

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
WELLINGTON REGISTRY**

**I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
TE WHANANGUI-A-TARA ROHE**

ENV-2024-WLG-000054

UNDER THE Resource Management Act 1991

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the
Resource Management Act 1991

BETWEEN **KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL**
Appellant

AND **WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL**
Respondent

**NOTICE OF HUTT CITY COUNCIL'S WISH TO BE A SECTION 274 PARTY TO
THE PROCEEDINGS**

9 December 2024

BUDDLEFINDLAY
Barristers and Solicitors
Wellington

Solicitors Acting: **Thaddeus Ryan / Esther Bennett**
Email: thaddeus.ryan@buddlefindlay.com / esther.bennett@buddlefindlay.com
Tel +64 4 498 7335 Fax 64-4-499 4141 PO Box 2694 DX SP20201 Wellington 6140

TO: The Registrar
Environment Court
Wellington

1. Hutt City Council (**HCC**) wishes to be a party to the appeal proceedings lodged by Kāpiti Coast District Council (**Appellant**) in respect of the decisions of Wellington Regional Council (**GWRC**) on Proposed Change 1 (**PC1**) (and Variation 1) to the Wellington Regional Policy Statement (**Decisions**).
2. HCC is a local authority. HCC also made a submission on PC1 dated 14 October 2022.
3. HCC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of sections 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**).
4. HCC is interested in all parts of the proceedings and the grounds and relief sought by the Appellant in its entirety.
5. HCC supports the grounds and all of the relief sought by the Appellant for the following reasons:
 - (a) the provisions in the Decisions Version that are subject to the appeal are unworkable, unclear, unnecessary and/or unachievable, including:
 - (i) in relation to the role and functions of the RPS, and district plans, within the broader resource management system (including the RMA);
 - (ii) as they apply to individual resource consents and/or notices of requirement; and
 - (iii) because they contain:
 - (1) definitions and terms that are unclear, unnecessary and/or overly restrictive;
 - (2) climate change policies that are unclear, unnecessary, impractical, unduly onerous from a regulatory standpoint and/or contain unachievable timeframes; and
 - (3) unclear and/or overly restrictive objectives and policies relating to urban development and natural hazards; and

- (b) the provisions in the Decisions Version that are subject to the appeal may conflict with and/or duplicate higher order planning documents and/or national direction; and
 - (c) the provisions in the Decisions Version that are subject to the appeal do not serve a resource management purpose, promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in accordance with section 5, further the principles of Part 2, or otherwise accord with the RMA.
6. HCC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



Thaddeus Ryan / Esther Bennett
Counsel for Hutt City Council

Dated: 9 December 2024

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Hutt City Council
c/- Esther Bennett / Thaddeus Ryan
Buddle Findlay
Level 17, Aon Centre
1 Willis Street
Wellington

PO Box 2694, Wellington 6140

Phone: 04 499 4242

Email: esther.bennett@buddlefindlay.com / thaddeus.ryan@buddlefindlay.com