritisation of sub-catchments for improvements is overly complicated and lacks clear direction. Considers prioritisation requirements should be made clearer in the plan change. Considers matters such as efficiency of delivery,</p>	<p>Matters to be considered when setting the prioritisation, or sequence, of sub-catchments should be listed (or cross-referred to) within:-Policy WH.P13 (stormwater)-Policy WH.P19 (wastewater)-Policy P.P12 (stormwater)-Policy P.P18 (wastewater)Provisions within the plan change that specifically use the terminology ‘prioritise’ or ‘prioritisation’, or otherwise speak to the relative urgency of improving or enhancing certain values (other than those mentioned above), are redrafted to make it clear that they do not apply to applications for stormwater and wastewater network dischargesConsider the wording of provisions as they relate to varying levels of requirements such as ‘avoid’ or ‘protect’ in terms of the level of importance represented by the provisions, and how this could be considered to influence decision making on a prioritisation methodology. Ideally, these provisions would not apply to stormwater and wastewater network discharges either.Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.</p>		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								investment availability and allocation are considered during the process of prioritisation, to allow alignment with other work programmes and to ensure an integrated approach. Considers the TAS requirements in PC1 would render the process of prioritising sub-catchments for improvement or upgrade meaningless due to the requirements to meet 2040 targets, and the use of terminology such as 'reasonable timeframes' within other provisions of PC1 creates additional uncertainty in relation to the prioritisation exercise. Refers to Schedule C of submission where examples of provisions that may influence prioritisation methodologies have been identified.			
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1333	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.126	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	The submission highlights limitations which to some aspects of PC1.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
S151.007	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Acknowledges the need for improvements or reductions that are commensurate with or proportionate to the effects of the relevant discharge on the attribute state of the receiving environment. Concerned that as worded these requirements are ambiguous, because it is not clear whether they mean a reduction in contaminant load that reflects the effect of the discharge on the receiving environment (which would require modelling/technical assessment), or a percentage reduction in all cases that reflects the percentage difference between the TAS and the baseline state of the receiving environment. Notes the second interpretation may be unduly onerous where it does not reflect the actual contribution of the relevant discharge, while the first interpretation would require Wellington Water to	Seeks that:-All requirements to determine 'commensurate' reductions at the application stage are removed, and that different wording is used to acknowledge that in some cases 'at source' reductions are not within the applicant's control (for example, the reduction of copper in stormwater, as acknowledged in the section 32 report)-The requirements to make reductions in order to contribute to meeting the TAS in relation to wastewater are confined to wet weather overflows (and then only the 95th percentile), with dry weather overflows and exfiltration subject to a separate responsive management regime; -Amend PC1 is amended to either include load reduction targets for Te		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>acquire information or assessment tools that are not currently available. Notes that Wellington Water does not currently have access to the data or analytical tools required to assess the correlation between contaminant load out of a pipe and contaminant concentrations in the receiving environment, but is able to model the contaminant load (e.g. total kilograms of copper and zinc from the stormwater network). Notes concentrations in the receiving environment is dependent on factors such as stream flows and ocean currents (which affect dilution and therefore concentration). Subject to the targets being realistic, supports PC1 including clear targets for load reductions in the discharge, rather than unclear formulas such as “commensurate”. Considers what is realistically achievable through stormwater network discharge mitigations is not reflected by the proposed references (in other parts of PC1) to reductions “commensurate to achieving” the TAS, which would suggest that Wellington Water needs to reduce copper by much more than 15%, for the TAS to be achieved. Seeks to understand what actions were considered to be realistically achievable through stormwater network discharge mitigations, which is not clear from Greer 2023, but is obviously a critical determinant for setting of the TAS. States that determining targets for load reductions both the extent to which the discharge load contributes to achieving (or not achieving) the TAS the extent to which this is realistically within Wellington Water’s control need to be considered. Notes the analysis has not yet been undertaken for stormwater catchments beyond Porirua, or for <i>E. coli</i> or enterococci in relation to wastewater. Unless or until that work has been carried out and Wellington Water considers the outcomes reasonable, submitter does not support the “commensurate reductions” wording in PC1.Considers it is not realistic to</p>	<p>Whanganui-a-Tara and for other parameters, or to provide clear directions as to how Wellington Water (and other applicants) can demonstrate their contribution to achieving TAS;-Amend the methods and/or Schedule 27 (Freshwater Action Plan requirements) are amended to confirm that the modelling and monitoring to facilitate the identification of load reduction targets in SMPs and SIPs will be undertaken by Greater Wellington; and/or-In the alternative, should the “commensurate” wording be retained in PC1, that this term is defined and/or guidance provided in the policies to ensure it reflects reductions that are both proportionate to the effects of the discharges on the TAS in question, as well as the extent to which reductions are reasonably within the control of the applicant. A definition is proposed in Section B of this submission.A new method needs to be included in PC1 for Greater Wellington to provide the necessary analytical tools to determine the correlation between contaminant load out of a pipe and contaminant concentrations in the receiving environment if PC1 is reliant on this assessment.Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.</p>		

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>require confirmation of load reduction targets as part of the application documentation. At most, this could be determined at the sub-catchment planning stage, with the high level strategies required under Schedules 31 and 32 instead specifying the intended methodology or approach for determining this. Considers these assessments will require state of the environment information and/or modelling that is not available to Wellington Water. Expectation is that Greater Wellington will be producing the modelling necessary for Wellington Water to determine the appropriate (or 'commensurate') load reduction targets. Considers it is not realistic to require confirmation of load reduction targets as part of the application documentation. At most, this could be determined at the sub-catchment planning stage, with the high level strategies required under Schedules 31 and 32 instead specifying the intended methodology or approach for determining this. Considers these assessments will require state of the environment information and/or modelling that is not available to Wellington Water and expects Greater Wellington will be producing the modelling necessary for Wellington Water to determine the appropriate (or 'commensurate') load reduction targets. Considers there are additional complexities in ascertaining the contribution of dry weather overflows and exfiltration to achieving the TAS as discharges can be from a private cross connection that are not a matter within Wellington Water's control and Wellington Water does not model cross connections because they are an aberration. Seeks that rather than a requirement to reduce dry weather overflows and exfiltration to contribute to meeting the TAS they be subject to a separate 'responsive management' programme.</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1334	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.127	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	The submission highlights limitations which to some aspects of PC1.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S151.010	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Amend		Unclear on how the FAPs are intended to operate alongside other provisions within PC1, Wellington Water stormwater and wastewater network discharge consents, and in general Wellington Water's network operations. Considers the current provisions for FAPs (cites Method 35 and Schedule 27 as examples), although a non-regulatory 'other method', could be read to have some level of influence in relation to wastewater and stormwater network discharge consents and prioritisation of sub-catchments.	Requests clarification on how the FAP provisions will work alongside existing TAS provisions, network discharge consent provisions, and in particular Schedules 31 and 32. Request clarification about what is intended in terms of the level of consideration or influence that any FAP could have on wastewater and stormwater network discharge consents. There should be no relationship between the contents of a FAP and the scheduled requirements for network discharge consents. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1337	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S151.023	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites	Amend		Suggests that these would be better managed as part of Schedule H as it is disjointed to separate these from the coastal recreation sites.	Consider combining the primary contact sites with the Schedule H recreation sites.		Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1350	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S151.031	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O2	Oppose		Considers the benefits should be recognised regardless of the location	Retain the application of O2 in all locations. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1358	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS47.133	Meridian Energy Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	The benefits described in Objective O2 should be recognised, regardless of location. The benefits described in Objective O2 include the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation which are required to be recognised and provided for by the NPS-REG.	Allow	Allow S151.031 and retain Objective O2 as having application in both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Accept
S151.032	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O5	Oppose		Considers this is important for source protection of drinking water.	Retain the application of O5 in all locations. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS1.012	Horticulture New Zealand	3 Objectives	Objective O5		Support	Objective O5 is relevant to all whaitua and should be retained.	Allow	Allow. Retain Objective O5 for all whaitua.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1359	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O5		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.034	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1361	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.035	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.1 Primary contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in freshwater bodies.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1362	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.1 Primary contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in freshwater bodies.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.036	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.2 Secondary contact and Māori customary use recreation objectives in freshwater bodies.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1363	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.2 Secondary contact and Māori customary use recreation objectives in freshwater bodies.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.037	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.3 Contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in coastal water.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1364	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.3 Contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in coastal water.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
S151.038	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1365	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS31.001	Wellington International Airport Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Support in part	WIAL supports the retention of the Operative NRP provisions where further work is required to be undertaken to confirm the appropriateness or otherwise of other provisions within the NRP. WIAL supports the retention and application of Objective O19 while further work is being undertaken on the appropriateness or otherwise of target attribute states.	Allow in part	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	Reject
S151.039	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1366	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.040	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									that is alternative, additional or consequential.		
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1367	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.041	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1368	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.042	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1369	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.043	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.	Neutral		Retain while further detail on Target Attribute States is developed	Retain application to all water bodies in all locations/whaitua Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1370	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).			
S151.044	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Oppose		Opposes the note which disapplies Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, and 3.8 from Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Delete the note from Objective O25. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1371	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.045	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Oppose		Opposes the note which disapplies Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, and 3.8 from Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Delete the note from Objective O28. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1372	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.054	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers achieving wai ora by 2100 is a significant task. Considers It unclear what the status of the note is and as currently drafted it creates duplication, noting the third bullet point is replicated in WH.O4.	Alter timeframe to 2123. Clarify the status of the note. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1381	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S151.055	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Seeks the timeframe be altered to 2060 as the 2040 timeframe will render prioritisation of sub-catchments for improvement or upgrade meaningless and 17 years is considered insufficient to achieve required outcomes. Supports the intent of measurable progress in clause (a). Considers clause (b) should have a maintenance component, rather than just improvement and there should be a comma after 'stability'. Considers clauses (f) and (g) need to be combined or better distinguished.	Alter timeframe to 2060. Retain clause (a) Amend clause (b): the hydrology of rivers and erosion processes, including bank stability, are maintained and improved where degraded and sources of sediment are reduced to a more natural level, and Combine or better distinguish clauses (f) and (g). Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1382	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.132	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Proposed changes generally improve implementation of objective.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.079	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its submission, and further supports amendments to Clause B.	Allow in part	Alter timeframe to 2060. Retain clause (a) Amend clause (b): the hydrology of rivers and erosion processes, including bank stability, are maintained and improved where degraded and sources of sediment are reduced to a	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.					more natural level, and Combine or better distinguish clauses (f) and (g). Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.056	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Oppose		Seeks the timeframe be altered to 2060 as the 2040 timeframe will render prioritisation of sub-catchments for improvement or upgrade meaningless and 17 years is considered insufficient to achieve required outcomes. Considers the CWO contained in Table 8.1 are generally appropriate parameters for coastal environmental health, but notes the lack of information relating to baseline states for Coastal Water Management Units and timeframes to meet the requirements makes it difficult to determine whether improvement can be measured (refer also Section A of submission). In clause (b) 'high contaminant concentrations' should be better defined to clarify the work involved and when this clause is relevant. Considers where improvement is required for the Coastal Water Objectives, the requirement should be that the Objective has been achieved or meaningful progress has been made – similar to clause WH.O2(a). Considers clauses (g) and (h) need to be combined or better distinguished.	Provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes in both this objective and Table 8.1. Provide maps showing locations of high contaminant concentrations. Amend objective to provide this further detail. In addition to the above, amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained, or improved or meaningful progress has been made towards improvement to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1, and by 2040 2060. Define 'high contaminant concentrations' in clause (b) Combine or better distinguish clauses (g) and (h) Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	Accept in part	
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1383	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.024	Wellington City Council	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council’s position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.080	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission. Kāinga Ora supports amendments to the objective that provide maps/detail regarding high contaminant concentrations and provide for meaningful progress in order to achieve the objectives.	Allow in part	Provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes in both this objective and Table 8.1. Provide maps showing locations of high contaminant concentrations. Amend objective to provide this further detail. In addition to the above, amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained, or improved or meaningful progress has been made towards improvement to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1, and by 2040 2060. Define 'high contaminant concentrations' in clause (b) Combine or better distinguish clauses (g) and (h) Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	Accept in part
S151.057	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers Table 8.1 lacks the required information to set baseline states for the Coastal Water Management Units to assess whether the state is being maintained or improved, and lacks timeframes for when the baseline will be determined.	Alter timeframe to 2060 and Withdraw table until further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified,		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1384	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.133	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Table 8.1 lacks the required information to set baseline states for the Coastal Water Management Units to assess whether the state is being maintained or improved.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.058	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Amend		Seeks the timeframe be altered to 2060 as the 2040 timeframe will render prioritisation of sub-catchments for improvement or upgrade meaningless and 17 years is considered insufficient to achieve required outcomes.	Alter timeframe to 2060 Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1385	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S151.059	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Refers to Section A of submission for additional context regarding prioritisation and target attribute states, and comments on Table 8.4. Considers Clause (a) needs to refer to 'meaningful progress' as specified by WH.02(a). Considers Clause (d) Huangā needs to refer to Schedule B to provide certainty for applicants and notes there appears to be a typo .	Revise clause (a) as follows: 'where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 8.4, or meaningful progress has been made and link huanga with Schedule B and improve wording. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1386	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.025	Wellington City Council	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.082	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports in part the relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission, primarily the amendments to provide for meaningful progress in order to achieve the objectives.	Allow in part	Revise clause (a) as follows: 'where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 8.4, or meaningful progress has been made and' Link huanga with Schedule B and improve wording. Other relief as may be required to	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.060	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Refers to Section A of submission. Notes a lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the TAS parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers the 2040 timeframe will result in the requirement for a large proportion of sub-catchments (or possibly all of them) to be upgraded in the short term, rendering prioritisation of sub-catchment upgrades meaningless. Refers to comments in relation to specific parameters within Table 8.4.	Provide further information on the baseline state and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis. Alter timeframe to 2060. Include guidance on how to measure the proportion from WWL's networks with inputs from other sources within the catchment. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1387	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.061	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Periphyton Biomass1) Notes Periphyton biomass is dependent on a range of environmental and human factors including shading, nutrient concentrations and sedimentation rates. Tackling these factors will require a process to identify the relevant factors and required actions further than those under WWL's control. 2) Notes certain sites have a lack of data for the setting of baselines and there is uncertainty whether achieving the TAS by 2040 is realistic and achievable. 3) Notes that natural conditions and land uses and activities within the catchment may prevent a TAS being achieved, including shading, stream bed type and channelisation.	Provide further information on the baseline state, and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1388	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				anui-a-Tara				documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.134	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.062	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		E coli Notes there will be other forms of faecal bacteria contamination within catchments, e.g. rural inputs (managed through P.P20 & P.P24), on-site wastewater treatment and from birds or dogs. Recognise that WWL's assets will not be the only factor which determines if the TAS is met.	Include guidance on how to measure the proportion from WWL's networks relative to inputs from other sources within the catchment. Provide further analysis to determine whether improvements are achievable. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1389	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.063	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Fish/Fish Community Health 1) Notes the abundance and type of fish species is dependent on a range of environmental and human factors and tackling these factors requires a process to identify the relevant factors and required actions further than those under WWL's control. 2) Considers at all sites there is a lack of data for the setting of baselines and the required improvements may be unrealistic for these sites by 20403) Notes that natural conditions and land uses and activities within the catchment may prevent a TAS being achieved, including through invasive species, the temperature of watercourses, channelization and barriers to fish passage. 4) Once any required improvements have been made to a catchment it can take a period of time	Provide further information on the baseline state, and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								for this to be observed in an improvement in fish abundance and diversity (Membane (2022)).			
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1390	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.135	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.064	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Macroinvertebrates 1) Notes the abundance and diversity of macroinvertebrate species is dependent on a range of environmental and human factors and that tackling these factors will require a process to identify the relevant factors and required actions further than those under WWL’s control. 2) Notes that at some sites there is a lack of data for the setting of baselines and the required improvements may be unrealistic for these sites by 2040.3) Notes that natural conditions and human land uses and activities within the catchment may prevent a TAS being achieved and this could include through invasive species, the temperature of watercourses, channelization and lack of habitat. 4) Once any required improvements have been made to a catchment it can take a period of time for this to be observed in an improvement in macroinvertebrate abundance and diversity (Collier et al., 2002)	Provide further information on the baseline state and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis. Any other changes necessary to satisfactorily address the issues raised. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS1.034	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support in part	For Ōrongorongo, Te Awa Kairangi and Wainuiomata small forested and Te Awa Kairangi forested mainstems, it is not clear whether A band can be achieved for macroinvertebrates and sediment if there is plantation forestry in that catchment. This line of reasoning applies to each river catchment where improvement are	Allow in part	Either leave TAS at A and make change to WH.O9 to remove requirement for every river and every reach or make TAS achievable given activities that occur near every river and every reach. This applies to each catchment. Provide further	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								sought which are greater than maintaining the baseline state.		information on the baseline state and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis.	
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1391	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.136	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.065	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Suspended fine sediment/deposited fine sediment. There is uncertainty regarding the modelled correlation between sediment loads and visual clarity. SedNet is a national scale model which has had to be adjusted to the scale of the target TAS locations. This increased granularity may lead to higher levels of uncertainty. Furthermore, sediment loads, visual clarity and deposited sediment are influenced by factors within catchments outside of WWL's control including human land uses and activities and natural factors.	Consider all contributing sediment sources for setting TAS for visual clarity and deposited sediment and address: 1. How sediment load reductions will be measured in the future 2. How would proportionate contribution to sediment be measured and any reduction in this contribution be measured Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1392	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.137	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S151.066	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Dissolved oxygen mg/L (below point sources only) Considers there is a lack of data for the setting of baselines and the required improvements may be unrealistic for these sites by 2040	Provide guidance on when baseline states will be set and mitigation provided should the set TAS be shown to be unrealistic when a baseline is determined. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1393	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.067	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Dissolved organic nitrogen/dissolved reactive phosphorus. Considers the assessment of the implications of the TAS requires input from a wide variety of stakeholders and additional assessment.	Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1394	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S151.068	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Dissolved copper/dissolved zinc. Considers the approach of Policy P.P9 does not recognise the other sources of zinc and copper outside of WWL's control (e.g. zinc roofs, copper based brake disks). Considers the required changes will require an approach outside of WWL's control that will take years and significant investment to enact, and may not have occurred by 2040. Notes the TAS is for dissolved copper and dissolved zinc can be more challenging to remove through stormwater treatment devices than total copper and total zinc.	Amend Policy P.P9 and update table to reflect this. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1395	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.139	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Share concern regarding technical feasibility of achieving targets specified.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.069	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Ecosystem metabolism Table 8.4 notes that further monitoring is needed to define the baseline state and develop the attribute state framework	Provide further information on how the Ecosystem metabolism will be monitored and a baseline set is required. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1396	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.138	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S151.070	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents and river environs.	Include guidance on how to measure the proportion from WWL's networks with inputs from other sources within the catchment. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1397	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S151.071	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Nitrate (toxicity)Notes that external factors, such as activities and land use in the catchments may lead to failure of TAS outside of WWL's control.	Include guidance on how to measure the proportion from WWL's networks with inputs from other sources within the catchment. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		No recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1398	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S151.101	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers achieving wai ora by 2100 is a significant task. Considers It unclear what the status of the note is and as currently drafted it creates duplication, noting the last two bullet point are replicated in P.O2.	Alter timeframe to 2123. Clarify the status of the note. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1428	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
S151.102	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Refer overarching submission points in Section A of submission. Concerned the meaningful improvement may not be achieved by 2040 despite meaningful progress having been made. Considers it likely that the 2040 timeframe will result in the requirement for a large proportion of sub-catchments (or possibly all of them) required to be upgraded in the short term, rendering prioritisation upgrades meaningless.	Alter timeframe to 2060. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1429	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S151.103	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Oppose		Considers CWO contained in Table 9.1 are generally appropriate parameters for coastal environmental health but the lack of information relating to baseline states for Coastal Water Management Units and timeframes to meet the requirements makes it difficult to determine whether improvement can be measured. Unclear how 'maintain or improve' operates for the objectives that don't have a value. Suggests timeframe should refer to 2060 because many ecosystems or habitats will take a long time to recover. 2040 doesn't allow for that recovery time. Refer to Section A of submission regarding Target Attribute States, prioritisation and deliverability. Suggests the wording 'meaningful progress' would be more appropriate.	Provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes in both this objective and Table 8.1. Provide maps showing locations of high contaminant concentrations and amend objective to provide this further detail. In addition to the above, amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained, or meaningful progress has been made towards improvement or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1, and by 2040 2060. Better define 'high contaminant concentrations' in clause (b) Combine or better distinguish clauses (g) and (h) Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									that is alternative, additional or consequential.		
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1430	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.030	Wellington City Council	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council’s position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.081	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports the relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission. Kāinga Ora supports amendments to the objective that provide maps/detail regarding high contaminant concentrations and provide for meaningful progress in order to achieve the objectives.	Allow in part	Provide further detail in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes in both this objective and Table 8.1. Provide maps showing locations of high contaminant concentrations. Amend objective to provide this further detail. In addition to the above, amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained, or improved or meaningful progress has been made towards improvement to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1, and by 2040 2060. Define 'high contaminant concentrations' in clause (b) Combine or better distinguish clauses (g) and (h) Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	Accept in part
S151.104	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers the table lacks the required information to set baseline states for the Coastal Water Management Units to assess whether the state is being maintained or improved and lacks timeframes for when the baseline will be determined. Refers to Section A overarching submission points.	Alter timeframe to 2060 and provide further detail is required in relation to the baseline states and required timeframes. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1431	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.166	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Whaitu a							
S151.106	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Considers clause (a) needs to refer to 'meaningful progress' to reflect the reality of how long it will take to deliver improvements and for ecosystems to recover. Refers to submission points on prioritisation, Target Attribute State, and deliverability in Section A of submission, and submission points on Table 9.2 and submission points on Table 8.4. Suggests in clause (d), Huangā needs to refer to Schedule B to provide certainty for applicants.	Revise Clause (a) as follows: 'where a target attribute state in Table 9.2 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 9.2, or meaningful progress has been made, and' Link huangā with Schedule B. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1433	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS36.031	Wellington City Council	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS45.083	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports in part the relief sought to the extent that it is consistent with its primary submission, primarily the amendments to provide for meaningful progress in order to achieve the objectives.	Allow in part	Revise clause (a) as follows: 'where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 8.4, or meaningful progress has been made and'	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										Link huanga with Schedule B and improve wording. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
S151.107	Wellington Water Ltd (S151)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Refers to Section A overarching submission points. Considers there is a general lack of information relating to the baseline state to measure against, meaning it is not possible to determine whether the TAS parameters and requirements are reasonable, appropriate and achievable. Considers that the 2040 timeframe will result in the requirement for a large proportion of sub-catchments (or possibly all of them) to be upgraded in the short term, rendering prioritisation of sub-catchment upgrades meaningless. Refer also previous comments in relation to specific parameters under submission points on Table 8.4.	Provide further information on the baseline state, and a detailed assessment of the implications of the TAS provisions on a sub-catchment basis. Alter timeframe to 2060. Include guidance on how to measure the proportion from WWL's networks with inputs from other sources within the catchment. Withdraw the table until the further detail can be added. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept in part
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS23.1434	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Water Ltd	FS28.167	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Waka Kotahi will be subject to the same provisions relative to stormwater network discharge consents.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S152.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S153.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial "resolution" as a basis to inform policy.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S154.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S155.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S156.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S157.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S158.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S159.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S16.008	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	9.1 Objectives	Support		Supports the objectives that seek to progressively improve the health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, streams, wetlands and coastal marine environment.	Retain the Objectives		Accept in part
S160.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S162.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S163.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S164.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S166.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S167.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S168.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S17.007	John Easther (S17)			2 Interpretation	Whaitua	Amend		Considers the Makara/Ohariu catchments are isolated from the adjoining Wellington urban catchments and cannot be managed as an integrated system.	Create a separate Whaitua for the Makara/Ohariu catchment		Reject
S17.008	John Easther (S17)			3 Objectives	Amendments to Chapter 3 - Objectives	Amend		Considers reforestation through retirement can take hundreds of years. Considers any disturbance of the stream bed yields high levels of decolouration which will exceed the generic requirements in the plan change. Considers provisions of the plan change are completely unrealistic and are not based on evidence. Considers the "reasonable timeframe" default of 2050 referred to in many objective statements is not achievable within the Makara / Ohariu whaitua. Considers revegetation of stream channels and adjacent floodplains will take many years.	Considers rural area objectives should be described as aspirational to be achieved over generations of landowners.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S17.013	John Easther (S17)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Concerned about the reliance on the use of a single monitoring site to support the provisions in PC1. Water quality targets and timeframes are unrealistic.	Targets for Makara Stream in table 8.4 should be tagged as indicative and non operational until targets can be determined to represent sub catchments at the confluences of major tributaries. Timeframe be determined by implementing intergenerational land use changes. The specified timeframe of 2040 should be replaced with an indicative figure, suggesting 2100 may be achievable.		Reject
S17.020	John Easther (S17)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Concerned about timeframes to achieve target outcomes in the Makara Catchment. Generic figures in plan change should be replaced with guidance notes.	Not stated.		Reject
S170.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S171.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S172.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S174.005	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Considers that data on river quality throughout the catchment is required to understand the extent of the problem and then determine the causes.	Use relevant scientific evidence of sufficient spatial “resolution” as a basis to inform policy.		Reject
S176.002	Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet (S176)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Notes long-term target attribute timeframes require interim target attribute state timeframes set for intervals of not more than 10 years with baselines which need to be achieved by the interim target date set. Acknowledges outcomes sought from actions to improve ecosystem health will be a long-term prospect. But notes timeframes should not be arbitrarily set several decades in the future and must include interim and measurable milestones (such as five yearly	Timeframes must contain interim and measurable milestones (including five yearly reporting). Retention of dates recommended by Whaitua Committee rather than pushing out the dates of achieving an improved attribute state by decades. Ensure the environmental limits are set to achieve “ecological health” and other associated		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>intervals) in achieving the ultimate goal by 2040. Considers there must be a regular critique of the actions being implemented to ensure they are effective. Notes expectation to meet the target attribute states of water quality by 2040 and any delay will mean the community will have to (and continued risk of having to) continue living with an increasingly degraded environment and increased rehabilitation costs as a result. Notes need to establish meaningful and robust environmental limits through the inclusion of the limits recommended by the Whaitua Committee in 2019 .Supports the long-term goal of improvement to target attribute state. Notes Target Attribute States need to be set to allow maintenance and/or restoration of this level of ecosystem health, which in cases will likely involve setting limits and bottom lines well above the national bottom lines. Considers all waterbodies, not just rivers and streams, should have set Target Attribute States, this includes estuaries, wetlands, and groundwater. Considers a functional NRP requires objectives, policies, methods, rules, timelines and dates that are robust and scientifically based to succeed in restoring health to degraded waterbodies. Notes the NRP needs to provide clear guidance as to how these will be incorporated into existing and future resource consents and this is also applicable to developing resource consent conditions that allow for unambiguous enforcement options while undertaking compliance function of the Regional Council.</p>	values such as recreation, amenity, and custodianship.		
S176.009	Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet (S176)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports rules and methods that provide for, or encourage, increasing the extent of wetland habitat in the rural landscape and in the river/stream corridors.	Clarify and strengthen rules and methods to support actions to increase wetland habitat.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S177.018	Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports progressive improvement of the health and wai ora of freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area. Considers restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area. Achieving restoration of natural character implies existing regionally significant infrastructure may need to be removed, and new regionally significant infrastructure may be inappropriate. Considers the objective should acknowledge complete restoration of character may not be possible in all instances, particularly as it relates to regionally significant infrastructure. Notes clause 3.3(2) of NPS-FM requires long-term visions for freshwater to be ambitious but reasonable.	Objective WH.O1 The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: Āhua (natural character) is restored to the extent that this is possible, and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character All freshwater bodies have planted margins All freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species and taonga species Mahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitanga Mana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment.		Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS23.761	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS28.116	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Changes will recognise existing regionally significant infrastructure located over or within freshwater/CMA.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS47.154	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees the objective should acknowledge lawfully established existing infrastructure and require restoration to the extent that is practicable.	Allow in part	Allow S177.018 by inserting the words ‘...is restored to the extent practicable,’.	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS31.004	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	WIAL supports in part, the relief sought and agrees that restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Allow in part	That Objective WH.O1 be amended as follows: Note In the wai ora state: Āhua (natural character) is restored to the extent that this is possible, and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character. ...	Accept in part
S177.044	Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively	Amend		Supports progressive improvement of the health and wai ora of freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area. However, restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area. Achieving restoration of natural character implies existing regionally significant infrastructure may	Amend objective as follows: Objective P.O1 The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved and is wai ora by 2100.			need to be removed, and new regionally significant infrastructure may be inappropriate. Considers the objective should acknowledge complete restoration of character may not be possible in all instances, particularly as it relates to regionally significant infrastructure. Considers that clause 3.3(2) of NPS-FM requires long-term visions for freshwater to be ambitious but reasonable (that is, difficult to achieve but not impossible), and considers objective needs to be amended to recognise this.	In the wai ora state: Te Awarua-o-Porirua is a taonga of Ngāti Toa Rangatira and must be respected by others Mauri is restored and waters are in a natural state, to the extent that this is possible Ecological health is excellent in freshwater and coastal water environments Rivers flow naturally, with ripples and the river beds are stony Mahinga kai, taonga, mahinga ika and kaimoana species are healthy, abundant, diverse, present across all stages of life, sizeable, and able to be culturally harvested by mana whenua Mahinga kai, taonga, mahinga ika and kai moana species are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for mana whenua to exercise manaakitanga Mana whenua and communities are able to undertake a full range of activities Mana whenua are able to undertake cultural activities and practices		
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS23.787	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS28.119	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Changes will recognise existing regionally significant infrastructure located over or within freshwater/CMA.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS47.309	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees the policy should acknowledge lawfully established existing infrastructure and require restoration to the extent that is practicable.	Allow in part	Allow S177.044 by inserting the words '...where practicable,'.	Accept in part
S177.079	Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)			13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Amend		Considers GIS mapping of riverine habitats described in Map 77 and Schedule F1 does not appear to accurately align with actual river extents. Refers to GIS mapping of riverine habitat adjacent to Pauatahanui Substation. Plan users will rely on the mapping of scheduled riverine habitats to interpret spatial application of Schedule F1. To ensure certainty with respect to application of the rules that relate to scheduled riverine habitats, habitats to which rules apply to should be accurately mapped.	Amend GIS mapping of riverine environments described in Map 77 to accurately reflect the habitat extents covered by Schedule F1.		No recommendation
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS23.822	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					Tara (Schedule F1).						
S18.011	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Amend		Notes the NPS-FM recognises Māori Customary uses as a significant attribute that should be uniformly upheld throughout the entire region	Amend the provision to be consistent across the region.		Reject
S18.017	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Questions the meaning and assessment of "natural state". Considers that the impact of population growth on water resources should be taken into account.	Amend this provision to delete the natural state and include the best freshwater quality possible according to the receiving environment.		No recommendation
S18.018	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Seeks amendment of the provision based on the submitters comments on Table 8.2.	Amend the provision to based on a suitable table consistent with NPS-FM.		Reject
S18.019	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Amend		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.			
S18.020	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Amend		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject
S18.021	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject
S18.043	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is	Amend		Concerned with the ambiguity of the meaning of natural state. Considers that the impact of population growth on water resources should be taken into account.	Amend this provision to delete the natural state and include the best freshwater quality possible according to the receiving environment.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
S18.044	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Seeks amendment of the provision based on the submitter's own submission on Table 9.2.	Amend the provision to be based on a suitable table consistent with NPS-FM		Reject
S18.045	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers the setting of the proposed target attribute states has not been consistent with 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM. Considers there is a lack of due consideration given to the environmental outcomes, target attribute states of receiving environments, and connections between water bodies, as required by the clause. Questions the effectiveness of the proposed target attribute states and considers that they do not reflect an adequate understanding of environmental outcomes. Questions the use of freshwater accounting systems to inform the setting of target attribute states and emphasises the importance of accurate and up-to-date information.	Revise target attribute states in accordance with Clause 3.11(8) of the NPS-FM.		Reject
S183.003	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports freshwater and coastal; water objectives within PC1	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.003	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Yvonne Weeber	FS42.004	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	The submission points support all the provisions of PC1. MOC also supports the direction of PC1 and the inclusion of FMU-specific freshwater visions, attributes and environmental outcomes are appropriate to give effect to the NPS-FM 2020 and GWRPS and to have regard to the proposed Plan Change 1 to the GWRPS.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.009	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.009	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.015	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.015	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.019	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Harbour arm catchments	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.019	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Harbour arm catchments		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.029	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Limit	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.029	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Limit		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.033	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Part Freshwater Management Unit	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.033	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Part Freshwater Management Unit		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.034	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.034	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.054	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Whaitua	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.054	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Whaitua		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.056	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O2	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.056	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.057	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O5	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.057	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O5		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.058	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O6	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.058	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O6		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.059	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O17	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.059	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O17		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.060	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O20	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.060	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O20		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S183.061	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O34	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.061	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O34		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.062	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O35	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.062	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O35		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.063	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O36	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.063	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O36		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.064	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O37	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.064	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O37		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.065	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O38	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.065	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O38		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.066	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.066	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.067	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.1 Primary contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in freshwater bodies.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.067	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.1 Primary contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in freshwater bodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.068	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.2 Secondary contact and Māori customary use recreation objectives in freshwater bodies.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.068	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.2 Secondary contact and Māori customary use recreation objectives in freshwater bodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.069	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.3 Contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in coastal water.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.069	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.3 Contact recreation and Māori customary use objectives in coastal water.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.072	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.072	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.073	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.073	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.074	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.074	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.075	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.075	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.076	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.076	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.077	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.077	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.			achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.178	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is	Amend		Suggests timeframes to achieve improved fresh water outcomes should include interim and measurable milestones	Seeks the inclusion of interim and measurable milestones for years 2035, 2050 and 2070.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.178	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.179	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara’s groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.179	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara’s groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.180	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.180	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.181	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.181	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.183	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.183	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.184	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.184	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.185	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.185	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.186	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.186	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					River are suitable for primary contact.			opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.187	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.187	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.188	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.188	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.189	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.189	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.266	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Suggests timeframes to achieve improved fresh water outcomes should include interim and measurable milestones	Seeks the inclusion of interim measurable milestones for years 2035, 2050 and 2070.		Reject
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.266	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.267	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.267	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.268	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.268	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.269	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.269	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.271	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.271	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.272	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.272	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.273	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.273	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.403	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.403	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.404	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.404	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.405	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.405	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.406	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.406	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S183.408	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.408	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S183.409	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.409	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.410	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.410	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.411	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.411	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S185.001	Ray Beentjes (S185)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Whakatikei River iii. Te Whanganui-a-Tara / Wellington Harbour iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Considers Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider to be an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Notes the importance of the natural and wildlife values of these areas.	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river is recognised in the plan.		Accept in part
S185.002	Ray Beentjes (S185)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned about increased amounts of sediment coming from the Pākuratahi River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not stated		No recommendation
S185.004	Ray Beentjes (S185)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Supports targets in the water quality target tables.	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve water quality targets.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S185.005	Ray Beentjes (S185)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept
S185.006	Ray Beentjes (S185)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking / packrafting / rafting values in the Whaitua are recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values). More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character, and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection. Targets for natural character that are similar to the sorts of targets set for water quality and seeks objectives and policies that support these. More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without worrying about compromising health if contact is made with the water. Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.		Accept in part
S186.002	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports stronger environmental regulation in relation to rivers, streams and stormwater to the sea.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.425	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.003	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports objectives for freshwater and coastal water.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.426	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.005	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.428	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S186.009	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.432	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S186.023	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			2 Interpretation	Whaitua	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.446	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Whaitua		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.025	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.448	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.5 Lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.026	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.449	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.027	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.450	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.028	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.451	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.030	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.453	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.031	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.454	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S186.095	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Timeframes to achieve fresh water outcomes should include interim and measurable milestones (such as by 2035).	Include interim measurable milestones such as by 2035, 2050 and 2070 as well as the ultimate 2100.		Reject
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.518	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.096	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.519	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S186.097	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.520	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S186.098	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.521	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.100	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.523	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.101	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			8	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.524	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.191	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.614	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.192	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.615	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.193	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.616	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S186.194	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.617	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S186.195	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.618	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S187.001	Victoria University Canoe Club (S187)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Akatarawa River iii. Whakatikei River iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay vi. Otaki River. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to the submitter.	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part
S187.002	Victoria University Canoe Club (S187)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Concerned about increased amounts of sediment coming from the Pākuratahi River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not stated		No recommendation
S187.004	Victoria University Canoe Club (S187)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Acknowledge Te Mana o te Wai (and wai ora) throughout PC1 and prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation.		Reject
S187.005	Victoria University Canoe Club (S187)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking/packrafting /rafting values in the Whaitua are recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values).		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									<p>More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character, and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection.</p> <p>Targets for natural character that are similar to the sorts of targets set for water quality and seeks objectives and policies that support these.</p> <p>More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without worrying about compromising health if contact is made with the water.</p> <p>Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.</p>		
S187.006	Victoria University Canoe Club (S187)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Supports the targets in the water quality target tables, but seeks stronger periphyton targets as submitter considers 200 mg is too high to protect the values in these catchments. Seeks as much done as possible through environmental limits to achieve these targets.	Amend minimum periphyton target to be 120 mg (e.g., for the Waiwhetū and for the lower mainstem of Te Awa Kairangi) Amend to a maximum DIN target of < 1.0 mg/L, and ideally targets of around 0.3 mg/L		Accept in part
S188.001	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - overall	Support		Seeks environmental outcomes set for the ecosystem health value	Seeks environmental outcomes set for the ecosystem health value		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.001	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.006	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1157	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1090	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S188.002	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Seeks Target Attribute States be set to allow for maintenance and/or restoration of this level of ecosystem health, which may involve setting limits and bottom lines well above the national bottom lines.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.002	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.007	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1158	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1091	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.003	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Amend		Considers all waterbodies should have Target Attribute States including estuaries, wetlands and groundwater. Considers wetlands have been excluded in the NRP PC1 from having Target Attribute States set.	Seeks all waterbodies (including wetlands) have Target Attribute States.		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.003	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.008	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1159	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1092	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS45.071	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that this proposed amendment is too onerous and would require an unattainable level of recording and consenting.	Disallow	Considers all waterbodies should have Target Attribute States including estuaries, wetlands and groundwater. Considers wetlands have been excluded in the NRP PC1 from having Target Attribute States set.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										Seeks all waterbodies (including wetlands) have Target Attribute States.	
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.263	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Any new TAS needs to be written to address the overarching issues identified by WWL for rivers. It is unclear what implications a new TAS for other waterbodies would have.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S188.006	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Seeks regular, meaningful updates and reports to statutory managers and collaborators on outcomes of management and action plans. Considers them important to maintain collaboration and achieve environmental targets.	Seeks regular, meaningful updates and reports to statutory managers and collaborators on outcomes of management and action plan		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.006	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.011	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1162	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1095	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.009	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers aquatic ecosystem health and wellbeing depends on managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and <i>E. coli</i> from farming activities. If current land use inputs of nutrients are not known, more conservative limits will need to be set to ensure the target reductions are achieved. Outcomes for Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen concentrations should be set around 0.3 – 0.6 mg/L, and median Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations should be set at around 0.01 – 0.02 mg/L (Canning et al 2021), supported by a monitoring programme.	Seeks Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen concentrations be set at 0.3 – 0.6 mg/L, and median Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations be set at 0.01 – 0.02 mg/L (Canning et al 2021). Seeks a comprehensive, regular, and frequent monitoring programme needed to assess concentrations of nutrients throughout catchment.		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.009	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.014	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - rural		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1165	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1098	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.270	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	The implications of this amendment are not clear, and it requires comprehensive monitoring.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S188.011	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Long-term target attribute timeframes require interim target attribute state timeframes also. Short-term milestones are useful for maintaining momentum over the lifetime of a vision, and minimising the likelihood of delays.	Interim target attribute state timeframes set for intervals of not more than 10 years with baselines which need to be achieved by the interim target date set.		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.011	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.016	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1167	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1100	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.256	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the TAS timeframes as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S188.018	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.018	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.023	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1174	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1107	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.031	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Notes Target Attribute States require interim target attribute states set for intervals of not more than 10 years with baselines which must be achieved by the interim target date.	Include interim target attribute states set for intervals of not more than 10 years.		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.031	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.036	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1187	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1120	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.257	Wellington Water Ltd	8	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the TAS timeframes as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S188.032	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Valued introduced species have legislative protections, and protections of their habitats which often lead to improvements for indigenous freshwater species. Suggests note in clause c) to cover requirements for these species.	Amend Clause c): (c) diversity, abundance, composition, structure, and condition of mahinga kai species and communities, including valued introduced species, has increased.		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.032	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.037	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1188	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1121	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara’s groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.033	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Amend		Valued introduced species have legislative protections, and protections of their habitats which often lead to improvements for indigenous freshwater species. Suggests note in clause c) to cover requirements for these species.	Amend Clause c):(c) diversity, abundance, composition, structure, and condition of mahinga kai species and communities, including valued introduced species, has increased.		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.033	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.038	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1189	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1122	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS31.010	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	While WIAL acknowledges that some indigenous freshwater species are afforded specific recognition under different statutes, the use of the terminology "valued introduced species" goes significantly further than those requirements.	Disallow	Amend Clause c): (c) diversity, abundance, composition, structure, and condition of mahinga kai species and communities, including valued introduced species, has increased.	Accept
S188.035	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.035	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.040	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1191	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1124	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.036	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.	Amend		Supports but needs elaborating, removal of aquifer water via bore extraction can cause aquitards to collapse (Zhang et al, 2014). Questions how this will be prevented.	Seeks clarification on how aquitard collapses will be prevented.		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.036	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.041	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1192	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1125	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.271	Wellington Water Ltd	8	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Oppose	No information is provided about how this will affect WWL’s activities.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S188.037	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Not Stated		Concerns with lack of factors considered as causing waterbodies to be unstable for contact recreation. Questions consideration for other impacts to be managed to create primary contact sites within these rivers	Not stated		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.037	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.042	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1193	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1126	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.272	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	PC1 as notified provides sufficient coverage of this issue.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S188.038	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.038	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.043	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1194	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1127	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S188.067	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports with amendments, notes interim targets will be required which set out SMART goals	Seeks interim targets be set		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.067	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.072	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1223	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1156	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.260	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the TAS timeframes as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S188.068	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Supports with amendments, notes interim targets will be required which set out SMART goals	Seeks interim targets be set		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.068	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.073	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1224	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1157	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.261	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the TAS timeframes as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S188.069	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water	Amend		Supports with amendments, notes interim targets will be required which set out SMART goals	Seeks interim targets be set		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					objectives set out in Table 9.1.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.069	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.074	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1225	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1158	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.262	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the TAS timeframes as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S188.071	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.071	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.076	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1227	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1160	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.072	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.072	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.077	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1228	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1161	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S193.001	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - overall	Support		Generally agrees with the long-term overarching objectives for both whitua. Considers 2100 is a realistic timeframe for those objectives, as many of the target attribute states (TASs) will take multiple generations and much planning and investment to achieve	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.957	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.007	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Supports progressive improvement towards the health and well-being of waterbodies in Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whitua and Whitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara (the whitua). Generally agrees with the overarching objectives in chapters 8 (WH.O1) and 9 (P.01) but seeks a provision for a thriving primary production sector. Considers Objectives WH.02 and P.02 clearly outline a trajectory of measurable improvement towards the health and well-being of waterbodies and their margins in the whitua so by 2040 listed processes or states have improved or have been reached. Considers these objectives recognise the system change needed to meet the overarching objectives of WH.01 and P.01 will take time, knowledge, and significant financial investment to achieve. Supports an interim timeframe of 2040 to 'check in' and see whether water quality is improving. Does not consider all TASs will be achievable by 2040 partly because there is a lack of quality data to establish baseline positions for all TASs. Suggests more work needs to be done to gather and collate this data so it can be used to inform the freshwater action plans (FAPs) that will set out the pathway to achieving the TASs. Considers 2040 is an unrealistic timeframe to meet all the proposed TASs set out in Tables 8.4 and 9.2 of PC1. Considers the notes in Tables 8.4 and 9.2 indicate the Council	Seeks the reference to 2040 be removed from Tables 8.4 and 9.2. Seeks TASs and/or sites where there is limited or 'insufficient data' be removed from Tables 8.4 and 9.2. Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								has insufficient data on baseline states for some attributes and further monitoring and modelling is required to develop attribute state frameworks. Considers further work is required by the Council, with mana whenua and communities, to develop and implement FAPs to address how TASs will be achieved. Notes it will take time to establish a robust body of evidence for the TAS baselines, and the plans on how to achieve TASs where they need to be improved. Considers the TASs met should be maintained. Where they need to be improved, the tables should reflect realistic dates by which the TASs can be achieved Considers milestone target dates do not have to be the same for all TASs and all part FMUs. Suggests prioritising part-FMUs where the Council can achieve 'easy-wins' or where human health is most likely to be impacted by poor water quality. Considers realistic timeframes can be determined when preparing FAPs and carried into the NRP through a variation. Seeks relief that TASs and/or sites where there is limited or 'insufficient data' should be removed from Tables 8.4 and 9.2 as the baseline state cannot be reliably determined, and therefore it is not known whether the attribute and/or site needs to be maintained or improved.			
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.963	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.013	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Amend		Supportive of efforts to promote the progressive shading of streams, providing landowners are supported with financial assistance to fence, plant, and maintain plantings and the width of the plantings is reasonable. Suggests stream shading may not assist the Council in meeting proposed TASs because shade from dense planting can cause the loss of undergrowth and bank-armouring	Undertake further monitoring to understand periphyton growth characteristics in the region.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>vegetation, such as grasses, leading to a transient phase of increased bank erosion in small streams as the stream channel widens. The loss of undergrowth can also lead to sheetwash and rolling, which can further increase sediment loads (MFE Guidance for implementing the NPS-FM sediment requirements). Sediment-related water quality following riparian planting is likely to get worse before it gets better as stream banks erode and channels widen in response to increased tree shade (cites Rob Davies-Colley & Andrew Hughes (2020): Sediment-related water). Considers it's not practical or feasible to plant every stream, river, lake, wetland and spring margin. Considers whilst stock exclusion from small streams (<1m) within the Mangaroa River and Mākara Stream catchments can make a contribution to addressing water quality issues there is little research on riparian management measures to reduce stream bank erosion. Cites Hugh (2016) which states that a one-size-fits-all approach to riparian management measures to reduce stream bank erosion is unlikely to be appropriate or effective. Considers this knowledge should be considered in FAPs to address interim and long-term priorities, including attaining the national bottom lines for TASs, and what can be achieved by interventionist policies. Notes the s32 report links stream shading policies to the management of periphyton growth. Considers in nearly all part FMUs the Council has insufficient data on periphyton biomass. Suggests the Council undertake further monitoring to understand periphyton growth characteristics in the region. Supports the Council's intention to address periphyton growth in specific 'hot spots' through FAPs.</p>			
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.969	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest &	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.029	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			3 Objectives	Objective O2	Not Stated		Considers Objective O2 is relevant to all whitua	Retain for all whitua Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.011	Horticulture New Zealand	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	Objective O2 is relevant to all whitua and should be retained.	Allow	Allow. Retain Objective O2 for all whitua.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.985	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.134	Meridian Energy Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	The benefits described in Objective O2 should be recognised, regardless of location. The benefits described in Objective O2 include the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation which are required to be recognised and provided for by the NPS-REG	Allow	Allow S193.029 and retain Objective O2 as having application in both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Accept
S193.030	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			3 Objectives	Objective O5	Not Stated		Considers Objective O5 is relevant to all whitua	Retain for all whitua Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.986	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O5		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.031	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			3 Objectives	Objective O6	Not Stated		Considers Objective O6 is relevant to all whitua	Retain for all whitua Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.013	Horticulture New Zealand	3 Objectives	Objective O6		Support	Objective O6 is relevant to all whitua and should be retained.	Allow	Allow. Retain Objective O6 for all whitua.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.987	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O6		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.137	Meridian Energy Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O6		Support	The benefits described in Objective O6 should be recognised, regardless of location. The benefits described in Objective O6 include the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure;	Allow	Allow S193.031 and retain Objective O6 as having application in both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Reject
S193.032	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			3 Objectives	Objective O35	Not Stated		Considers Objective O35 is relevant to all whaitua	Retain for all whaitua Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.988	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O35		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.056	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Amend for improved consistency with providing for all values as set out in the NPS-FM and WIPs; and amend for practical achievability	Amend to provide for a thriving primary production sector as part of the longterm vision Delete clause directing "all freshwater bodies have planted margins". Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.027	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Amend to provide for the value for Irrigation, cultivation, and production of food and beverages in the NPS-FM.	Allow in part	Amend WH.O1 to add the following clause, "Primary production activities thrive with water quality and quantity suitable for irrigation needs."	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1012	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.057	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Amend to: Refer general comments on Target attribute states and timeframes	Amend to delete "by 2040" and provide for timeframes for achievement of TAS to be developed through the Freshwater Action Plan process and incorporated in a future variation>Delete clauses a) to h) or alternatively, amend b) to delete "to a more natural state"; Amend g) to add at priority primary contact recreation sites; Add clause to provide for reliable water to support a thriving primary production sector Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.029	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support in part	Water bodies above the national bottom lines could be deemed by the community to be sufficiently healthy to meet the requirements of the NPS-FM given the other hierarchies of obligation under Te Mana o te Wai.	Allow in part	Amend (a) water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes are at a level where the state of aquatic life is maintained, or meaningful progress has been made towards improvement where below the target attribute state degraded, and.... Add clause (i) to provide for reliable water to support a thriving primary production sector.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1013	Forest & Bird	8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.058	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Amend		Re-word as follows: Amend for consistency with WH.O8	Amend chapeau to read the health and wellbeing of coastal water quality etc are at least maintained or improved where TAS are not met and by 2040...; delete e)-h) Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1014	Forest & Bird	8	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S193.059	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with the NPS-FM	Add column for measured baseline state Amend numeric targets to read 'maintain or improve'; Delete timeframe Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.030	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Amend to be consistent with the NPS-FM. The units for muddiness are unclear, and sedimentation rate should have the unit Current: Baseline.	Allow	Add column for measured baseline state. Amend units for muddiness. Amend unit for Sedimentation rate to Current:Baseline.	Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1015	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.061	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Amend for consistency with WH O8; and with NPS-FM 1.6 (2b) direction to take all practicable steps to reduce uncertainty.	Edits to formatting needed as follows: Amend a) to read "improve where TAS are not met" (delete "to achieve"); Delete clauses b)-d); Add clause directing collection of robust data for assigning baseline state Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.031	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support in part	We question whether wai ora is only achieved by the A band when the lakes are already above the national bottom lines and therefore could be deemed by the community to be sufficiently healthy to meet the requirements of the NPS-FM given the other hierarchies of obligation under Te Mana o te Wai.	Allow in part	Amend WH.O5 as follows: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards a natural reference state wai ora, such that: (a) water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes are at a level where the state of aquatic life	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										is maintained, or meaningfully improved where below the target attribute state degraded, to achieve the target attribute states in Table 8.2, and...	
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1017	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.062	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Amend		References general comments provided References general comments provided regarding Target attribute states and timeframes.	Delete timeframeDelete attributes based on unknown or limited dataAdd direction to collect robust data for assignment of baseline stateMake any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1018	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.063	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Amend		Amend to provide for NPS-FM and WIP values.	Amend d) to provide for sufficient reliability for the needs of communities and a thriving primary production sector. Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.032	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Water quality is also important for irrigation of fruits and vegetables to meet food safety standards.	Allow in part	Amend WH.O6 (d) as follows, “ensure that groundwater is of sufficient quality and reliability for irrigation and human and stock drinking water, and...”	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1019	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.064	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Supports prioritising primary contact sites for improvement.	Add clause directing collection of robust data for sites with insufficient information. Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1020	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.065	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		References comments provided regarding Target attribute states and timeframes.	Edits to formatting required as follows: Amend a) to read improve where the TAS is not met (delete 'is met'); Delete b) and c) Add clause directing collection of robust data for assessing baseline state and monitoring progress in all rivers within the part FMUs and for other rivers/catchments within the part-FMUs Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1021	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.066	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers there are too many gaps and uncertainties for Table 8.4 to be relied on in its current form.	<p>Delete timeframes</p> <p>Delete sites/attributes where baseline state is based on limited data or further monitoring is needed;</p> <p>Delete columns titled part FMU default TAS;</p> <p>Amend NOF attributes to use NOF compliant metrics and statistics</p> <p>Amend baseline state for the monitored sites to use the latest Council data (e.g. from the 2021/22 River Water Quality and Ecology Monitoring report)</p> <p>Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.</p>		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1022	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S193.112	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Amend for consistency with NPS-FM and WIP values	First bullet - delete or clarify meaning Second bullet - delete "in a natural state" Fourth bullet - delete or reword to express vision for natural character Add bullet to provide for sustaining a thriving primary production sector Add bullet providing for harbour sedimentation to be reduced to a more natural level Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.057	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Amend to provide for the value for Irrigation, cultivation, and production of food and beverages in the NPS-FM.	Allow in part	Amend P.O1 to add the following clause, “Primary production activities thrive with water quality and quantity suitable for irrigation needs.”	Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1068	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.113	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable	Amend		Amend for consistency with NPS-FM and NOF values; and to clarify distinction between the trajectory of improvement and the achievement of wai ora	Delete b, d, f and g Add clause providing for a thriving primary production sector including through the provision of reliable water Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improvement towards wai ora.						
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1069	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.114	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		References general comments regarding Target attribute states and timeframes	Amend chapeau to delete "to achieve" and to read 'improve where TAS are not met'; Delete a-hAdd clause directing the collection of robust baseline data and development of prioritised timeframes for TAS for incorporation in a future variationMake any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1070	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S193.115	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers numeric targets cannot reasonably be set in the absence of numeric baselines	Delete timeframes Add column showing baseline state; Amend numeric targets to read 'maintain or improve' Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.058	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Numeric baselines are needed to introduce targets.	Allow	Add column showing baseline state.	Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1071	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.117	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Considers objective is proportionate to the context	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1073	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.118	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers baseline state should not be based on old or incomplete or modelled or default data	Delete timeframesDelete all sites/attributes which are based on limited or modelled estimatesDelete columns titled Part FMU default TASAmend NOF attributes to use NOF compliant metrics and statisticsAmend baseline state to use current data (e.g. 2021/22 council monitoring data)Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1074	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.192	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought on objectives	Amend to show catchments Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1148	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.193	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought on objectives	Amend to show catchments Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1149	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.194	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought on objectives	Amend to show catchments Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1150	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S195.026	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA) (S195)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Oppose		Considers Tables 8.4, 8.5 and 9.2 are at odds with the NPS-FW. Questions why the tables use a mix of states and numeric measurements; why parts have no information; or why there is no acknowledgement that further measurements are required to define a TAS. Acknowledges many of the numeric attributes used have not actually been measured, but are the result of modelling.	Withdraw Tables 8.4, 8.5 and 9.2, undertake review of them and do not reinstate them until reviewed by an independent party.		Accept in part
	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS23.429	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S197.001	Greg Davies (S197)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Akatarawa River iii. Whakatikei River iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay vi. Otaki River. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Considers Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider to be an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Considers the natural and wildlife values of these areas important.	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S197.002	Greg Davies (S197)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned with increased sediment in the Hutt River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerned that river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures.	Not Stated.		No recommendation
S197.004	Greg Davies (S197)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation.		Accept
S197.005	Greg Davies (S197)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Concerned with water quality, (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	<p>Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking/packrafting/rafting values in the Whaitua, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values).</p> <p>More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection.</p> <p>Targets for natural character that are similar to the targets set for water quality and objectives and policies to support these.</p> <p>More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without compromising health if contact is made with the water.</p> <p>Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.</p>		Accept in part
S197.006	Greg Davies (S197)			General comments	General comments -	Support		Supports water quality targets.	Requests as much work as possible is done through		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					target attribute states				environmental limits to achieve these targets.		
S2.016	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports this long-term vision for Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara, however, seeks the changes outlined below to ensure requirements are reasonably achievable. Considers Āhua (natural character) restoration should only occur where natural character has been degraded. Considers that without providing for this caveat, it sets an unrealistic requirement on what is being restored and the baseline state. Considers requirements to plant the margins of freshwater bodies will not always be practicable as there are freshwater bodies that cannot have planted margins for various reasons including being piped or being of a concrete channel. Seeks this clause be amended to be “as far as practicable”.	Amend Objective WH.O1 as follows: Objective WH.O1The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: Āhua (natural character) is restored where it has been degraded and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and characterAll freshwater bodies have planted margins as far as practicableAll freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species and taonga speciesMahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitangaMana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S2.017	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Amend		Considers the direction in clause (b) and (c) to protect groundwater-dependent ecosystems and ecosystems in connected surface water bodies is inconsistent with the NPS-FM, which requires freshwater and freshwater ecosystems to be “maintained” through Policy 5. The Submitter notes that “protection” is only afforded to outstanding freshwater bodies and habitats of indigenous freshwater species through Policies 8 and 9 of the NPS-FM accordingly. Considers protection to be a higher bar than maintain which could lead to perverse outcomes and an inability for reasonable development to occur. Seeks clarification on the term aquifer consolidation referenced in Clause (f).	1. Clarify what is “aquifer consolidation”, and 2. Amend Objective WH.O6 as follows: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained at levels that: (a) ensure base flows or levels in surface water bodies and springs are supported and salt-water intrusion is avoided, and (b) protect maintain groundwater dependent ecosystems, and (c) protect maintain ecosystems in connected surface water bodies, and (d) ensure that groundwater is of sufficient quality for human and stock drinking water, and (e) ensure there is not a long-term decline in mean annual groundwater levels, including artesian pressures and (f) avoid aquifer consolidation.		Accept in part
S202.007	Graeme Iain Shellard, Sarah Elizabeth Shellard, Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David Shellard (S202)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Oppose		Notes there is no date range of collection of baseline data, no information relating to data collection or relating to recent weather patterns or river flows, nor any ongoing major works (including deforestation / harvesting) which impact water quality. Considers this means the information presented is flawed.	Withdraw PC1. Develop and implement improvements through community-based support / education supported by measurements and reporting		Accept in part
S202.008	Graeme Iain Shellard, Sarah Elizabeth Shellard, Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David Shellard (S202)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Notes there is no date range of collection of baseline data, no information relating to data collection or relating to recent weather patterns or river flows, nor any ongoing major works (including deforestation / harvesting) which impact water quality. Considers this means the information presented is flawed.	Withdraw PC1. Develop and implement improvements through community-based support / education supported by measurements and reporting		Accept in part
S206.022	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Concerned that several provisions are subject to the Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) where freshwater is only a peripheral issue to which the provision relates. Considers this an inappropriate use of the FPP, giving rise to jurisdictional problems such as restricted appeal rights. Considers	Review the scope of FPP versus Schedule 1 processes. Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to the FPP; remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								improper allocation results in delays and costs, and is exacerbated by the restrictive activity statuses proposed.			
	Winstone Aggregates	FS25.018	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	Request represents good planning practice and has legal merit	Allow	Review PC1 - Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to FPP - remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1 process	Reject
S206.032	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports the long-term vision for Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara, however seeks that restoration of Āhua should only occur where natural character has been degraded, otherwise considers there is an unrealistic requirement on what it is being restored and the baseline state. Considers the requirement for margins of freshwater bodies to be planted will not be practicable in all instances, such as where freshwater bodies are piped or of a concrete channel. Seeks amendment to “as far as practicable”, noting that all types of waterbodies are captured, and planting may not be possible or desirable for some.	Amend Objective WH.O1: Objective WH.O1 The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: Āhua (natural character) is restored where it has been degraded and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character All freshwater bodies have planted margins as far as practicable All freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species and taonga species Mahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitanga Mana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Winstone Aggregates	FS47.155	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Meridian agrees the objective should acknowledge lawfully established existing infrastructure and require restoration to the extent that is practicable.	Allow	Allow S206.032.	Accept in part
S206.033	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Amend		Considers the direction in (b) and (c) to “protect” is inconsistent with NPS-FM Policy 5, which requires freshwater and freshwater ecosystems are “maintained”, noting that “protection” is only afforded to outstanding freshwater bodies and habitats of indigenous freshwater species under NPS-FM Policies 8 and 9. Considers protection a higher bar than maintain, potentially leading to perverse outcomes and an inability for reasonable development to occur. Seeks clarification on what “aquifer consolidation” refers to in (f).	Clarify what is “aquifer consolidation”, and Amend Objective WH.O6: Objective WH.O6 Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained at levels that: (a) ensure base flows or levels in surface water bodies and springs are supported and salt-water intrusion is avoided, and (b) protect maintain groundwater dependent ecosystems, and (c) protect maintain ecosystems in connected surface water bodies, and (d) ensure that groundwater is of sufficient quality for human and stock drinking water, and (e) ensure there is not a long-term decline in mean annual groundwater levels, including artesian pressures and (f) avoid aquifer consolidation.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S206.034	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Concerned over whether the improvements sought are too ambitious and unrealistic in the proposed timeframe. Considers the requirement to move from the existing D state to B state for periphyton biomass; and from the existing C state to A state for <i>E. coli</i> will require significant land use change. Considers (c) unrealistic, and that it does not account for seasonal shifts in water quality and ecological condition. Considers there is no certainty for what the expectations are.	Revise the improvement requirements of Table 8.4 or the timeframe to ensure that outcomes can be realistically achieved; And; Amend Objective WH.09: Objective WH.09 Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved by ensuring that: (a) where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 8.4, and (b) where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is met, the state of that attribute is at least maintained in all rivers within the part Freshwater Management Unit, and (c) where any attribute in any river or river reach is in a better state than the target attribute state, that attribute is at least maintained at the better state in every river or river reach, and (d) where a huanga of mahinga kai and Māori customary use for locations identified in Schedule B (Ngā Taonga Nui a Kiwa) and is not achieved, the state of the river or river reach is improved.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S206.062	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Concerned over whether the improvements sought are too ambitious and unrealistic in the proposed timeframe. Considers the requirement to move from the existing D state to B state for periphyton biomass; and from the existing C state to A state for <i>E. coli</i> will require significant land use change. Considers (c) unrealistic, and that it does not account for seasonal shifts in water quality and ecological condition. Considers there is no certainty for what the expectations are.	Revise the improvement requirements of Table 9.2 or the timeframe to ensure that outcomes can be realistically achieved;andAmend Objective P.O6:Objective P.O6Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved by ensuring that:(a) where a target attribute state in Table 9.2 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit so that the target attribute state is met within the timeframe indicated within Table 9.2, and(b) where a target attribute state in Table 9.2 is met, the state of that attribute is at least maintained in all rivers within the part Freshwater Management Unit, and(c) where any attribute in any river or river reach is in a better state than the target attribute state, that attribute is at least maintained at the better state in every river or river reach, and(d) where a huanga of mahinga kai and Māori customary use for locations identified in Schedule B (Ngā Taonga Nui a Kiwa) and is not achieved, the state of the river or river reach is improved.		Accept in part
S210.013	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			3 Objectives	Objective O18: Rivers, lakes, natural wetlands and coastal water are suitable for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Support		Supports intent of Objective O18	Retain O18 as notified		No recommendation
S210.014	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine	Support		Supports intent of Objective O19	Retain O19 as notified		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					area are safeguarded.						
S210.015	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Amend		The submitter notes the new note states Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.8 do not apply to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara but it is noted Tables 3.1 and 3.3 also have the symbol indicating these tables also do not apply to the Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara, and the submitters consider these tables should also be referenced in Objectives O25 and O28.	Amend Objective O25 to include reference to Tables 3.1 and 3.3		Reject
S210.016	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Amend		The submitters note the new note states Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.8 do not apply to Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara but it is noted Tables 3.1 and 3.3 also have the symbol indicating these tables also do not apply to the Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara, and the submitters consider these tables should also be referenced in Objectives O25 and O28.	Amend Objective O28 to include reference to Tables 3.1 and 3.3		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S210.020	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Notes aspirational intent of objective to progressively improve the health of freshwater bodies (and the coastal marine area) and for them to be in a wai ora state by 2100. While generally supporting intent of the objective, the submitters note the objective is all-inclusive (relates to the health of all freshwater bodies) and the wai ora state requires all freshwater bodies to have planted margins which may not be physically or legally (due to property rights) possible. The submitter notes that the term 'freshwater bodies' is not defined in the RMA or any of the relevant planning instruments (NPS-FW; NES-FW; RPS; NRP), but freshwater is defined in the RMA as "means all water except coastal water and geothermal water". This means that the all-inclusive intent of Objective WH.O1 will apply freshwater bodies (such as roadside channels and man-made drains that convey freshwater) which is considered impracticable and unnecessary. The submitters consider the objective be amended to apply to natural freshwater bodies to avoid unintended consequences.	Amend WH.O1 to apply to natural freshwater bodies to avoid the all-inclusive nature of the intent which has unintended consequences.		Accept
S210.021	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Notes and supports the more focused intent of the objective on the health and wellbeing of groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands within the Whaitua. Notwithstanding this support, there are outcomes (such as river and erosion processes including bank stability (Clause (b)), and the extent and condition of indigenous riparian vegetation (Clause (c)) are to be increased or improved that do not appear to have any clear or acceptable targets that these matters can be assessed against. Questions what level of acceptance targets are intended to be used?	Retain WH.O2 as notified but consider identifying acceptable targets for matters not covered by TAS		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S210.022	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Oppose		Notes intent of the objective to 'protect' groundwater dependent ecosystems (Clause (b)) and ecosystems in connected surface water bodies (Clause (c)), and 'avoid' aquifer consolidation (Clause (f)). However oppose these approaches as they lead to restrictive and unnecessary restrictions in policies and rules to appropriately implement the objective. Consider an effects management approach as per the NPS-FM is more appropriate and provides a balanced response, and seek an amendment to these clauses to ensure consistency within the objective with Clauses (a), (d) and (e) and other objectives (such as Objective WH.09).	Seeks the following amendments to Objective WH.06 (or similar wording): i. Clause (b) be amended to read: "protect ensure that groundwater dependent ecosystems are maintained or improved where degraded" ii. Clause (c) be amended to read: "protect ensure that ecosystems in connected surface water bodies are maintained or improved where degraded, and" iii. Clause (f) be amended to read: "avoid or minimise aquifer consolidation"		Accept in part
S210.023	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			8	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Supports approach to maintain or improve water quality, habitat, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers, and the reference to 'at least maintaining' TAS in Clauses (b) and (c).	Retain WH.09 as notified		Accept in part
S211.002	Hutt City Council (S211)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Amend		Considers that setting an <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe of 2060 will be less costly to HCC ratepayers than the proposed 2040 timeframe.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS23.348	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS39.090	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S211.003	Hutt City Council (S211)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Amend		Considers repair and upgrading the public network would only reduce a proportion of the contaminant load and there will be substantial costs to landowners to upgrade pipes (private laterals) within the private wastewater network that make a significant portion of untreated discharges to land and	Amend the proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								water, to meet the proposed 2040 target. Notes Wellington Water's concern in relation to the ability to deliver the work required to meet the 2040 target.			
	Hutt City Council	FS23.349	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS36.054	Wellington City Council	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS39.091	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S211.006	Hutt City Council (S211)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Supports the 100 year vision towards full restoration of te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways. Seeks clarification on whether the text from "Note In the wai ora state..." is part of the objective or is an advisory note. Considers it is not physically possible for all water bodies to have planted margins, therefore seeks that the requirement for planted margins be qualified with "where possible".	Objective WH.O1 The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: -Āhua (natural character) is restored and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character -All freshwater bodies have planted margins where possible -All freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species and taonga species -Mahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitanga		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									-Mana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment.		
	Hutt City Council	FS23.352	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS28.003	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Changes proposed will improve clarity of the provisions.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
	Hutt City Council	FS47.156	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees the objective should acknowledge lawfully established existing infrastructure and require restoration to the extent that is practicable.	Allow in part	Allow S211.006 by inserting the words '...where practicable,'.	Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS31.005	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-		Support	WIAL supports the qualified reference to freshwater bodies being planted margins as this acknowledges that it is not always physically possible (i.e. due to space constraints) to appropriate (due to operational and functional requirements of regionally significant infrastructure) to provide for planted	Allow	That Objective WH.O1 be amended as follows: Note In the wai ora state: -All freshwater bodies have planted margins where possible.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.			margins, particularly where those freshwater bodies are highly modified.			
S211.007	Hutt City Council (S211)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers increased cost to ratepayers to meet the 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe on top of business as usual rates increase is unaffordable. Considers a 2060 target more achievable than the 2040 target, providing other funding avenues are explored such as growth charging and debt funding and significant central government funding. Considers that repairing the public network would only reduce a portion of the contaminant load, noting that there will be substantial costs to landowners to upgrade pipes within the private wastewater network to meet the proposed 2040 target, particularly in relation to achieving Criteria WH.O3 (g) and (h). Concerned with lack of information on the achievability of target attribute states, including the impact on Council assets and city wide development capacity to allow informed decision making, citing similar concerns raised by other parties as set out in the s32 report. Considers that the funding requirements on housing and business development capacity is not sufficiently explored in the s32 evaluation	Amend the timeframe for target states for <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci coastal water objectives to 2060.		Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS8.028	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Winstone fully support the submission. The Submitter has provided a robust assessment of the feasibility of meeting the 2040 timeframe. Winstone is concerned that this assessment was not provided upfront by Greater Wellington with the Section 32 evaluation. Winstone does raise that similar analysis should be undertaken by Greater Wellington for meeting all targets by the 2040 timeframe. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be “ambitious but reasonable” ¹ .	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Hutt City Council	FS23.353	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS39.092	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S211.008	Hutt City Council (S211)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Considers there are significant challenges in terms of the costs to upgrade the wastewater network to achieve the reduction in <i>E. coli</i> by 2040. Supports the inclusion of 2040 in Objective WH.08 on the basis that it does not impose the same significant challenges and costs on Council.	Amend Objective WH.08 as follows: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact by ensuring that by 2040 2060: (a) <i>Escherichia coli</i> concentrations are at least maintained, or improved where the target attribute states in Table 8.3 are not met, and (b) there is low risk of health effects from exposure to benthic cyanobacteria.		Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS8.029	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Winstone fully support the submission. The Submitter has provided a robust assessment of the feasibility of meeting the 2040 timeframe. Winstone is concerned that this assessment was not provided upfront by Greater Wellington with the Section 32 evaluation. Winstone does raise that similar analysis should be undertaken by Greater Wellington for meeting all targets by the 2040 timeframe. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be "ambitious but reasonable" ² .	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS23.354	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					River are suitable for primary contact.						
	Hutt City Council	FS39.093	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S211.009	Hutt City Council (S211)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Considers increased cost to ratepayers to meet the 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe on top of business as usual rates increase is unaffordable. Considers a 2060 target more achievable than the 2040 target, providing other funding avenues are explored such as growth charging and debt funding and significant central government funding. Considers that repairing the public network would only reduce a portion of the contaminant load, noting that there will be substantial costs to landowners to upgrade pipes within the private wastewater network to meet the proposed 2040 target, particularly in relation to achieving Criteria WH.03 (g) and (h). Concerned with lack of information on the achievability of target attribute states, including the impact on Council assets and city wide development capacity to allow informed decision making, citing similar concerns raised by other parties as set out in the s32 report. Considers that the funding requirements on housing and business development capacity is not sufficiently explored in the s32 evaluation.	Amend the timeframe for target states for <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci coastal water objectives to 2060.		Accept in part
	Hutt City Council	FS8.030	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Winstone fully support the submission. The Submitter has provided a robust assessment of the feasibility of meeting the 2040 timeframe. Winstone is concerned that this assessment was not provided upfront by Greater Wellington with the Section 32	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								evaluation. Winstone does raise that similar analysis should be undertaken by Greater Wellington for meeting all targets by the 2040 timeframe. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be “ambitious but reasonable”3.			
	Hutt City Council	FS23.355	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose all submission points seeking to amend proposed 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target timeframe to 2060.	Reject
	Hutt City Council	FS39.094	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Hutt City Council’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S212.004	Heather Phillips (S212)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Considers more extraction of gravels from the Hutt River needed to be undertaken to cope with the previous flood volumes and when the Hutt River breaches the stop banks much more than sediment will enter the Te Whanganui-a-Tara/Wellington Harbour. Considers planning for extreme events not evident in the plan but would be appropriate.	Not stated		No recommendation
S212.007	Heather Phillips (S212)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Concern about lack of mention about the Whakatiki River/Little Wainui River.	Not stated		No recommendation
S212.009	Heather Phillips (S212)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concern about Trout protection within Plan Change 1 and suggests inclusion is at odds with other government department statements.	Not stated		No recommendation
S213.008	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Strongly support improvement of health for all freshwater in Whaitua. Considers there is room for improvement to raise te mana o te wai, including its safety for recreation, mahinga kai, taonga species and ecological health.	Retain as notified		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S213.009	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Considers streams have too much deposited sediment in places and lowering sediment input from erosion-prone headwaters and earthworks will improve health and wellbeing.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S213.010	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Hopes freshwater objectives result in improved parameters for the harbour and estuaries, rather than just maintained state.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S213.012	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Recognises mana whenua and significance of rare/special lakes and wetlands in Whaitua. Concerns with loss of wetlands and need for protection, the creation, construction or restoration of wetlands.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S213.013	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable	Amend		Requests adding Speedys Stream at Taniwha Pool to WH.O8. WWL monitor <i>E. coli</i> so a baseline numeric is available. Notes wastewater pipe leaks have resulted in removal of the site from community swimming activities. Considers TAS should be set to a state that improves on the current state. Resolving regular sewage overflows into streams and making them	Add Speedys Stream at Taniwha Pool to Map 85 as a primary contact site. Identify and add similar small stream sites of high recreational contact in the Whaitua.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					for primary contact.			consistently suitable for contact recreation is valued and expected by the local community.			
S213.014	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Questions why Fish Community Health TAS is only C, considering Korokoro, Speedys and Dry Creek catchments are protected by GW Key Native Ecosystems (in part) for fish diversity and are important sites for improving threatened species diversity, condition and abundance.	Increase FCH parameter for Korokoro part-FMU to a TAS of B.		Reject
	Pareraho Forest Trust	FS27.1041	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S213.029	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Supports inclusion of Speedys Stream and Dry Creek in the Korokoro part-FMU	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S216.001	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga) (S216)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Restoring the health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara are key priorities for the submitter	Provisions relating to the protection and restoration of Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara should be confirmed, adopted and implemented.		Accept
S216.002	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira (Te Rūnanga) (S216)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Te Rūnanga provided input into the draft plan change including matters relating to Māori rights and interests to freshwater; Te Mana o Te Wai under the NPS-FM; mahinga kai as a highly significant value; and timeframes for <i>E. coli</i> target attribute states and the enterococci coastal water objectives. Supports the proposed objectives and policies in the plan change which sets new direction to restoring rivers, lakes, natural wetlands for contact recreation and Māori customary use.	Not stated		No recommendation
S217.004	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Oppose		Notes Objectives WH.O6 and P.O5 intend to 'protect' groundwater dependent ecosystems and ecosystems in connected surface water bodies, and 'avoid' aquifer consolidation (Objective WH.O6). Opposes these approaches as they lead to restrictive and unnecessary restrictions in policies and rules to appropriately implement the objective. Considers an effects management approach is more appropriate and provides a balanced response.	[...](b) protect ensure that groundwater dependent ecosystems are maintained or improved where degraded(c) protect ensure that ecosystems in connected surface water bodies are maintained or improved where degraded, and[...] (f) avoid or minimise aquifer consolidation[...]		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S217.014	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell (S217)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Oppose		Concerned the "protect" approach will lead to unnecessarily restrictive policies and rules. Considers that an effects management approach is more appropriate.	Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained at levels that protect ensure that: (a) groundwater dependent ecosystems are maintained or improved where degraded, and(b) the values of connected surface water bodies in places where groundwater flows to surface water are maintained or improved where degraded.		Accept
S22.002	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Considers community values of many water bodies have been compromised but these streams and other water bodies continue to provide species' habitats. Considers that collective action through the regional plan is required to secure and improve waterbodies and ensure they remain community assets.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S22.003	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports the direction of PC1 to require specific actions to improve water bodies over time. Recommends that interim and measurable milestones are set for achieving improved freshwater outcomes to ensure that measures are effective.	Include interim and measurable milestones		Accept
S22.006	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Amend		Supports the proposed target attribute states. Seeks a fall-back date of 2035 should no other date be specified by 31 December 2026.	Include a fall-back date of 2035 should no other date be specified by 31 December 2026.		Reject
S22.007	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Amend		Seeks highest level of protection for waterways containing giant kokopu, shortjaw kokopu or lampreys, due to their vulnerability to environmental changes.	Provide highest level of protection for waterways containing giant kokopu, shortjaw kokopu or lampreys.		No recommendation
S22.014	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			12 Schedules	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S22.015	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		No recommendation
S22.023	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.1 Objectives	Support		Supports objectives WH.01-WH.09 however recommends interim milestones are set, supported by numerical objectives and monitoring programmes to meet desired outcomes.	Implement interim milestones supported by numerical objectives and monitoring programmes		Accept
S22.024	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Support		Supports numerical requirements for running waters in addition to those for lakes. Considers human health for contact recreation should be the standard where the water bodies are used for that purpose.	Human health for contact recreation be the standard where water bodies are used for that purpose		Reject
S22.025	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Supports target attribute states	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S22.028	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	9.1 Objectives	Support		Supports the provisions of Chapter 9	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S221.003	Generation Zero (S221)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Support emphasis on coastal receiving environment and considers this aligns with the set objectives of holistic water health approach and with te ara Wairua o te Wai values.	Not stated		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S221.004	Generation Zero (S221)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Amend		Endorses the TAS objective of Option 1 (achieving goals by 2040) because it will incentivise greater innovation. Suggest interim targets to make the timeframe less divisive.	Not stated		Accept in part
S221.007	Generation Zero (S221)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Concerns for the number of Part FMU's where copper and zinc baselines are D and C. Supports the recommendations of Te Awarua-o-Porirua WIP. Supports Policy Package Option 1 as choice of action.	Not stated		No recommendation
S221.010	Generation Zero (S221)			2 Interpretation	Coastal water management units	Support		Not Stated	Not stated		No recommendation
S222.008	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.	Amend		Considers parameters in Table 3.4 for water quality are relevant to the Whaitua and have not been carried over to the new target tables.	Retain the application of nuisance macrophytes, periphyton cover, toxicants, and mahinga kai targets to the new Whaitua chapters.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.189	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.164	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.900	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.009	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.	Amend		No replacement targets have been provided for the Whaitua.	Retain application of Table 3.6 to the Whaitua.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.190	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.165	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.901	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S222.010	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.	Amend		No replacement targets have been provided for the Whaitua.	Retain application of Table 3.7 to the Whaitua.		Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.191	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.166	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.902	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.011	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.	Support		Protects ecosystem and indigenous biodiversity health.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.192	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.167	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.903	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O25: Outstanding water bodies identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies) and their significant values are protected and restored.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S222.012	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.	Support		Protects ecosystem and indigenous biodiversity health.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.193	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.168	Forest & Bird	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.904	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	3 Objectives	Objective O28: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values are protected from the adverse effects of use and development, and where appropriate restored to a healthy functioning state including as defined by Tables 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.022	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Delete "Note" so that the wai ora state has legal effect as part of the objective. Amend 2100 to 2050 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.008	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Winstone oppose reducing the timeframe. Winstone note that the direction of WH.O1 anticipates significant land use change to achieve that long term vision. This form of change must occur progressively over a long time period. Winstone support the existing timeframe of 76 years and notes that any reduction	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed and that the existing timeframe of 2100 is retained.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.203	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.178	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.914	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS47.157	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	The shortened time frame is not practicably achievable. If the objective is to specify what constitutes ‘wai ora’ the wording needs to be refined to reflect practicability particularly where existing infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure, means it is not practicable to plant river margins or to completely restore natural character;	Disallow	Disallow S222.022.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.007	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPS-FM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPS-FM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow in part	To give effect to the NPS-FM. Delete "Note" so that the wai ora state has legal effect as part of the objective. Amend 2100 to 2050 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.004	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.023	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Consistency with and to give effect to NPS-FM.	Include reference to natural form and character in the objective (under (a)) and refer to ecosystem health as it is more consistent with NPS-FM. Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.009	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. While Winstone support setting aspirational targets for meeting Target Attribute States, Winstone raises concern whether targets are realistically achievable. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone's concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved (see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period (to 6 years) would require further significant land use change that is unlikely to align with community aspirations.	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS25.061	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater,		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O2 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			Goodwin Estate Trust.		rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.			implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.049	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O2 as notified	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.204	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.003	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in objective WH.O2.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.179	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.915	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.008	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPS-FM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPS-FM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow in part	Consistency with the and give effect to the NPS-FM. Include reference to natural form and character in the objective (under (a) and refer to ecosystem health as it is more consistent with the NPS-FM.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.005	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.024	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.						
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.205	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.180	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.916	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS40.006	CentrePort Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	CentrePort supports the maintenance and improvement of coastal water quality to ensure the objectives set out in Table 8.1 are met. However, CentrePort consider that the timeframe should be to2040 rather than 2030 as suggested by EDS. This is to recognise the investment needed in some circumstances to ensure these parameters are maintained or improved.	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.014	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S222.025	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers key coastal water quality parameters are missing and more stringent timeframes are required.	<p>Include a parameter for Turbidity. Wording for parameter is as follows: Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Te Whanganui-a-Tara Harbour and estuaries, Makara Estuary, Wainuiomata Estuary: <6.9; Wai Tai: No discernible change).</p> <p>Add further parameters (for example lead, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, secchi depth, chlorophyll-a, total phosphorous, total nitrogen, nitrite-nitrate nitrogen, ammoniacal nitrogen, and faecal coliforms) to ensure narrative objectives in Table 3.8 of the Operative Plan are met.</p> <p>Amend Wai Tai unit for Enterococci: <200 <40</p> <p>Add interim timeframes as per NPS-FM 3.11.</p>		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.206	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.181	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.917	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS40.007	CentrePort Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	CentrePort does not support the inclusion of turbidity in the coastal water objectives. Objective WH.03 indirectly supports ensuring turbidity is at acceptable levels by 2040 so that coastal areas support healthy functioning ecosystems.	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.011	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	WIAL opposes to the new parameters and is unsure, from a section 32 perspective, whether these additions are appropriate within the coastal environment and if so, if they are set at an appropriate level.	Disallow	Include a parameter for Turbidity. Wording for parameter is as follows: Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Te Whanganui-a-Tara Harbour and estuaries, Makara Estuary, Wainuiomata Estuary: <6.9; Wai Tai: No discernible change).	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.002	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The target attribute states and coastal water objectives are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states would be unhelpful and unnecessary	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.015	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S222.027	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.05: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.010	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. While Winstone support setting aspirational targets for meeting Target Attribute States, Winstone raises concern whether targets are realistically achievable. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone’s concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved (see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.208	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.183	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.919	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.006	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S222.028	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Amend		Not stated	Include the attributes from Table 3.5 which previously applied but have not been carried over - including sediment, mahinga kai, fish, and macroalgae. Amend the timeframe for achievement of states to 2030.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.011	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. While Winstone support setting aspirational targets for meeting Target Attribute States, Winstone raises concern whether targets are realistically achievable. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone's concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved (see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period (to 6 years) would require further significant land use change that is unlikely to align with community aspirations.	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.209	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.184	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.920	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS45.021	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose in part	Kāinga Ora opposes this submission to the extent that it is inconsistent with its primary submission.	Disallow in part	Include the attributes from Table 3.5 which previously applied but have not been carried over - including sediment, mahinga kai, fish, and macroalgae. Amend the timeframe for achievement of states to 2030	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.009	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.029	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Gives effect to NPS-FM.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.210	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.185	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.921	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S222.030	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.	Support		Gives effect to NPS-FM.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.211	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.186	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.922	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.031	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.012	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. While Winstone support setting aspirational targets for meeting Target Attribute States, Winstone raises concern whether targets are realistically achievable. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone's concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved (see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period (to 6 years) would require further significant land use change that is unlikely to align with community aspirations.	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.212	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.187	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.923	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.016	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S222.032	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Amend chapeau to include natural form and character.		Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS8.013	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Winstone oppose including reference to natural form and character in the objective. The restoration of natural character is not identified in the NPS-FM and is not appropriately measurable for the purpose of setting target attribute states. Restoration is also unlikely to be reasonably achievable.	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS25.062	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain wording of Objective WH.09 as notified	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.050	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain wording of Objective WH.O9 as notified	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.213	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.004	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in objective WH.O9.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.188	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.924	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS31.013	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPS-FM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPS-FM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow	Considers natural form and character a key value of rivers and streams in Te Whanganui-a-Tara area and is directed as a potential value in the NPS-FM. Considers protection of natural form and character is required to protect habitat.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.020	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The change sought is inappropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.033	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		<p>Unsure what river types are covered by each part FMU and is concerned about some of the attribute targets, namely periphyton, nitrate, DIN, and MCI. Unsure how fish community health is to be determined and how this differs to IBI. The attributes for habitat and natural form and character, groundwater and macrophyte targets are missing. Seeks interim timeframes of less than 10 years are required where long term timeframes are set out.</p>	<p>State river type and class for each of the part FMUs. Set a minimum target state for periphyton biomass for all part FMUs at NPS-FM band of 120 mg chl-a (and retain higher targets where included). Amend nitrate toxicity target to be NPS-FM 'A' band for all part FMUs. Retain DIN target states where they are set below 0.3 mg/L. Amend others to be 0.3 mg/L (median) for good rivers (type 1 and 4) 0.6 for medium rivers (type 2 and 3) and 1.0 for poor rivers (type 5 & 6). Minimum DIN target should be no higher than 1.0. Clearly define what fish community health as determined by experts actually means. Set higher targets for MCI attributes. Retain 'nuisance macrophytes', 'periphyton cover', mahinga kai, and toxicants attributes from table 3.4. Retain groundwater attributes from table 3.6. Amend table (or add another table) to include target attribute states for habitat and natural form and character using the Habitat Quality / Natural Character Index. Minimum targets should set out a target of maintenance of habitat quality / natural character (e.g., minimum ratio of current: reference condition of 0.85). Amend target timeframe to 2030 and outline date from which maintenance will be continued (as per NPS-FM 3.11). If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals.</p>		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.214	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.005	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower’s submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include target attribute states for natural form and character in table 8.4 (or any added table).	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.189	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.925	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.010	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.019	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already highly complex and aspirational. It is not clear what impact further changes may have on consenting network discharges, but WWL opposes any change that materially increases the difficulty of consenting.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.075	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Delete "Note" so wai ora state has legal effect as part of the objective. Amend 2100 to 2050 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.256	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.231	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.967	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.007	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.076	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable	Amend		Consistency with and to give effect to NPS-FM.	Include reference to natural form and character in the objective (under (a)) and refer to ecosystem health as it is more consistent with NPS-FM. Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improvement towards wai ora.						
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS26.056	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective P.O2 as notified	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.257	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.011	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in objective P.O2.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.232	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.968	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.008	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S222.077	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		To give effect to NPS-FM.	Amend 2040 to 2030 to reflect the urgency of addressing freshwater issues and the biodiversity crisis.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.258	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.233	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.969	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.			Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.003	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to		Oppose	The target attribute states and coastal water objectives are already complex and highly aspirational.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.						
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.017	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S222.078	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Recommends amending Table 9.1 to include further parameters and more stringent timeframes.	<p>Include a parameter for Turbidity. Wording for parameter is as follows: Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Te Whanganui-a-Tara Harbour and estuaries, Makara Estuary, Wainuiomata Estuary: <6.9; Wai Tai: No discernible change.</p> <p>Add further parameters (for example lead, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, secchi depth, chlorophyll-a, total phosphorous, total nitrogen, nitrite-nitrate nitrogen, ammoniacal nitrogen, and faecal coliforms) to ensure narrative objectives in Table 3.8 of the Operative Plan are met.</p> <p>Amend Wai Tai unit for Enterococci: <200 <40</p> <p>Add interim timeframes as per NPS-FM 3.11.</p>		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.259	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.234	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.970	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.011	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S222.079	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		<p>Unsure what river types are covered by each part FMU and is concerned about some of the attribute targets, namely periphyton, nitrate, DIN, and MCI. Unsure how fish community health is to be determined and how this differs to IBI. The attributes for habitat and natural form and character, groundwater and macrophyte targets are missing. Seeks interim timeframes of less than 10 years are required where long term timeframes are set out.</p>	<p>State river type and class for each of the part FMUs. Set a minimum target state for periphyton biomass for all part FMUs at NPS-FM band of 120 mg chl-a (and retain higher targets where included). Amend nitrate toxicity target to be NPS-FM 'A' band for all part FMUs. Retain DIN target states where they are set below 0.3 mg/L. Amend others to be 0.3 mg/L (median) for good rivers (type 1 and 4) 0.6 for medium rivers (type 2 and 3) and 1.0 for poor rivers (type 5 & 6). Minimum DIN target should be no higher than 1.0. Clearly define what fish community health as determined by experts actually means. Set higher targets for MCI attributes Retain 'nuisance macrophytes', 'periphyton cover', mahinga kai, and toxicants attributes from table 3.4 Retain groundwater attributes from table 3.6 Amend table (or add another table) to include target attribute states for habitat and natural form and character using the Habitat Quality / Natural Character Index. Minimum targets should set out a target of maintenance of habitat quality / natural character (e.g., minimum</p>		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									ratio of current: reference condition of 0.85). Amend target timeframe to 2030 and outline date from which maintenance will be continued (as per NPS-FM 3.11). If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals.		
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.260	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS20.012	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower’s submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include target attribute states for natural form and character in table 9.2 (or any added table).	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.235	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.971	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS39.012	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	WWL opposes any shortening of the timeframes as notified, as this will make them unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S225.040	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	Part Freshwater Management Unit	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.868	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Part Freshwater Management Unit		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.049	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	Whaitua	Support		Supports implementation of Whaitua areas within NRP to align with Whaitua implementation process.	Retain definition as notified.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.877	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Whaitua		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.059	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified acknowledging that this is an aspirational objective that would be difficult to measure.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.887	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.060	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Supports in principle but may have specific comments on policies and rules that implement this objective.	Retain as notified		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.888	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.061	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Supports in principle but may have specific comments on policies and rules that implement this objective.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.889	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.063	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Amend		Supports intent but concerned salt water intrusion may not be fully avoided. Considers salt water intrusion is occurring in many areas and all that can often be done is to manage and mitigate.	Amend to read:(a) ensure base flows or levels in surface water bodies and springs are supported and salt-water intrusion is minimised avoided, and		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.891	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.064	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Supports in principle but may have specific comments on policies and rules that implement this objective.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.892	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.065	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Supports in principle but may have specific comments on policies and rules that implement this objective.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.893	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S230.001	Mary Beth Taylor (S230)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Supports the provisions and rules that will lead to better outcomes for freshwater quantity and quality in the region. Considers these make sense, are overdue, and should be implemented swiftly and decisively.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Mary Beth Taylor	FS47.029	Meridian Energy Limited	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Support in part	Meridian is concerned that proposed PC1 has been promulgated without consultation with all providers of regionally significant infrastructure and without proper consideration of the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including Meridian’s lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms. Proposed PC1 raises potentially significant adverse operational impacts for regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation activities, that conflict with the National Policy Statements for Renewable Electricity Generation and Electricity Generation. Proposed PC1 also overrides or upends, without reasonable cause, provisions in the operative NRP for regionally significant infrastructure that were settled by agreement (including the agreement of GWRC) only recently through mediation of appeals on the NRP. Meridian considers that the particular issues of conflict raised in the following submission are capable of resolution by providing appropriate exclusions or exemptions for regionally significant infrastructure (and particularly for lawfully established existing regionally significant infrastructure). These exclusion or exemption provisions are necessary in order for the region’s urban and rural communities to function effectively and efficiently, and to enable achievement of the nation’s objectives relating to adaptation to climate change. These objectives include enabling increased electricity generation from renewable sources;	Allow in part	Allow the submission points and withdraw PC1 or, as alternative relief, make the amendments to PC1 detailed in the following further submission points (or such further or other relief as will achieve the outcome sought by the submission points).	No recommendation
S230.002	Mary Beth Taylor (S230)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Amend		Considers GW should push for a better and more clear definition for 'Peatlands' under the RMA to work toward their protection and restoration. Considers the RAMSAR Convention	Not stated		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								should ideally be applied to the Mangaroa Peatland.			
S233.001	Calum Bradbury (S233)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Akatarawa River iii. Whakatikei River iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay vi. Otaki River. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to submitter.	Requests the outstanding value of the Hutt Gorge section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part
S233.002	Calum Bradbury (S233)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned about increased amounts of sediment coming from the Pākuratahi River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not stated		No recommendation
S233.004	Calum Bradbury (S233)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Supports targets in the water quality target tables.	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve water quality targets.		Accept in part
S233.005	Calum Bradbury (S233)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S233.006	Calum Bradbury (S233)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	<p>Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking / packrafting / rafting values in the Whaitua are recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values).</p> <p>More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character, and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection.</p> <p>Targets for natural character that are similar to the sorts of targets set for water quality and seeks objectives and policies that support these.</p> <p>More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without worrying about compromising health if contact is made with the water.</p> <p>Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.</p>		Accept in part
S234.004	David and Pauline Innes (S234)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		The submitter seeks clarity about whether the stream at the rear of their property is listed in the schedule and what wildlife has been identified for this stream. Notes the stream is subject to multiple water control features associated with urban development. Requests that GWRC note that there is no natural passage for fish on the stream.	Not stated		No recommendation
S234.005	David and Pauline Innes (S234)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Objects to the description of Wainuiomata-iti Stream (Wainuiomata Stream). Considers the waterway needs to be described by a proper survey of the river. Notes the waterway appears to be affected by bacterial life and eels are the only species that appear to survive.	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S234.008	David and Pauline Innes (S234)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Seeks an urgent investigation of the extent of the wetland at the end of the Moores Valley Road. Notes they understand extensive areas of Lot 60 DP 354855 is wetland.	Not stated		No recommendation
S235.001	Shonaugh Wright (S235)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Akatarawa River iii. Whakatikei River iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay vi. Otaki River. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to submitter.	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part
S235.002	Shonaugh Wright (S235)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns that river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not stated		No recommendation
S235.004	Shonaugh Wright (S235)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S235.005	Shonaugh Wright (S235)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Suggests the outstanding kayaking values in the Whaitua recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values). Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking/packrafting/rafting values in the Whaitua, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values) More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection Targets for natural character that are similar to the targets set for water quality and objectives and policies to support these More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without compromising health if contact is made with the water Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.		Accept in part
S235.006	Shonaugh Wright (S235)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Supports targets in the water quality target tables	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve these targets.		Accept in part
S238.010	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Amend		Seeks clarification of the intent of this objective	Amend objective as follows: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained at levels that: (a) ensure base flows or levels in surface water bodies and springs are supported, (b) and salt-water intrusion into the aquifer is avoided and there is no landward movement of the salt water/freshwater interface, and... Renumber remaining clauses.		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.313	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S240.003	Porirua City Council (S240)			General commen ts	General comments - target attribute states	Oppose		Opposes the 2040 <i>E. coli</i> target of PC1 and concerned this will affect the consenting of stormwater and wastewater discharge consents. Considers that some catchments will require a 90% reduction which is impossible in the short timeframe. Concerned the rates increase of 12-14% per year for network upgrades to meet the 2040 target, on top of BAU rates, is not tenable. Considers that whilst the 2060 target of 6-7% is more achievable provided other funding avenues are explored, including growth charging and debt funding. Also notes significant central government funding will be required. Considers the numbers do not take into account debt affordability and availability with Local Government Funding Agency Covenants.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.100	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General commen ts	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.012	Porirua City Council (S240)			2 Interpre tation	Limit	Amend		Referring to the source document of the definition would be more consistent with other definitions below e.g. 'Nationally threatened freshwater species'.	Amend definition as follows: Has the same meaning as given in section 1.4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020: A limit on resource use or a take limit.		Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS9.109	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpre tation	Limit		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S240.024	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports 100 year vision towards full restoration of Te Awarua-o-Porirua waterways. Considers it unclear if the text from "Note In the wai ora state..." forms part of the objective or it is some form of explanatory/advisory note. If it does form part of the objective, seeks the deletion of the word "note". Considers it is not possible for waters to be in a natural state without the full restoration of the catchment to a pre-human state which is not the intention of this Plan Change, suggest that a qualifier is needed that waters are restored where possible.	Amend objective as follows: Objective P.O1 The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Te Awarua-o-Porirua is a taonga of Ngāti Toa Rangatira and must be respected by others • Mauri is restored, and waters restored to are in a natural state where possible • Ecological health is excellent in freshwater and coastal water environments • Rivers flow naturally, with ripples and the river beds are stony • Mahinga kai, taonga, mahinga ika and kaimoana species are healthy, abundant, diverse, present across all stages of life, sizeable, and able to be culturally harvested by mana whenua • Mahinga kai, taonga, mahinga ika and kai moana species are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for mana whenua to exercise manaakitanga • Mana whenua and communities are able to undertake a full range of activities • Mana whenua are able to undertake cultural activities and practices 		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.121	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Porirua City Council	FS28.110	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Changes propose include clarity and implementation of objective.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS47.310	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees the policy should acknowledge lawfully established existing infrastructure and require restoration to the extent that is practicable.	Allow in part	Allow S240.024 by inserting the words 'Mauri is restored, and waters restored to are in a natural state where practicable possible.'	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S240.025	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Support in principle setting a trajectory of measurable improvement towards restoration of Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s waterways. Notes that P.O2 does not link to a table of target attribute states and it is not clear what locations and what specific state is required to meet these. WH.O8 for example sets out specific <i>E. coli</i> states for primary contact sites, but it is unclear what <i>E. coli</i> states need to be achieved to meet primary contact outcomes WH.O2 (f) and (g) in areas outside these specific sites. Notes there are significant challenges in costs to upgrade the wastewater network to achieve this objective in terms of a reduction in <i>E. coli</i> by 2040 to achieve Criteria P.O2 (f) and (g).	Amend objective to link to specify target attribute states and locations for outcomes being sought, and amend the objective as follows: Objective P.O2 Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora, such that by 2040:(a) water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes are at a level where the state of aquatic life is meaningfully improved, and(b) erosion processes, including bank stability, are improved to significantly reduce the sedimentation rate in the harbour to a more natural level, and(c) the extent and condition of indigenous riparian vegetation is increased and improved, and(d) the diversity, abundance and condition of mahinga kai are increased so that mana whenua are able to harvest healthy mahinga kai for their people, and(e) huanga of mahinga kai and Māori customary use for locations identified in Schedule B (Ngā Taonga Nui a Kiwa) are maintained or improved, and by 2060:(f) mana whenua are able to safely connect with freshwater and are able to practice their customary and cultural practices, including mahinga kai gathering, and(g) mana whenua and communities can safely connect with waterbodies and enjoy a wider range of activities, including swimming, paddling and food gathering, and(...)		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.122	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					measurable improvement towards wai ora.						
	Porirua City Council	FS39.131	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Porirua City Council’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S240.026	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Support in principle setting a trajectory of measurable improvement towards restoration of Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s coastal water quality. Notes there are significant challenges in costs to upgrade the wastewater network to achieve this objective in terms of a reduction in <i>E. coli</i> by 2040 to achieve Criteria P.O3 (g) and (h).	Amend objective as follows: Objective P.O3 The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1, and by 2040: (a) sediment and metal loads entering the harbour arm catchments either via freshwater bodies or directly are significantly reduced, and (b) high contaminant concentrations, including around discharge points, are reduced, and (c) the diversity, abundance and condition of mahinga kai has increased so that mana whenua access to healthy mahinga kai has increased, and (d) huanga of mahinga kai and Māori customary use for locations identified in Schedule B (Ngā Taonga Nui a Kiwa) are maintained or improved, and (e) the extent and condition of estuarine seagrass, saltmarsh and brackish water submerged macrophytes are increased and improved to support abundant and diverse biota, and (f) coastal areas support healthy functioning ecosystems, and their water		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									conditions and habitats support the presence, abundance, survival, and recovery of taonga species and At-risk and Threatened species, and by 2060:(g) mana whenua are able to safely connect with and access the coastal marine area and practice their customary and cultural tikanga, and(h) mana whenua and communities can safely connect with the coastal marine area and enjoy a wider range of activities, including food gathering, swimming and paddling.		
	Porirua City Council	FS9.123	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS39.132	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Porirua City Council’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					objectives set out in Table 9.1.						
S240.027	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerned about the 12-14% increased cost per year to ratepayers to meet the 2040 <i>E. coli</i> limit (as stated in the s32 report) on top of BAU rates increases of between 10-30% and the affordability of this for ratepayers. Considers the 2060 target of 6-7% is more achievable provided other funding avenues are explored, including growth charging and debt funding. Notes significant central government funding will also be required. Considers the numbers do not take into account debt affordability and availability with Local Government Funding Agency Covenants. Considers that repairing the public network would only reduce a proportion of the contaminant load and there are known issues with private laterals that make up half the network by length and a significant portion of untreated discharges to land and water. Notes costs that would fall on landowners to upgrade pipes within the private network are not figured into the s32 Evaluation, and these investments would be substantial to meet the 2040 target. Considers laterals on private property are the responsibility of the landowner, and they must bear the costs to fix them when faulty rather than the ratepayer. Concerned about the practical administrative issues of Council undertaking the work, or funding it upfront with cost recovery. Considers costs to address these issues could be between \$10,000 to \$20,000 per property or more with Wellington Water's high level indicative estimates between \$250 – 350 million. Considers the impact of the above funding requirements on housing and business development capacity is not sufficiently explored in the s32 Evaluation.	Amend the timeframe for target states for <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci coastal water objectives to 2060.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.124	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				Whaitu a							
	Porirua City Council	FS36.014	Wellington City Council	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS39.133	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Porirua City Council's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S240.029	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Supports in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.126	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.030	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Supports in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.127	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S240.031	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Concerned about the 12-14% increased cost per year to ratepayers to meet the 2040 <i>E. coli</i> limit (as stated in the s32 report) on top of BAU rates increases of between 10-30% and the affordability of this for ratepayers. Considers the 2060 target of 6-7% is more achievable provided other funding avenues are explored, including growth charging and debt funding. Notes significant central government funding will also be required. Considers the numbers do not take into account debt affordability and availability with Local Government Funding Agency Covenants. Considers that repairing the public network would only reduce a proportion of the contaminant load and there are known issues with private laterals that make up half the network by length and a significant portion of untreated discharges to land and water. Notes costs that would fall on landowners to upgrade pipes within the private network are not figured into the s32 Evaluation, and these investments would be substantial to meet the 2040 target. Considers laterals on private property are the responsibility of the landowner, and they must bear the costs to fix them when faulty rather than the ratepayer. Concerned about the practical administrative issues of Council undertaking the work, or funding it upfront with cost recovery. Considers costs to address these issues could be between \$10,000 to \$20,000 per property or more with Wellington Water's high level indicative estimates between \$250 – 350 million. Considers the impact of the above funding requirements on housing and business development capacity is not sufficiently explored in the s32 Evaluation.	Amend the timeframe for target states for <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci coastal water objectives to 2060.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.128	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Porirua City Council	FS36.015	Wellington City Council	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council’s position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS39.134	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of Porirua City Council’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S241.020	Pukerua Property Group Ltd (S241)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers using stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use is not consistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Withdraw PC1. If PC1 not withdrawn, delete objectives and policies using stormwater controls to manage or prevent land use.If objective and policies are not deleted, they should be amended to remove avoidance principles and replaced with objectives and policies with same effect/guidance as remainder of PC1 before notification with perhaps some policy relief for activities that require consent under operative provisions (in force before PC1).		Accept in part
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.021	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML also considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti which will also allow time to incorporate any review on national planning documents as indicated will occur by the present government coalition.	Allow in part	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community; landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.043	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	LML considers that the appropriateness of not providing for existing consent holders has not been considered.	Allow in part	Provide for existing developments which have a consent in place prior to PC1 having legal effect.	Accept in part
S241.021	Pukerua Property Group Ltd (S241)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers using stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use is not consistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Withdraw PC1. If PC1 not withdrawn, delete objectives and policies using stormwater controls to manage or prevent land use. If objective and policies are not deleted, they should be amended to remove avoidance principles and replaced with objectives and policies with same effect/guidance as remainder of PC1 before notification with perhaps some policy relief for activities that require consent under operative provisions (in force before PC1).		Reject
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.022	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support in part	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML also considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti which will also allow time to incorporate any review on national planning documents as indicated will occur by the present government coalition.	Allow in part	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community; landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.044	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support in part	LML considers that the appropriateness of not providing for existing consent holders has not been considered.	Allow in part	Provide for existing developments which have a consent in place prior to PC1 having legal effect.	Reject
S241.022	Pukerua Property Group Ltd (S241)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Considers using stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use is not consistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Withdraw PC1. If PC1 not withdrawn, delete objectives and policies using stormwater controls to manage or prevent land use. If objective and policies are not deleted, they should be amended to remove avoidance principles and replaced with objectives and policies with same effect/guidance as remainder of PC1 before notification with perhaps some policy relief for activities that require consent under operative provisions (in force before PC1).		Reject
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.023	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support in part	LML considers that there has been a failure to carry out an evaluation to the level necessary to determine if proposed change 1 is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act and National Policy Statement – Freshwater Water as well as achieving the outcomes of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. LML also considers that PC1 be delayed until all Whaitua processes have been completed – including the Whaitua Kāpiti which will also allow time to incorporate any review on national planning documents as indicated will occur by the present government coalition.	Allow in part	LML supports withdrawal of PC1 to enable genuine consultation to occur, including with: the development community; landowners of greenfield landowners whereby the land has been identified as suitable for future urban use but not necessarily zoned future urban or urban; and communities who have yet to have freshwater management units.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Pukerua Property Group Ltd	FS13.045	Land Matters Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support in part	LML considers that the appropriateness of not providing for existing consent holders has not been considered.	Allow in part	Provide for existing developments which have a consent in place prior to PC1 having legal effect.	Reject
S243.008	Land Matters Limited (S243)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers use of stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use inconsistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Seeks objectives and policies be deleted or amended to provide opportunities for development within Porirua Whaitua.If not deleted, requests objectives and policies be amended to remove avoidance principles and be replaced with objectives and policies of same effect/guidance as NRP before notification with some policy relief for activities that require consent under the operative provisions.		Accept in part
S243.009	Land Matters Limited (S243)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers use of stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use inconsistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Seeks objectives and policies be deleted or amended to provide opportunities for development within Porirua Whaitua. If not deleted, requests objectives and policies be amended to remove avoidance principles and be replaced with objectives and policies of same effect/guidance as NRP before notification with some policy relief for activities that require consent under the operative provisions.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S243.010	Land Matters Limited (S243)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitua	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Considers use of stormwater control to effectively manage or prevent land use inconsistent with integrated management principles contained in RMA.	Seeks objectives and policies be deleted or amended to provide opportunities for development within Porirua Whaitua. If not deleted, requests objectives and policies be amended to remove avoidance principles and be replaced with objectives and policies of same effect/guidance as NRP before notification with some policy relief for activities that require consent under the operative provisions.		Reject
S244.001	Andrew Esler (S244)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		"Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Whakatikei River iii. Te Whanganui-a-Tara / Wellington Harbour iv. Porirua Harbour v. Titahi Bay vi. Lyall Bay. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to submitter."	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part
S244.002	Andrew Esler (S244)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Concerned about amounts of sediment in the Hutt River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns that river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								slash and logs get caught on structures.			
S244.004	Andrew Esler (S244)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept
S244.005	Andrew Esler (S244)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Suggests the outstanding kayaking values in the Whaitua recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values). Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking/packrafting/rafting values in the Whaitua, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values) More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection. Targets for natural character that are similar to the targets set for water quality and objectives and policies to support these. More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without compromising health if contact is made with the water. Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.		Accept in part
S244.006	Andrew Esler (S244)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Supports targets in the water quality target tables	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve these targets.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S245.001	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers provision gives effect to Part II of the RMA and the NPS Freshwater Objectives and Policies, including Te Mana o te Wai. Questions the achievability of having planted margins in all waterbodies.	Amend bullet point two from: “All freshwater bodies have planted margins” to “All freshwater bodies have vegetated margins where practicable.”		Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS1.028	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	This objective will be more achievable with the submitter’s amendment.	Allow	Allow, amend bullet point two from: “All freshwater bodies have planted margins” to “All freshwater bodies have vegetated margins where practicable.”	Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS8.040	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Winstone support the amendment to the objective. This aligns with relief sought by Winstone in Original Submission Point S206.032.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and Objective WH.O1 is amended to replace “all freshwater bodies have planted margins” to “all freshwater bodies have vegetated margins where practicable”.	Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.463	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS28.020	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Share concern regarding practicability of achieving WH.O1	Allow	Not stated	Accept
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS47.158	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Meridian agrees that there will be situations where planted margins are not achievable (for example, due to the presence of lawfully established infrastructure);	Meridian agrees that there will be situations where planted margins are not achievable (for example, due to the presence of lawfully established infrastructure);	Allow S245.001 and clarify whether the note to Objective WH.O1 is intended as an advisory note or as part of the objective.	Accept
S246.002	Water New Zealand (S246)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Amend		Notes the NPS-FM requires regional plans and policy statements to embed Te Mana o te Wai into decision making and considers requiring local kaupapa Māori in decision-making structures will ensure that Te Mana o te Wai, the spiritual wellbeing and whakapapa of Te Hurihanga Wai (the water cycle) is prioritised, respected, protected and enhanced.	Te Mana o te Wai hierarchy of obligations are made clearer in the definitions and objectives, including prioritising mana whenua, their whakapapa and tikanga, in freshwater management and decision making. PC1 instils an integrated catchment approach that recognises the interconnected nature of a catchment, the receiving environments and Te Hurihanga Wai, and that includes wastewater, stormwater and drinking water supply, rather than individual plans or strategies for each network. PC1 states clearly that Te Mana o te Wai guides all policy, plans and consents that impact on three waters, from the earliest stage of consideration and before options are presented to regional council, planning committee or consulted on with communities.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Water New Zealand	FS39.225	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Oppose	Integration of strategies across all water services at the level of detail required for RMA processes is unachievable. This is a good idea, but not within the RMA arena.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S248.008	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Amendments to long-term vision objectives for both whitua, to recognise that restoration of natural character may not be possible in relation to regionally significant infrastructure.	Amend long-term vision objectives for both Whitua to recognise that restoration of natural character may not be possible in relation to regionally significant infrastructure.		Accept in part
S248.080	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			12 Schedules	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Amend		Considers GIS mapping of riverine habitats described in Map 77 and Schedule F1 does not appear to accurately align with actual river extents. Plan users will rely on the mapping of scheduled riverine habitats to interpret spatial application of Schedule F1.	Amend GIS mapping of riverine environments described in Map 77 to accurately reflect the habitat extents covered by Schedule F1.		No recommendation
S249.001	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Strongly supports the water and indigenous biodiversity elements of PC1 .	Not stated		No recommendation
S249.002	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Strongly supports the objectives for all the catchments in Te Upoko o te Ika.	Suggests that where the phrase "on a trajectory of measurable improvement" is used, the definition of "measurable" includes something statistically significant or an appropriate magnitude measure.		Accept in part
S249.003	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Strongly supports the Target Attribute States proposed for all catchments except for Te Awarua-o-Porirua in which the submitter would like strengthened.	Strengthen target attribute states for Te Awarua-o-Porirua		Accept in part
S249.004	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Considers Te Mana o te Wai to be a vital overarching and underpinning concept for how we think about and deal with water.	Make the hierarchy of obligations in Te Mana o te Wai clearer in the definitions and objectives, including prioritising mana whenua and their whakapapa and tikanga in decision-making for water. Make clearer that Te Mana o te Wai guides all policy, plans and consents that impact on three waters, from the earliest stage of consideration and before options are presented to regional council, Planning Committee or consulted on with communities.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S249.017	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers the term maintain is used to frequently versus improve. Suggests the term improve if used may be able to drive more strongly a higher-performing urban form in any greenfield development.	Not stated		Reject
S250.005	John and Jacqueline Diggins (S250)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Notes information regarding the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchment. Considers the headwaters of the catchments are 20km from the monitoring points. Cites a GWRC Zoom Q&A session. Considers GWRC is assuming that all sediment in rivers is the result of human activity. Suggests there is a strong probability that human activity can contribute to the sediment load but it is important to consider that a proportion arises from natural erosion processes. Considers it vital that GWRC has a complete picture of all factors within the catchments, both natural and man-made rather than assuming all sediment is a result of human activity.	Put PC1 on hold until GWRC has established at least 3 monitoring points and has a significant data base to identify source of water quality reduction within the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments.		Accept in part
S253.001	John Western (S253)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. Akatarawa River iii. Whakatikei River iv. Titahi Bay v. Lyall Bay vi. Otaki River. Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to submitter.	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S253.002	John Western (S253)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned about increased amounts of sediment in the Hutt River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns that river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not Stated		No recommendation
S253.004	John Western (S253)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Supports the concept of Te Mana o te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept
S253.005	John Western (S253)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking / packrafting / rafting values in the Whaitua are recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values). More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character, and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection. Targets for natural character that are similar to the sorts of targets set for water quality and seeks objectives and policies that support these. More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without worrying about compromising health if contact is made with the water. Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.		Accept in part
S253.006	John Western (S253)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Supports targets in the water quality target tables.	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve these targets.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S255.103	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.104	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.105	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.106	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.108	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.109	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.110	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S255.111	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S257.010	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Not Stated		Mostly supportive of the proposed objectives but notes that some objectives would be difficult to achieve. Seeks outcomes across PC1 to ensure the Plan Change does not extend beyond that necessary to implement the NPS-FM, noting the considerable additional regulatory burden such a framework imposes upon a range of stakeholders. Considers this appropriate to ensure PC1 does not unnecessarily fetter the ability to deliver development outcomes, noting the national significance of enabling urban development. In terms of timelines for achievement of the Target Attribute States provided within the provisions at WH.O2, WH.O3, Table 8.1, WH.O8, Table 8.4, and WH.P4, seeks an extended timeframe from the 2040 currently prescribed to a more realistic timeframe to consider the costs and feasibility of achieving the TAS.	Consequential changes sought where relevant to reconcile outcomes to changes sought in specific rules. Amendments to align with and not go beyond what is required under the NPS-FM. Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS28.097	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Provides consistency with national planning direction.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS48.006	Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively		Support	Agree that the implementation of the NPS-FM should not adversely affect the ability to undertake urban development any more than necessary.	Allow	Consequential changes sought where relevant to reconcile outcomes to changes sought in specific rules. Amendments to align with and not go beyond what is required under the NPS-FM. Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved and is wai ora by 2100.					consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes.	
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS47.159	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees that some of the outcomes detailed in the note to the objective will be difficult to achieve;	Allow in part	Allow S257.010 in part by inserting ‘where practicable’ into the first two bullet points and clarifying whether the note to Objective WH.O1 is intended to be an advisory note or part of the objective.	Reject
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS31.006	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	WIAL supports alignment with the NPS-FM and in doing so, considers it is appropriate to ensure PC1 recognises that specified infrastructure and other forms of development are provided a specific policy and consenting pathway that also needs to be reflected in the plan change.	Allow in part	Mostly supportive of the proposed objectives but notes that some objectives would be difficult to achieve. Seeks outcomes across PC1 to ensure the Plan Change does not extend beyond that necessary to implement the NPS-FM, noting the considerable additional regulatory burden	Reject
S257.039	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Mostly supportive of the proposed objectives but notes that some objectives would be difficult to achieve. Seeks outcomes across PC1 to ensure the Plan Change does not extend beyond that necessary to implement the NPS-FM, noting the considerable additional regulatory burden such a framework imposes upon a range of stakeholders. Considers this appropriate to ensure PC1 does not unnecessarily fetter the ability to deliver development outcomes, noting the national significance of enabling urban development. In terms of timelines for achievement of the Target Attribute States provided within the provisions at WH.O2, WH.O3, Table 8.1, WH.O8, Table 8.4, and WH.P4, seeks an extended timeframe from the 2040 currently prescribed to a more realistic	Consequential changes sought where relevant to reconcile outcomes to changes sought in specific rules. Amendments to align with and not go beyond what is required under the NPS-FM. Amend to reflect an extended timeline for the achievement of TAS which takes into consideration the feasibility and cost of achieving the prescribed timeframes. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								timeframe to consider the costs and feasibility of achieving the TAS.			
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS28.098	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Provides consistency with national planning direction.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS47.311	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support in part	Meridian agrees that some of the outcomes detailed in the note to the objective will be difficult to achieve;	Allow in part	Allow S257.039 in part by amending as requested for S177.044 and S240.024.	Reject
S26.001	Christine Stanley (S26)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Supports the intent of improving water quality and ecological health objectives within Te Awarua-o-Porirua harbour	Not stated		No recommendation
S26.012	Christine Stanley (S26)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Not stated	Amend Objective P.O1 to include 'Mauri is restored and waters restored to a natural state where possible'		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S26.013	Christine Stanley (S26)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Concerns with costs of upgrading wastewater network to achieve objective	Amend P.O3 (f) and table 9.1 to include a timeframe of 'by 2060'		Accept in part
S26.014	Christine Stanley (S26)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Concerns with costs of upgrading wastewater network to achieve objective	Amend P.O3 (f) and table 9.1 to include a timeframe of 'by 2060'		Accept in part
S261.002	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Considers Target Attribute State targets too high in some part FMUs and seeks they are lowered. Notes the numeric target for the Taupo part FMU appears to be above the modelled baseline and considers this impermissible as targets must be at or below the baseline. Considers Target Attribute States should be introduced for “habitat” and “natural form and character” which activities must seek to achieve, and which should be included as targets for Habitat Quality Index / Natural Character Index scores, and/or physical properties to achieve for the river, and that this would be consistent with Policy 30. Considers activities should then be required to undertake activities which maintains habitat quality in accordance with the target attribute states.	Include Target Attribute States for ‘habitat’ and ‘natural form and character’ and include as targets for Habitat Quality Index / Natural Character Index scores, and/or physical properties to achieve for the river.		No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS25.029	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose in part	The need for the additional TAS relating to 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain Target Attribute States as per notified PC1	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
			and the Goodwin Estate Trust.								
	Forest & Bird	FS26.013	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose in part	The need for the additional TAS relating to 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow in part	Retain Target Attribute States as per notified PC1	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.329	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS20.018	Transpower New Zealand Limited	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objectives WH.O1 and P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include target attribute states for natural form and charact	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.621	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.032	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.049	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
S261.003	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Notes the NPS-FM require target states to be set at intervals of no longer than 10 years, and therefore interim target states need to be set out in the plan, or a shorter timeframe is set of no later than 2033. Considers targets must reflect the state that achieves the long-term vision.	Provide interim timeframes for target attribute states		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.330	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.622	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.050	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.004	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Considers it unclear the identified values for each FMU, and that they should be identified, with at least one environmental outcome for each value. Notes the environmental outcomes objectives appear to be combined, but it is not clear which outcome relates to which value.	Identify the values for each FMU and provide at least one environmental outcome for each value		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS1.007	Horticulture New Zealand	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support in part	Values should be clearly identified for each FMU.	Allow in part	Identify the values for each FMU, including a value for Irrigation, cultivation, and production of food and beverages as required by the NPS-FM 2020.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.331	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.623	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.006	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Seeks Te Mana o te Wai is effected in the plan, to protect ecosystem health, community health, and the health of people.	Give effect to Te Mana o te Wai throughout the plan		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.333	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS24.111	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Support	We support Forest and Bird’s submission on ensuring Te Mana o te Wai given effect to, as signalled in the recent RPS Change 1 and to give effect to the NPS-FM.	Allow	Allow the amendment.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.625	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.015	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes	Amend		Considers additional objectives are required to meet NPS-FM requirements. Seeks objectives WH.O6, WH.O7, WH.O8, P.O5 and P.O6 are included within the definition, which relate to groundwater levels and integrity and the compulsory value of contact recreation. Considers further objectives may be necessary.	Include reference to objectives WH.O6, WH.O7 and WH.O8 and P.O5 and P.O6, and any others required to meet NPS-FM requirements. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.342	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.634	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS28.065	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes		Oppose	Relief lacks specificity to assess impact.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.027	Forest & Bird (S261)			3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.	Amend		Considers water quality parameters in Table 3.4 remain relevant to the Whaitua, which have not been carried over to the new target tables.	Carry parameters through to new tables for the whaitua. Refer relief sought for table 3.4 and the new table on water quality in the new Whaitua chapters. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.354	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.646	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in fresh water bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.051	Wellington Water Ltd	3 Objectives	Objective O19: Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai in freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area are safeguarded.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.028	Forest & Bird (S261)			3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.	Oppose		Considers water quality parameters in Table 3.4 remain relevant to the Whaitua, which have not been carried over to the new target tables.	Retain the application of nuisance macrophytes, periphyton cover, toxicants, and mahinga kai targets to the new whaitua chapters. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.355	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.647	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.052	Wellington Water Ltd	3 Objectives	Table 3.4 Rivers and Streams.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.029	Forest & Bird (S261)			3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Oppose	Considers the table remains relevant to the Whaitua and that no replacement targets are provided.	Retain the application of table 3.6 to the Whaitua. Amend to include nitrate-nitrogen target of < 1.0 mg/L. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.356	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.648	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.053	Wellington Water Ltd	3 Objectives	Table 3.6 Groundwater.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.030	Forest & Bird (S261)			3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.	Amend		Considers the table remains relevant to the Whaitua and that no replacement targets are provided. Seeks amendment to provide clearer target states using the wetland condition index.	Retain the application of table 3.7 to the Whaitua. Add the wetland condition index as a measure of wetland ecosystem health and set a target of 10. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.357	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.649	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.054	Wellington Water Ltd	3 Objectives	Table 3.7 Natural wetlands.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.031	Forest & Bird (S261)			3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.	Amend		Considers key Table 3.8 parameters remain relevant to the Whaitua and have not been carried over to the new target tables. Seeks these are retained to give effect to the NPS-FM and NZCPS.	Retain the application of attributes which are in this table but not in the new table 8.1 and 9.1 (or carry them through to the new tables). Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.358	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.650	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.055	Wellington Water Ltd	3 Objectives	Table 3.8 Coastal waters.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.049	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers the explanation of the wai ora state should form part of the objective, rather than being a note which can be argued to have no legal effect. Considers 2100 too far away to achieve wai ora, highlighting biodiversity loss and climate change as current threats. Suggests that different target timeframes could be provided for part FMUs, per the level of degradation in each catchment. Notes some places may already be in a wai ora state.	Amend as follows: The health of all freshwater bodies, ephemeral watercourses, and the coastal marine area... Delete the word "note". Retain the balance of the objective. Amend the target timeframe to be 2050, or to provide part-FMU / catchment specific timeframes that account for the relative ease/difficulty of achieving targets in different catchments. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS8.019	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Winstone oppose including reference to 'ephemeral watercourses'. The definition of 'ephemeral watercourse' in the NRP is very broad and would include gullies and indents in landscapes, all of which are not mapped or easily defined, making enforceability problematic. Winstone also questions how the health and wellbeing of ephemeral waterbodies will be measured due to their nature. Winstone note that ephemeral waterbodies from part of the wider river system and therefore their general function would already be anticipated in the current direction. Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2050. Winstone support the existing timeframe of 76 years and notes that any reduction (including the Submitters proposed 26 years) will limit the ability to achieve the outcomes sought.	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS25.030	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O1 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS26.014	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O1 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.376	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.019	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in objective WH.O1 on the basis that ephemeral watercourses are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that ephemeral watercourses only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to ephemeral watercourses in objective WH.O1.	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the scope and spatial application of the objective.			
	Forest & Bird	FS27.668	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS47.160	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	The shortened time frame is not practicably achievable. If the objective is to specify what constitutes 'wai ora' the wording needs to be refined to reflect practicability particularly where existing infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure, means it is not practicable to plant river margins or the completely restore natural character;	Disallow	Disallow S261.049.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.070	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	The wai ora timeframe of 2050 is unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.050	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers 2040 too far away to achieve "maintained" water quality, noting the intent of the Essential Freshwater program to halt freshwater degradation and "start making immediate improvements so water quality improves within five years". Notes biodiversity loss and climate change as current threats. Seeks reference to ephemeral watercourses, as they can support high ecological values.	Amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers, ephemeral watercourses, and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora, such that by 2030 2040: Include reference to natural form and character in clause (a) and refer to ecosystem health i.e.: "(a) water quality, habitats, natural form and character... are at a level where the state of aquatic life ecosystem health is meaningfully improved..." Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS8.020	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Winstone oppose including reference to 'ephemeral watercourses'. The definition of 'ephemeral watercourse' in the NRP is very broad and would include gullies and indents in landscapes, all of which are not mapped or easily defined. Winstone also questions the practicality of assessing target attribute states in systems that are temporary and changeable. Winstone note that ephemeral waterbodies from part of the wider river system and therefore their general function would already be anticipated in the current direction. Winstone oppose altering the timeframe to 2030. Winstone note that the improvements required through Plan Change 1 already require a significant land use change over a short time period (16 years). Notwithstanding Winstone's concerns over whether the current targets can be realistically achieved (see Submission Point S206.034), reducing the time period (to 6 years) would require further significant land use change that is unlikely to align with community aspirations. Winstone oppose including reference to natural form and character. The restoration of natural character is not identified in the NPS-FM and is not appropriately	Disallow	Winstone seek that relief sought is not allowed.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								measurable for the purpose of setting target attribute states. Restoration is also unlikely to be reasonably achievable.			
	Forest & Bird	FS25.031	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O2 as notified, subject to the amendment sought by GTC in their original submission	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS26.015	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective WH.O2 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.377	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS20.020	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in objective WH.O2 on the basis that ephemeral watercourses are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that ephemeral watercourses only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into the scope and spatial application of the objective. With respect to natural form and character, refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to ephemeral watercourses in objective WH.O2. Transpower also opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in clause (a) of objective WH.O2.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.669	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS28.068	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	The RMA defines "river" as being continually or intermittently flowing body of freshwater The NPS-FW and NES-FW include provisions for the management of permanent and intermittent rivers and streams. These provisions do not extend to ephemeral streams.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.021	Wellington Water Ltd	8	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.038	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.051	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Oppose		Considers timeframe too far away to ensure coastal values in Te Whanganui-a-Tara are not compromised.	Amend timeframe for achievement to 2030. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.378	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.670	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality,		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.			<p>area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS36.058	Wellington City Council	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Inconsistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS40.001	CentrePort Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	CentrePort supports the maintenance and improvement of coastal water quality to ensure the objectives set out in Table 8.1 are met but considers that the timeframe should be to 2040 rather than 2030 as suggested by Forest & Bird. This is to recognise the investment needed in some circumstances to ensure these parameters are maintained or improved.	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS39.057	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.052	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Considers key coastal water quality parameters are missing. Considers more stringent timeframes are required.	<p>Include a parameter for Turbidity: (Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Te Whanganui-a-Tara Harbour and estuaries, Makara Estuary, Wainuiomata Estuary: <6.9; Wai Tai: No discernible change)</p> <p>Add further parameters (for example lead, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, secchi depth, chlorophyll-a, total phosphorous, total nitrogen, nitrite-nitrate nitrogen, ammoniacal nitrogen, and faecal coliforms) to ensure narrative objectives in Table 3.8 of the Operative Plan are met.</p> <p>Amend Wai Tai unit for Enterococci: <200 <40</p> <p>Add interim timeframes as per NPS-FM 3.11.</p> <p>Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.</p>		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.379	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.671	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS40.002	CentrePort Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	CentrePort does not support the inclusion of turbidity in the coastal water objectives. Objective WH.O3 indirectly supports ensuring turbidity is at acceptable levels by 2040 so that coastal areas support healthy functioning ecosystems.	Disallow	Whole submission point	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS31.012	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	WIAL opposes to the new parameters and is unsure, from a section 32 perspective, whether these additions are appropriate within the coastal environment and if so, if they are set at an appropriate level.	Disallow	Include a parameter for Turbidity. Wording for parameter is as follows: Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
										Harbour and estuaries, Makara Estuary, Wainuiomata Estuary: <6.9; Wai Tai: No discernible change).	
	Forest & Bird	FS39.058	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.054	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers timeframe too far away to ensure coastal values are not compromised.	Amend timeframe for achievement to 2030. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.381	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.673	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.059	Wellington Water Ltd	8	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	<p>The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.</p>	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.055	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Oppose		Notes Table 8.2 does not carry through measures of lake ecosystem health from Table 3.5 of the NRP. Considers interim states should be set out for 2033 at the latest, in accordance with the NPS-FM.	Amend Table 8.2 to include the attributes from Table 3.5 which previously applied but have not been carried over. Amend the timeframe for achievement of states to 2030, and/or set out interim target states if the timeframe remains 2040. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.382	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.674	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.060	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.056	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Supports giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain as proposed		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.383	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.675	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.06: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
S261.057	Forest & Bird (S261)			8	Objective WH.07: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.	Support		Supports giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain as proposed		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.384	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.676	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.058	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Amend		Considers 2040 too far away to achieve "at least maintained" water quality, noting the intent of the Essential Freshwater program to halt freshwater degradation and "start making immediate improvements so water quality improves within five years".	Shorten timeframe to 2030 Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.385	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.677	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākūratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.061	Wellington Water Ltd	8	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.059	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Not Stated		Considers interim states should be set out for 2033 at the latest, in accordance with the NPS-FM.	Set targets for 2030 and outline date from which maintenance will be continued. If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.386	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.678	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.062	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S261.060	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Considers natural form and character a key value of rivers and streams in Te Whanganui-a-Tara area and is directed as a potential value in the NPS-FM. Considers protection of natural form and character is required to protect habitat.	Amend as follows: Water quality, habitats, natural form and character, water quantity and ecological processes... Amend Table WH.09 to include physical habitat and natural form and character attributes. Retain balance of objective. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS1.033	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	It is unclear whether those areas not in natural bush can realistically meet a natural state.	Disallow in part	Disallow amendment to WH.09 and associated table. Amend WH.09 as follows: (a) where a target attribute state in Table 8.4 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS1.059	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	It is unclear whether those areas not in natural bush can realistically meet a natural state.	Disallow in part	Disallow amendment P.O6 and associated table. Amend P.O6 as follows:(a) where a target attribute state in Table 9.2 is not met, the state of that attribute is improved in all rivers and river reaches in the part Freshwater Management Unit...	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS25.032	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain wording of Objective WH.09 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS26.016	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain wording of Objective WH.09 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.387	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.021	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective WH.01. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in objective WH.09.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.679	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					rivers are maintained or improved.			<p>area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS31.014	Wellington International Airport Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPS-FM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPS-FM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow	Considers natural form and character a key value of rivers and streams in Te Whanganui-a-Tara area and is directed as a potential value in the NPS-FM. Considers protection of natural form and character is required to protect habitat.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.022	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.039	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.061	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		<p>Considers it is unclear what river types are covered by each part FMU. Considers periphyton targets should be no higher than 120 mg. Considers nitrate toxicity irrelevant to ecology. Considers there are more ecologically sound values for DIN targets. Considers it unclear how fish community health will be determined and how it is different to IBI. Considers MCI targets should be higher to protect ecosystem health. Considers attributes are missing to set out and monitor habitat and natural form and character, as directed by the NPS-FM and NRP, noting that sediment is not a sufficient measure of physical habitat alone. Considers interim timeframes of less than 10 years are required where long-term timeframes are set out. Considers groundwater targets are needed. Notes macrophyte targets are missing.</p>	<p>State river type and class for each of the part FMUs. Set a minimum target state for periphyton biomass for all part FMUs at NPS-FM band of 120 mg chl-a (and retain higher targets where included). Amend nitrate toxicity target to be NPS-FM 'A' band for all part FMUs. Retain DIN target states where they are set below 0.3 mg/L. Amend others to be 0.3 mg/L (median) for good rivers (type 1 and 4) 0.6 for medium rivers (type 2 and 3) and 1.0 for poor rivers (type 5 & 6). Minimum DIN target no higher than 1.0. Define fish community health, as determined by experts. Set higher targets for MCI attributes Retain 'nuisance macrophytes', 'periphyton cover', mahinga kai, and toxicants attributes from table 3.4 Retain groundwater attributes from table 3.6 Amend table (or add another table) to include target attribute states for habitat and natural form and character using the Habitat Quality / Natural Character Index. Minimum targets which set out a target of maintenance of habitat quality / natural character (e.g., minimum ratio of current: reference condition of 1.0). Amend target timeframe to 2030 and outline date from which maintenance will be continued as per NPS-FM 3.11. If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.</p>		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.388	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a- Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS20.022	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower’s submission on objective WH.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include target attribute states for natural form and character in table 8.4 (or any added table).	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.680	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.023	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.040	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.133	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Considers the explanation of the wai ora state should form part of the objective, rather than being a note. Considers ephemeral watercourses hold ecological values and should be referred to in the chapeau. Considers 2100 too far away to achieve wai ora, highlighting biodiversity loss and climate change as current threats. Suggests that different target timeframes could be provided for part FMUs, per the level of degradation in each catchment. Notes some places may already be in a wai ora state. Considers riffle, run, pool sequences is clearer to refer to than "ripples".	<p>Include reference to ephemeral watercourses.</p> <p>Delete the word "note".</p> <p>Amend the target timeframe to be 2050 (rather than 2100), or provide part-FMU / catchment specific timeframes that account for the relative ease/difficulty of achieving targets in different catchments.</p> <p>Amend "Rivers flow naturally, with ripples natural riffle, run, and pool habitat and the river beds are stony"</p> <p>Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.</p>		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS26.025	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective P.O1 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.460	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.034	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in objective P.O1 on the basis that ephemeral watercourses are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that ephemeral watercourses only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into the scope and spatial application of the objective.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to ephemeral watercourses in objective P.O1.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.752	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.			achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS28.069	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine		Oppose	The RMA defines “river” as being continually or intermittently flowing body of freshwater The NPS-FW and NES-FW include provisions for the management of permanent and intermittent rivers and streams. These provisions do not extend to ephemeral streams.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
	Forest & Bird	FS39.071	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	The wai ora timeframe of 2050 is unachievable.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.134	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers amendment is required to give effect to the NPS-FM and RMA.	Amend chapeau: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, ephemeral watercourses, and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora, such that by 2030 2040 Include reference to natural form and character in clause (a) and refer to ecosystem health i.e.: "(a) water quality, habitats, natural form and character... are at a level where the state of aquatic life ecosystem health is meaningfully improved..." Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS26.026	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable		Oppose in part	The need to add a reference to 'ephemeral watercourses' and 'natural form and character' is opposed as including these waterbodies in the objective is unnecessary and impractical and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or the purpose of the RMA is achieved	Disallow in part	Retain the wording of Objective P.O2 as notified	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improvement towards wai ora.						
	Forest & Bird	FS9.461	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.035	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose in part	Transpower opposes references to ephemeral watercourses in objective P.O2 on the basis that ephemeral watercourses are typically not mapped and, in practice, difficult to clearly define. Further, it is unclear how the health of ephemeral watercourses would be measured in practice given that ephemeral watercourses only convey or retain water during or immediately after rainfall events. This introduces significant uncertainty into the scope and spatial application of the objective. With respect to natural form and character, refer to Transpower’s submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to ephemeral watercourses in objective P.O2. Transpower also opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in clause (a) of objective P.O2.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.753	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			
	Forest & Bird	FS28.070	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	<p>The RMA defines “river” as being continually or intermittently flowing body of freshwater The NPS-FW and NES-FW include provisions for the management of permanent and intermittent rivers and streams. These provisions do not extend to ephemeral streams.</p>	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.025	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.042	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.135	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Considers policy direction in higher order documents is achieved, however seeks shorter timeframes.	Amend timeframe to 2030. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.462	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.754	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.065	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.136	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Oppose		Seeks further parameters and more stringent timeframes	Include a parameter for Turbidity: (Unit: NTU; Statistic: Turbidity must be maintained at or below the current annual median or at or below pre-existing levels, whichever is lesser; Onepoto Arm: <10.8, Pauatahanui Inlet: <6.9; Open Coast: No discernible change).Add further parameters (for lead, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, secchi depth, chlorophyll-a, total phosphorous, total nitrogen, nitrite-nitrate nitrogen, ammoniacal nitrogen, and faecal coliforms) to ensure narrative objectives in Table 3.8 of the Operative Plan are met.Amend Open Coast unit for Enterococci: <200 <40Amend timeframe to 2030 or provide interim targets as per NPS-FM 3.11 requirement.Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.463	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.755	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.066	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.138	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Supports giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain as proposed		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.465	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.757	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.139	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Considers greater provision for natural form and character is required to give effect to NPS-FM and RMA	Amend as follows: "water quality, habitats, natural form and character, and..." Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS26.027	R P Mansell; A J Mansell, & M R Mansell	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The need for the additional wording 'natural form and character' is not required in the NRP and is not necessary to implement the NPS-FM or to achieve the purpose of the RMA	Disallow	Retain wording of Objective P.O6 as notified	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.466	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.036	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower's submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include reference to natural form and character in objective P.O6.	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.758	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.026	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS39.043	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.140	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers it is unclear what river types are covered by each part FMU. Considers periphyton targets should be no higher than 120 mg. Considers nitrate toxicity irrelevant to ecology. Considers there are more ecologically sound values for DIN targets. Considers it unclear how fish community health will be determined and how it is different to IBI. Considers MCI targets should be higher to protect ecosystem health. Considers attributes are missing to set out and monitor habitat and natural form and character, as directed by the NPS-FM and NRP, noting that sediment is not a sufficient measure of physical habitat alone. Considers interim timeframes of less than 10 years are required where long-term timeframes are set out. Considers groundwater targets are needed. Notes macrophyte targets are missing.	State river type and class for each of the part FMUs. Set a minimum target state for periphyton biomass for all part FMUs at NPS-FM band of 120 mg chl-a (and retain higher targets where included). Amend nitrate toxicity target to be NPS-FM 'A' band for all part FMUs. Retain DIN target states where they are set below 0.3 mg/L. Amend others to be 0.3 mg/L (median) for good rivers (type 1 and 4) 0.6 for medium rivers (type 2 and 3) and 1.0 for poor rivers (type 5 & 6). Minimum DIN target no higher than 1.0. Define fish community health, as determined by experts. Set higher targets for MCI attributes. Retain 'nuisance macrophytes', 'periphyton cover', mahinga kai, and toxicants attributes from table 3.4. Retain groundwater attributes from table 3.6. Amend table (or add another table) to include target attribute states for habitat and natural form and character using the Habitat Quality / Natural Character Index. Minimum targets which set out a target of maintenance of habitat quality / natural character (e.g., minimum ratio of current: reference condition of 1.0). Amend target timeframe to 2030 and outline date from which maintenance will be continued as per NPS-FM 3.11. If date remains 2040, set out interim states at no longer than 10-year intervals. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.467	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS20.037	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose in part	Refer to Transpower’s submission on objective P.O1. The restoration of natural character in relation to all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area is not a reasonably achievable objective where existing regionally significant infrastructure (such as the National Grid) is located over or within freshwater bodies or the coastal marine area.	Disallow in part	Transpower opposes the request to include target attribute states for natural form and character in table 9.2 (or any added table).	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.759	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS39.027	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS39.044	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S261.254	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.581	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.873	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 77: Habitats of nationally threatened freshwater species – Te Awarua-o-Porirua and Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Schedule F1).		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.255	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.582	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.874	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 78: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.256	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.583	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.875	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.257	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.584	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.876	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 80: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (lakes) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	<p>Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S261.259	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.586	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.878	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.260	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.587	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.879	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 83: Coastal water management units – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.261	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.588	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.880	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 84: Harbour arm catchments – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.262	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.589	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.881	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								<p>achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.</p>			

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S275.010	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		While NZTA supports the intent behind the reduction in contaminant loads proposed, it is unclear if and how the reduction can be sustained and further information should be provided before such targets are adopted. The Section 32 assessment states "...the economic costs to communities are likely to be significant due to infrastructure upgrade costs [when compared to 'status quo'] (page 162). It is also noted that cost assessments (page 151 and 152) focus on local authority costs, not NZTA costs which seem to have been omitted. The value of investment/forward planning which has already been made through the consent process under the Operative Plan is also not explicitly recognised in the section 32.	Further consideration of the feasibility and costs of these targets. Any further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		No recommendation
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS23.704	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS36.002	Wellington City Council	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or		Support	Consistent with Wellington City Council's position on the matter.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.						
S277.003	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		The submitter seeks clarity about whether the stream at the rear of their property is listed in the schedule and what wildlife has been identified for this stream. Notes the stream is subject to multiple water control features associated with urban development. Requests that GWRC note that there is no natural passage for fish on the stream.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S277.004	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Objects to the description of Wainuiomata-iti Stream (Wainuiomata Stream). Considers the waterway needs to be described by a proper survey of the river. Notes the waterway appears to be affected by bacterial life and eels are the only species that appear to survive.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S277.007	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Seeks an urgent investigation of the extent of the wetland at the end of the Moores Valley Road. Notes they understand extensive areas of Lot 60 DP 354855 is wetland.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S28.004	Jonny Osborne (S28)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers the changes will be expensive but that rates cannot continue to be raised and the regulations should not be watered down. Supports the timeframes for achieving the target attribute states set out in Plan Change 1.	Not stated		Accept in part
S282.002	Pat van Berkel (S282)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Seeks two recommendations be implemented on behalf of Whaitua Committee, fix water quality problems with pipe network and allow sufficient time to do this work.	Seeks a requirement in the NRP that water quality improvement (through pipe network repairs, etc) be staged and that the timeline be published and updated each year.		Reject
	Pat van Berkel	FS3.005	Donald Skerman	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Support	Repairs are needed to wastewater infrastructure and progress needs to be visible to the public	Allow	Add a requirement in the NRP that water quality improvement (through pipe network repairs, etc) be staged and that the timeline be published and updated each year.	Reject
S282.003	Pat van Berkel (S282)			General comments	General comments -	Amend		Supports the 2040 Target Attribute State for <i>E. coli</i> , rather than 2060, stating it is enough time to obtain	Retain the TAS (Target Attribute State) for e-coli to reach high quality by 2040.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					target attribute states			loans, expand workforces and carry out task.			
	Pat van Berkel	FS3.006	Donald Skerman	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	A more ambitious goal should be achievable	Allow	Retain the TAS (Target Attribute State) for e-coli to reach high quality by 2040.	Accept in part
S282.005	Pat van Berkel (S282)			General comments	General comments - overall	Amend		Concerned with no mention of the 300ha Mangaroa Peatland.	Include reference to the Mangaroa Peatland in PC1		Accept in part
S282.006	Pat van Berkel (S282)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Amend		No definition for 'primary contact'.	Add 'primary contact' definition		Accept in part
S282.007	Pat van Berkel (S282)			2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites	Amend		The definition of 'Primary contact sites' refers to Map 85 but Map 85 is not text searchable in the PDF.	Amend so all maps are text searchable Add a text list of the sites in the definition so they are searchable in the document.		Accept in part
S282.010	Pat van Berkel (S282)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Oppose		Opposes Objective WH.O8 as it does not require <i>E. coli</i> level improvements by 2040 and omits measures for benthic cyanobacteria or cyanobacteria blooms. It also omits measures of swimmable days which is an easy-to-understand measure for the public. Objective WH.O8 (b) does not cover risk to dogs as 'primary contact' refers to humans. Notes it is likely more people visit river with dogs than those without dogs.	Amend Objective WH.O8 to remove the words 'by ensuring' and replacing them with 'and ensure'. Amend objective to cover the risk to dogs.		Reject
	Pat van Berkel	FS3.007	Donald Skerman	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	"Low risk" needs to be quantified. A lot of human users of the river bring their dogs with them.	Allow	Quantify permitted levels of benthic cyanobacteria. Amend to include the risk to dogs.	Reject
S282.011	Pat van Berkel (S282)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Amend		Seeks addition of 'swimmable days' as a parameter in Table 8.3 as it easily understood by public and encompasses all quality reasons for why a river is not swimmable. Seeks addition of a benthic cyanobacteria or cyanobacteria blooms measure as a parameter in Table 8.3. Considers this a key measure for Te Awa Kairangi due	Add a Parameter in Table 8.3, namely "Swimmable Days". Add a Parameter in Table 8.3 which is a measure of benthic cyanobacteria or cyanobacteria blooms.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								to toxic algae in the river killing dogs and affecting people.			
	Pat van Berkel	FS3.008	Donald Skerman	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	The number of swimmable days would be a good easy to understand measure of improvement in water quality. Improvements in water quality should be achievable by 2040	Allow	Add a Parameter in Table 8.3, namely "Swimmable Days". Add a Parameter in Table 8.3 which is a measure of benthic cyanobacteria or cyanobacteria blooms. Specify improvements at all locations by 2040	Reject
S282.012	Pat van Berkel (S282)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Seeks addition of 'swimmable days' as a parameter in Table 8.3 as it easily understood by public and encompasses all quality reasons for why a river is not swimmable.	Add a Parameter in Table 8.4, namely "Swimmable Days".		Reject
S282.013	Pat van Berkel (S282)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Amend		Supports in part the objective but notes it is incomplete.	Not stated		Reject
S282.020	Pat van Berkel (S282)			13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Notes that Map 85 omits the primary contact site "Whakatikei River at Hutt Confluence"	Add site "Whakatikei River at Hutt Confluence" to Map 85 (and to a text list of the definition of Primary contact sites.)		Reject
	Pat van Berkel	FS3.011	Donald Skerman	13 Maps	Map 85: Primary contact sites – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	This is a popular swimming location	Allow	Add site "Whakatikei River at Hutt Confluence" to Map 85 (and to a text list of the definition of Primary contact sites.)	Reject
S283.001	Todd Henry (S283)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter values the water quality values of the following areas for contact recreation and ecosystem health: i. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt River ii. the Whakatikei River iii. Te Whanganui-a-Tara / Wellington Harbour, Considers the natural form and character of these waterbodies is an important part of their value. Natural form and character creates rapids and other features of these sections of river that make them valuable for kayaking. Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge is an outstanding run for whitewater	Requests the outstanding value of this section [Te Awa Kairangi / the Hutt Gorge] of river recognised in the plan.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								kayaking, which traverses what they consider an outstanding landscape with outstanding amenity values. Would like to see the outstanding value of this section of river recognised in the plan. The natural and wildlife values of these areas are also important to submitter.			
S283.002	Todd Henry (S283)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned about increased amounts of sediment in the Hutt River when flows increase and potential <i>E. coli</i> and pathogen loads in the water. Observes algae in summer months when flows are low resulting in issues with recreation and amenity as well as human health when making contact with water. Concerns that river engineering such as railway iron degrades water quality and creates potential hazards for river users when slash and logs get caught on structures	Not Stated		No recommendation
S283.004	Todd Henry (S283)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Support		Considers these are a priority under Te Mana o te Wai	Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised. Prioritise ecosystem health and contact recreation prioritised.		Accept
S283.005	Todd Henry (S283)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Key concerns are water quality (particularly <i>E. coli</i> , sediment, algal growth/periphyton, and ecosystem health); amenity; contact recreation; and natural form and character.	Seeks the following: Recognition in the plan of the outstanding kayaking / packrafting / rafting values in the Whaitua are recognised in the plan, particularly for the Hutt Gorge (which has outstanding kayaking, amenity, and landscape values). More work by GWRC to monitor and preserve natural character, and to strengthen objectives, policies, and rules which allow the river to function more naturally, particularly in its reaches influenced by flood protection. Targets for natural character that are similar to the sorts of targets set for water quality and seeks objectives and policies that support these. More work to enhance water quality in the coastal environment, for use of 'flat water' environments to learn and train without worrying about compromising health if contact is made with the water.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
									Retain coastal water quality indicators/targets.		
S283.006	Todd Henry (S283)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Support		Supports targets in the water quality target tables.	Requests as much work as possible is done through environmental limits to achieve these targets.		Accept in part
S284.001	Friends of Waiwhetū Stream (S284)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Support		Supports PC1 and long-term view on water quality improvement. Supports use of specific targets for 2040 and 2100.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Friends of Waiwhetū Stream	FS27.1187	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - water quality improvements		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S284.002	Friends of Waiwhetū Stream (S284)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Concerns surrounding severe water quality of Waiwhetū Stream. Supports targets for reduced contamination, especially for E Coli. Supports the requirement of Territorial Authorities to repair/maintain wastewater pipes and detect and remediate leaks and cross contamination at properties. Supportive of finding alternative funding options for Councils for this work.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Friends of Waiwhetū Stream	FS27.1188	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S285.009	Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)			2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites	Amend		Considers the definition adds unnecessary complication by separating these from the coastal recreation sites.	Combine the primary contact sites with the Schedule H recreation sites.		Accept
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS35.009	Goodman Contractors Limited	2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites		Support	I also support the rest of the points made in the Civil Contractors New Zealand submission, and ask you to consider the impacts this plan change will have on horizontal construction across the region, which will increase costs across the board, including housing, transport and water construction. Overall, I feel direct consultation with the civil construction industry has been absent in the creation of this plan, and is required to make sure the Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I also feel that the consultation process is very difficult to follow, ruling most contractors out of having a say. Accordingly, I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Accept
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS49.009	Multi Civil Contractors Ltd	2 Interpretation	Primary contact sites		Support	Not stated	Allow	Request that plan change does not go ahead	Accept
S285.012	Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)			3 Objectives	Objective O2	Support		Supports Wellington Water's submission that this should be retained as these benefits should be recognised regardless of the location	Retain the application of O2 in all locations.		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS35.012	Goodman Contractors Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	I also support the rest of the points made in the Civil Contractors New Zealand submission, and ask you to consider the impacts this plan change will have on horizontal construction across the region, which will increase costs across the board, including housing, transport and water construction. Overall, I feel direct consultation with the civil construction industry has been absent in the creation of this plan, and is required to make sure the Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I also feel that the consultation process is very difficult to follow, ruling most contractors out of having a say. Accordingly, I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Accept
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS47.135	Meridian Energy Limited	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	The benefits described in Objective O2 should be recognised, regardless of location. The benefits described in Objective O2 include the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation which are required to be recognised and provided for by the NPS-REG.	Allow	Allow S285.012 and retain Objective O2 as having application in both Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Accept
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS49.012	Multi Civil Contractors Ltd	3 Objectives	Objective O2		Support	Not stated	Allow	Request that plan change does not go ahead	Accept
S285.016	Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Oppose		Suspended fine sediment/deposited fine sediment. Notes there is uncertainty regarding the modelled correlation between sediment loads and visual clarity and SedNet is a national scale model which has had to be adjusted to the scale of the target TAS locations. Considers increased granularity may lead to higher levels of uncertainty. Furthermore, sediment loads, visual clarity and deposited sediment are influenced by factors within catchments outside of WWL's control including human land uses and activities and natural factors.	Visual clarity and deposited sediment need to be set taking into consideration all contributing sediment sources, and the following points also need to be addressed: 1. How sediment load reductions will be measured in the future 2. How would proportionate contribution to sediment be measured and any reduction in this contribution be measured 3. How much time would the testing take, and who would a 'suitable person' be to conduct the testing? If we do not currently have personnel capacity to conduct this testing, is it wise to write it into the Plan?		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS35.016	Goodman Contractors Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	I also support the rest of the points made in the Civil Contractors New Zealand submission, and ask you to consider the impacts this plan change will have on horizontal construction across the region, which will increase costs across the board, including housing, transport and water construction. Overall, I feel direct consultation with the civil construction industry has been absent in the creation of this plan, and is required to make sure the Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I also feel that the consultation process is very difficult to follow, ruling most contractors out of having a say. Accordingly, I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Accept in part
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS28.009	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Submission raises implementation matters which should be clarified.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS32.007	PCL Contracting Ltd as part of CCNZ	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Not stated	Allow	Suspended fine sediment/deposited fine sediment Notes there is uncertainty regarding the modelled correlation between sediment loads and visual clarity and SedNet is a national scale model which has had to be adjusted to the scale of the target TAS locations. Considers increased granularity may lead to higher levels of uncertainty. Furthermore, sediment loads, visual clarity and deposited sediment are influenced by factors within catchments outside of WWL's control including human land uses and activities and natural factors.	Accept in part
	Civil Contractors New Zealand	FS49.016	Multi Civil Contractors Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Not stated	Allow	Request that plan change does not go ahead	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S286.003	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Supports targets and timeframes with respect to contaminants, but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Concerned that this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers there is a need to prioritise and progress a programme of new streams of funding not reliant on existing ratepayer base.	Not stated		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.005	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Not stated	Support funding mechanisms in principle to achieve targets and timeframes and appropriate infrastructure investment.	Allow	Supports targets and timeframes with respect to contaminants, but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Concerned that this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers there is a need to prioritise and progress a programme of new streams of funding not reliant on existing ratepayer base.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.005	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	To support the outcomes Taranaki Whānui's are seeking in this submission as Mana Whenua.	Allow	Allow the amendment.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.181	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - target attribute states		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui's submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S286.006	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Notes the huanga of mahinga kai in Schedule B has not been updated following the Whaitua process and publication of Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao.	Seeks amendment of Schedule B in consultation with mana whenua to fully reflect mahinga kai values and outcomes, including those expressed in Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.006	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	General comments	General comments - overall		Not stated	Support amendment of Schedule B in consultation with mana whenua to fully reflect mahinga kai values and outcomes.	Allow	Notes the huanga of mahinga kai in Schedule B has not been updated following the Whaitua process and publication of Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao. Seeks amendment of Schedule B in consultation with mana whenua to fully reflect mahinga kai values and outcomes, including those expressed in Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.004	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	To support the outcomes Taranaki Whānui's are seeking in this submission as Mana Whenua.	Allow	Allow the amendment.	Accept in part
S286.018	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways to wai ora. Considers it is unclear if text from "Note..." forms part of objective or it is some form of advisory note. Seeks word "note" should be deleted as bullet points are an important part of the objective. Mana whenua seek customary practices can be undertaken throughout catchment rather than just as a designated range of locations. Otherwise, the objective could be achieved without doing anything as customary practices can take place already at a range of places.	Amend objective as follows: Objective WH.O1 The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Āhua (natural character) is restored and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character • All freshwater bodies have planted margins • All freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species and taonga species • Mahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitanga • Mana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment. 		Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.015	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Improve progressively to wai ora state. Amend objective as follows: Objective WH.O1 The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100. Note In the wai ora state: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Āhua (natural character) is restored and freshwater bodies exhibit their natural quality, rhythms, range of flows, form, hydrology and character • All freshwater bodies have planted margins • All freshwater bodies and coastal waters have healthy functioning ecosystems and their water conditions and habitat support the presence, abundance, survival and recovery of At-risk and Threatened species • Mahinga kai and kaimoana species are healthy, plentiful enough for long term harvest and are safe to harvest and eat or use, including for manuhiri and to exercise manaakitanga • Mana whenua are able to undertake customary practices at a range of places throughout the catchment. 	Accept
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.018	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS47.161	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose in part	Meridian agrees that the purpose of the note should be clarified but also considers that, as worded, some of the outcomes detailed in the note will be difficult to achieve, particularly where lawfully-established infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure, is present;	Disallow in part	Allow S286.018 in part by inserting 'where practicable' into the first two bullet points and clarifying whether the note to Objective WH.O1 is intended to be an advisory note or part of the objective.	Reject
S286.019	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways towards wai ora by 2040. However, the huanga of mahinga kai in Schedule B have not been updated following Whaitua process and publication of Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao.	Retain objective and amend Schedule B in consultation with mana whenua to fully reflect mahinga kai values and outcomes, including those expressed in Te Mahere Wai o Te Kāhui Taiao.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.016	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Improve and restore health and wellbeing of groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora state.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.019	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					measurable improvement towards wai ora.						
S286.020	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara’s waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.017	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.020	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui’s right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					objectives set out in Table 8.1.						
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.183	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui's submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S286.021	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.018	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.021	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.184	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui's submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S286.023	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.020	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.023	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.024	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.021	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.024	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.025	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.022	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.025	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.026	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.023	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.026	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O7: The physical integrity of aquitards is protected so that confined aquifer pressures are maintained.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S286.027	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara’s waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.024	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.027	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui’s right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.185	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O8: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui’s submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S286.028	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara’s waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.025	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.028	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui’s right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.186	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui’s submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S286.029	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.026	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O9: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.029	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.030	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Supports full restoration of Te-Whanganui-a-Tara's waterways towards wai ora by 2040 but notes significant infrastructure investment is required by 2040 to meet the <i>E. coli</i> target. Submitter is concerned this target will not be achievable with current funding mechanisms. Considers it should be a priority to find new streams of funding that are not reliant on the existing ratepayer base and regular monitoring and reporting on progress towards the target is completed.	Retain objective provided: -Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.		No recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.027	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Support in principle.	Allow	Retain objective provided: - Method M45 is implemented as a priority and new infrastructure funding mechanisms are put in place; and -regular monitoring and reporting is undertaken on progress towards the target.	No recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.030	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	No recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS39.187	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	WWL supports Taranaki Whānui's submission in relation to the relationship between funding and target attribute states.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S288.038	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.01: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-	Amend		Seeks clarification on "waiora state", noting natural character is not a condition fixed in time. Considers the description needs to include the caveat that natural character refers to a waterbody's state in response to input conditions that are managed to achieve a level of naturalness. Notes climate change may mean natural character is	Include the caveat that natural character refers to a waterbodies state in response to a variety of input conditions that are managed to achieve a level of naturalness.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.			not the same as current targets, which risks a mismatch between what is legally enforced and what is achievable.			
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.062	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.039	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.063	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S288.040	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.064	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.042	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.066	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O6: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S288.043	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.067	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.044	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Oppose		Considers the requirement for attribute improvement in all river reaches if TAS is not met in Part FW management unit monitoring sites does not reflect good management. Considers a failure to meet TAS at a part FMU monitoring site should require identification of the problem source and focus on raising TAS performance in that area. Notes TAS in some sub-catchments may already be met and are not practicably able to be improved.	Adjust to reflect good management.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.068	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S288.081	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Amend		Seeks clarification about "Mauri is restored and waters are in a natural state", noting natural character is not a condition fixed in time. Notes climate change may mean natural character is not the same as current targets, which risks legally enforceable unachievable goals.	Include the caveat that natural character refers to a waterbodies state in response to a variety of input conditions that are managed to achieve a level of naturalness.		Accept
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.105	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.082	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.106	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S288.083	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.107	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.085	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Oppose		Considers the requirement for attribute improvement in all river reaches if TAS is not met in Part FW management unit monitoring sites does not reflect good management. Considers a failure to meet TAS at a part FMU monitoring site should require identification of the problem source and focus on raising TAS performance in that area. Notes TAS in some sub-catchments may already be met and are not practicably able to be improved.	Adjust to reflect good management.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company	FS23.109	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua	Objective P.O6: Water quality,		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	New Zealand Ltd			-o- Porirua Whaitu a	habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.			biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S29.003	Neil Deans (S29)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Considers community values of many water bodies have been compromised but these streams and other water bodies continue to provide species' habitats. Considers collective action through the regional plan is required to secure and improve waterbodies and ensure they remain community assets.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S29.004	Neil Deans (S29)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Amend		Supports the direction of PC1 to require specific actions to improve water bodies over time. Recommends that interim and measurable milestones are set for achieving improved freshwater outcomes to ensure that measures are effective.	Not stated.		Accept
S29.010	Neil Deans (S29)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.1 Objectives	Support		Supports objectives WH.01-WH.09 however recommends interim milestones are set, supported by numerical objectives and monitoring programmes to meet desired outcomes.	Implement interim milestones supported by numerical objectives and monitoring programmes		Accept
S29.011	Neil Deans (S29)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Support		Supports numerical requirements for running waters in addition to those for lakes. Considers human health for contact recreation should be the standard where the water bodies are used for that purpose.	Human health for contact recreation be the standard where water bodies are used for that purpose		Reject
S29.012	Neil Deans (S29)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Support		Supports target attribute states	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S29.015	Neil Deans (S29)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	9.1 Objectives	Support		Supports the provisions of Chapter 9	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S31.003	Stormwater360 (S31)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Not Stated		Target attribute states refer to dissolved metals concentration whereas Schedule 28 (Table 1 and Table 2) refer only to the percentage of	Define speciation throughout stormwater rules to achieve TAS defined in Table 8.4. Table 1 and 2 of Schedule 28: Stormwater Contaminant		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
				anui-a-Tara				Copper or Zinc to be removed. Suggest consistency throughout rules/ policies.	Treatment should reflect dissolved metals.		
	Stormwater360	FS28.112	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Support concern on target load reductions being achievable.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
	Stormwater360	FS33.007	BP Oil NZ Ltd, Mobil Oil NZ Ltd, Z Energy Ltd - The Fuel Companies	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Oppose	While the intent of the submission to achieve consistency throughout the rules and policies can be supported in principle, the specific changes sought to Table 1 and 2 of Schedule 28: Stormwater Contaminant Treatment to reflect dissolved metals, together with the implications of those changes, are uncertain.	Disallow	Disallow submission on the basis of uncertainty.	Reject
S31.005	Stormwater360 (S31)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Not Stated		Target attribute states refer to dissolved metals concentration whereas Schedule 28 (Table 1 and Table 2) refer only to the percentage of Copper or Zinc to be removed. Suggest consistency throughout rules/policies.	Define speciation throughout stormwater rules to achieve TAS defined in Table 8.4. Table 1 and 2 of Schedule 28: Stormwater Contaminant Treatment should reflect dissolved metals.		Accept
	Stormwater360	FS28.111	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	Changes proposed will improve clarity of provisions.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
S32.001	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Oppose		Considers Objective WH.O2 is not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act as it neither; "enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety", nor meets priority (c) of Objective 2.1(i) of the NPS-FM. Considers the lack of incorporation of the purpose and national direction (beyond environmental protection) leads to regulatory overreach and conflict with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land 2022.	Include the following wording after Objective WH.O2 outcomes (a-h) "In achieving this trajectory, the following priorities will be recognised: a)Providing for the health needs of people (such as drinking water), b) Maintaining the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, now and in the future.		Accept in part
S33.001	Wellington City Council (S33)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		WCC has practical constraints in managing it's three waters network. Funding constraints will make it difficult to achieve significant improvements quickly, and the target attribute timeframe of 2040 is unlikely	Not stated		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								to be practicably achievable. Considers a 2060 target is more realistic but this would also be challenging to achieve due to financial constraints and limited capacity within the infrastructure sector to deliver upgrades.			
	Wellington City Council	FS39.230	Wellington Water Ltd	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.008	Wellington City Council (S33)			2 Interpretation	Environmental outcomes	Support		Support the requirements for environmental outcomes	Retain as notified		Accept
S33.023	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O1: The health of all freshwater bodies and the coastal marine area within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara is progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.	Support		Support the goals set out in the objective and consider the 2100 timeframe appropriate.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S33.024	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS8.034	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of		Support	Winstone support altering the timeframe to 2060. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be "ambitious but reasonable" ⁴ . Winstone consider that 2060 would meet this direction.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					measurable improvement towards wai ora.						
	Wellington City Council	FS39.232	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S33.025	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.233	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S33.026	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.234	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.1 Coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.028	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS8.035	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of improvement towards wai ora.		Support	Winstone support altering the timeframe to 2060. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be "ambitious but reasonable" ⁵ . Winstone consider that 2060 would meet this direction.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.235	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O5: By 2040 the health and wellbeing of the Parangarahu Lakes and associated natural wetlands are on a trajectory of		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					improvement towards wai ora.						
S33.029	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.236	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.2 Target attribute states for lakes.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S33.030	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.	Support		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.237	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.08: Primary contact sites within Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River, Pākuratahi River, Akatarawa River and Wainuiomata River are suitable for primary contact.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S33.031	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.	Neutral		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								expansions to the three waters network.			
	Wellington City Council	FS8.036	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	Winstone support altering the timeframe to 2060. Winstone note that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater require the long-term visions to be to be “ambitious but reasonable”6. Winstone consider that 2060 would meet this direction.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and further consideration is given to whether the timeframe is realistically achievable.	Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.238	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.3 Primary contact site objectives in rivers.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S33.032	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Objective WH.09: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Support		Support the goal of maintaining and improving where appropriate.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
S33.033	Wellington City Council (S33)			8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC’s spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.239	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitu a Te Whang anui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.074	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O1: The health of Te Awarua-o- Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes, natural wetlands, estuaries, harbours and coastal marine area is	Support		Support the goals set out in the objective and consider the 2100 timeframe appropriate.	Retain as notified		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					progressively improved and is wai ora by 2100.						
S33.075	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC’s spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Reject
	Wellington City Council	FS39.242	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua’s groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC’s submission in relation to Council’s analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S33.076	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o-Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC’s spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
	Wellington City Council	FS39.243	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Pāuatahanui Inlet, Onepoto Arm and the open coastal areas of Te Awarua-o- Porirua is maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 9.1.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.077	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.244	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.1: Coastal water objectives.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S33.079	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O5: Groundwater flows and levels, and water quality, are maintained.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
S33.080	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O6: Water quality, habitats, water quantity and ecological processes of rivers are maintained or improved.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S33.081	Wellington City Council (S33)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.	Amend		Considers the 2040 timeframe will be difficult to achieve, and does not take into account the environmental and financial constraints of Wellington City Council. Suggests the 2060 timeframe is consistent with WCC's spatial planning framework, and more consistent with the long-term plan and strategic financing of upgrades and expansions to the three waters network.	Amend timeframe from 2040 to 2060.		Accept in part
	Wellington City Council	FS39.245	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Table 9.2: Target attribute states for rivers.		Support	WWL broadly supports the intent of WCC's submission in relation to Council's analysis regarding the increased cost to ratepayers to reduce discharges and contaminants.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S35.003	Amos Mann (S35)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Supports the Target Attribute States for the catchments but suggests they should be even better.	Not stated		Accept in part
S36.009	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Oppose		Concerned the TAS erroneous and have been propagated throughout PC1 as justification of the need for more control over plantation forestry, noting that pastoral farming is not subject to controlled activity.	Not stated		Reject
S36.018	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Considers there is no indication in GW's water quality data of increased sediment in catchments with a high proportion of plantation forestry. Notes the water clarity of Mangaroa River exceeds guidelines, the TAS set by the NPS-FM, but the test result in this case is an inappropriate surrogate measure for suspended solids and the test failure was due to a natural source of brown water. Disputes the values for required sediment load reductions in Table 8.5 for Mangaroa River, and suggests the data interpretation for Wainuiomata/Black Creek is incorrect. Notes the NPS-FM acknowledges that natural sources of brown water exist and allows different TAS to be set accordingly, which has not been done for Mangaroa and potentially Wainuiomata/Black Creek, although it has been done for Hulls Creek. Concerned that the TAS values listed for Hulls Creek, Mangaroa and Black Creek appear to be default values from	Not stated		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
								the tables and not adjusted to baseline values or reset by GW. In the case of Hulls Creek, the submitter is not aware that this drains a peat swamp and suggests buried iron adjacent to the railways activities is the source of the opalescent water (references photo in original submission).			
S36.036	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Considers use of baseline data or other agreed TAS, rather than natural state, is more realistic.	That GW and others find a better way of defining natural levels.		Reject
S36.037	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.4: Target attribute states for rivers.	Not Stated		Notes the use of the suspended fine sediment/visual clarity/black disc test for Mangaroa River does not take into account that Black Stream (natural brown water) drains into Mangaroa River. Seeks confirmation that different TAS have been set where there are natural sources of brown water. Notes the Total Suspended Solids and suspended fine sediment and deposited fine sediment results are high quality, so are at odds with the Visual Clarity result (refers to table in original submission). Seeks confirmation that Wainuiomata/Black Creek has an appropriate TAS set for visual clarity.	Confirm different TAS have been set where there are natural sources of brown water. Check Wainuiomata/Black Creek has appropriate TAS set for visual clarity.		Accept
S39.004	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Concerned the focus on sediment and erosion is based on data from a single monitoring station and the use of broad-brush modelling to identify potential erosion sources. Concerned the monitoring data used to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the multiple catchments is based on extrapolation from data from one monitoring site. Considers there needs to be more fine scale and regular water quality studies and potentially monitoring at the scale of each farm.	Not stated.		Reject

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S39.009	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Concerned there has been insufficient information provided to identify problems or problem locations with water quality which impacts the ability to effectively target any remediation or work to improve this. Notes personal changes made to reduce sedimentation and potential deposition of biological pollution in small streams. Concerned the wider sources of contaminants (both by activity and by location) across Mākara and Ohariu is highly speculative as there is only one water quality monitoring site. Considers there was little acknowledgement of the majoring flood events, remedial and construction programme carried out in Takarau George and house under construction that have consequent potential for erosion and increased sedimentation.	Not stated.		Reject
S40.002	Pamela Govan (S40)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Supports the objectives for Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S40.003	Pamela Govan (S40)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Supports the Target Attribute States proposed.	Not stated.		Accept in part
S43.007	Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Supports the improvement of water quality by 2040, however suggests clause (b) could be clearer.	[...] (b) the hydrology of rivers and erosion processes, including bank stability are improved and sources of sediment are reduced to a more natural level in comparison to the levels as at 1 November 2023, and [...]		Accept in part
	Fulton Hogan Ltd	FS8.026	Winstone Aggregates	8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are		Support	Winstone support the proposed changes to the objective. Winstone agree that it is not clear on what the baseline for reducing sediment is to.	Allow	Winstone seek that relief sought is allowed and clause (b) is amended to replace "to a more natural level" to "in comparison to the levels as at 1 November 2023".	Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 2 – Objectives – 28 February 2025

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.						
S43.019	Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Support		Supports the improvement of water quality by 2040, however suggests clause (b) could be clearer.	[...] (b) erosion processes, including bank stability, are improved to significantly reduce the sedimentation rate in the harbour to a more natural level in comparison to the levels as at 1 November 2023, and [...]		Accept in part
S45.003	Heather Blissett (S45)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers 2050 is not a reasonable date in the absence of any other date as water systems do not have that long (references Pg. 15)	Not stated		No recommendation
S45.004	Heather Blissett (S45)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Suggests the Regional Emergency Plan be considered when thinking about water. Considers the intention to restore the mauri of the river should include being able to drink water from anywhere.	Not stated		Reject
S45.007	Heather Blissett (S45)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Questions whether there is an intent to restore the mauri of wetlands affected by human action. Supports restoring wetlands to what is known of the ecosystem, the water systems, and the life in and around it rather than using a measure from the date that humans destroyed them (references Pg. 18).	Not stated		Reject
S5.006	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua -o- Porirua Whaitu a	Objective P.O2: Te Awarua-o-Porirua's groundwater, rivers, lakes and natural wetlands, and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Agrees large sediment loads have entered the harbour, associated with human activity and natural events. Considers "a more natural level" needs either a different definition or way to quantify the meaning.	Amend to better define what is meant by "a more natural level".		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
S51.004	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Not Stated		Considers there is currently insufficient water quality data to identify where work should be targeted. States that there is almost no data which identifies the source of sediment or e-coli in streams, nor natural levels of sediment and e-coli. Notes that there is only one water quality monitoring site for Mākara and Ohariu, which only covers the Mākara Stream catchment. Considers there are streams within and outside the Mākara Stream catchment with good water quality, which would still be subject to land use restrictions. Seeks that a farm-scale and catchment-scale approach is adopted, rather than across a whitua or Freshwater Management Unit.	Not stated.		Reject
	Mākara and Ohariu large farms	FS12.3	Diane Strugnell	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	A lot of the information used to inform policy and rules appears to be sourced from modelling and applied across a broader scale rather than at a farm or subcatchment scale. Enabling a farm or subcatchment scale to be used makes the actions required to address specific issues (e.g. sediment loss) more appropriate and likely to be more successful.	Allow	That a farm-scale and catchment-scale approach is adopted, rather than across a whitua or Freshwater Management Unit.	Reject
S9.011	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O2: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora.	Amend		Supports the objectives as they reflect many objectives in the WIP, but is concerned the wording does not acknowledge the value that rural communities place on productive land use or the role that they have as direct kaitiaki for Wellington's waterways.	Add: (i) Rural communities are thriving and integrating productive land use and healthy waterways on farms, forests and lifestyle blocks.		Accept in part
S93.003	CentrePort Limited (S93)			8 Whaitu a Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Objective WH.O3: The health and wellbeing of coastal water quality, ecosystems and habitats in Te Whanganui-a-Tara is	Not Stated		Supports the intent of the objective, particularly to "maintain or improve" water quality.	Retain objective as notified.		Accept in part

Original Submission Point (SP)	Original Submitter	FS number	Further Submitter (FS)	Plan Section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS Reasons	Officer Recommendation
					maintained or improved to achieve the coastal water objectives set out in Table 8.1.						
S93.010	CentrePort Limited (S93)			13 Maps	Map 79: Part freshwater management units and target attribute state sites (rivers) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Concerned with the mapping of the management units and whether this is deliberate or there is a mapping error.	Amend the boundary of the Wellington urban FMU to accurately reflect the extent of land at Centre Port's container wharf, and to ensure that there is not overlap with the coastal water management unit. Remove the Wellington urban FMU from wharves and apply the 'Te Whanganui-a-Tara harbour and estuaries' coastal water management unit to these areas. Alternatively, if the mapping extent is not erroneous, provide explanation for the unit boundary and the discrepancy between map layers.		Accept
S93.011	CentrePort Limited (S93)			13 Maps	Map 82: Coastal water management units – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Concerned about how the boundaries for the management units have been mapped. Considers that the Wellington Urban FMU should apply to land and that Te Whanganui-a-Tara harbour and estuaries management unit should apply to the CMA.	Amend the boundary of the Te Whanganui-a-Tara harbour and estuaries' unit to accurately reflect the extent of coastal marine area adjacent to CentrePort's container wharf, and to ensure that there is not overlap with the Wellington urban FMU. Remove the Wellington urban FMU from wharves and apply the 'Te Whanganui-a-Tara harbour and estuaries' coastal water management unit to these areas. Alternatively, if the mapping extent is not erroneous, provide clear and reasoned explanation for the unit boundaries and the discrepancy between map layers.		Accept