

Appendix E: Recommended decisions on submissions

Natural hazards

*All further submissions are accepted or rejected accordingly

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S7/001		Stephen and Elly Simpson	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S7/002		Stephen and Elly Simpson	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S7/003		Stephen and Elly Simpson	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S7/004		Stephen and Elly Simpson	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S7/005		Stephen and Elly Simpson	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S7/006		Stephen and Elly Simpson	4.4 Natural form	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			and function		hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.		
	/						
S7/009		Stephen and Elly Simpson	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S7/010		Stephen and Elly Simpson	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S7/011		Stephen and Elly Simpson	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S7/012		Stephen and Elly Simpson	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S14/008		Egon Guttke	3. Objectives	Amend	Add an objective expressing that measures combating climate change, such as carbon sequestration or the generation of energy from renewable resources, is supported.	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S16/001		Donald Robert Frampton	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development. The decisions sought in the submission of the Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to and as they may overlap with this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S16/003		Donald Robert Frampton	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S16/004		Donald Robert Frampton	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S16/005		Donald Robert Frampton	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S16/006		Donald Robert Frampton	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S16/009		Donald Robert Frampton	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Oppose	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties,	Reject	Issue 3

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.		
	/						
S16/010		Donald Robert Frampton	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S16/011		Donald Robert Frampton	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S29/003		Powerco	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Oppose	Modify O20 as follows: The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects from natural hazards and climate change on people, the community and infrastructure are acceptable <u>appropriately managed so that risks remain acceptable</u> .	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S29/004		Powerco	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support in part	Modify Objective O22 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods are only used as a last practicable option <u>or where they are the best practicable option</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS73/008	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited		Support in part	Allow in part		
S29/022		Powerco	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Support in part	Retain Policy 27 but with the following modification:... (b) the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low-acceptable , and...	Accept	Issue 4
	FS64/009	New Zealand Defence Force		Support	Allow		
S29/023		Powerco	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation	Support	Modify Policy 28 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except where ... <u>or it is for</u>	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			measures		<u>regionally significant infrastructure.</u>		
	/						
S31/004		Hamish Trolove	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support	Support	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S31/032		Hamish Trolove	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policies and [rules and methods] to have stronger control of activities that increase emission of climate change gases.	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S32/063		Wellington Recreational Marine Fishers Association	Method M4: Sea level rise	Amend	Rewrite Method M4 to reflect what is happening now not in "at least every ten years" time and to describe how WRC will develop a plan to enable storm surges to be predicted with a twenty-four hour warning. [See original submission for details on using air pressure rather than tidal data for predictions].	Reject	Issue 10
	/						
S37/001		Quentin Poole	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development. Clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any expert reports are to report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties [See original submission for details].	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S37/003		Quentin Poole	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S37/004		Quentin Poole	4.4 Natural form	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			and function		coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.		
	/						
S37/005		Quentin Poole	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S37/006		Quentin Poole	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S37/009		Quentin Poole	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S37/010		Quentin Poole	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S37/011		Quentin Poole	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S39/001		Timothy Bruce Caughley	Entire Plan	Oppose in part	Revise the whole PNRP, including the objectives, policies, rules, methods, schedules, maps and definitions, to appropriately address and enable coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities in the coastal marine area and other areas (including beds of rivers and streams), including	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					especially for areas of significant existing development. [See original submission for details].		
	/						
S39/002		Timothy Bruce Caughley	Entire Plan	Oppose in part	Include objectives and policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development. Include objectives and policies that, if a resource consent is required, support that consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S39/003		Timothy Bruce Caughley	Entire Plan	Oppose in part	Include objectives and policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development. Include objectives and policies that, if a resource consent is required, support that consent being obtained, not provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	
	/						
S39/005		Timothy Bruce Caughley	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S42/001		Jon Andrew Terry	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Add a definition for "Climate change": "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S42/002		Jon Andrew Terry	Objective O20:	Amend	Add a separate objective for climate change that states: "The	Reject	Issue 3

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			Risk from natural hazards		risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised".		
	/						
S42/003		Jon Andrew Terry	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend Policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to: (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S47/001		Zane Oosten	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Define "climate change" as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S47/002		Zane Oosten	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Create a separate objective for climate change that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised."	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S47/003		Zane Oosten	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend Policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited	Accept in part	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					to:(a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and(c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised.		
	/						
S48/001		Peter Neil Clayworth	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Amend the Plan to include a definition of climate change as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods" (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S48/002		Peter Neil Clayworth	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Include a separate objective for climate change that states: "the risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised".	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S48/003		Peter Neil Clayworth	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to(a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S49/001		Janis Freegard	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Amend the plan to add the term "climate change" as a definition in the Plan. Define climate change as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods" as per the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992.		
	/						
S49/002		Janis Freegard	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Amend the Plan to include a separate objective for climate change, as follows: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystems health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised".	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S49/003		Janis Freegard	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policy P29 as follows: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to:(a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S50/001		Keri Molloy	2. Interpretation	Amend	Define Climate Change as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S50/002		Keri Molloy	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Amend the plan to include a separate objective for climate change: "The risk, residual risk and adverse effects of climate change on people, ecosystems and infrastructure are recognised."	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S50/003		Keri Molloy	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend Policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to:(a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S51/001		Charles William Barrie	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Define "Climate change" as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S51/002		Charles William Barrie	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Create a separate objective for climate change that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised"	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S51/003		Charles William Barrie	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to: (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and	Accept in part	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					(d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."		
	/						
S53/001		Christine Ann Edwards	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S53/002		Christine Ann Edwards	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S53/003		Christine Ann Edwards	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S53/004		Christine Ann Edwards	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S53/005		Christine Ann Edwards	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S53/006		Christine Ann Edwards	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S53/009		Christine Ann Edwards	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S53/010		Christine Ann Edwards	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S53/011		Christine Ann Edwards	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S53/012		Christine Ann Edwards	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S54/001		Suzanne Hall	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Define "Climate change" as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S54/002		Suzanne Hall	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Create a separate objective for climate change that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised"		
	/						
S55/003		The Oil Companies	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Oppose	Modify O20 as follows: The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects from natural hazards and climate change on people, the community and infrastructure are acceptable <u>appropriately managed so that risks remain acceptable</u> .	Reject	Issue 3
	FS69/018	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		
S55/020		The Oil Companies	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Support in part	Retain Policy 27 but with the following modification Use and development, including hazard mitigation methods, in high hazard areas shall be avoided except where: (a) ... (b) the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low-acceptable , and ...	Accept	Issue 4
	/						
S55/021		The Oil Companies	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Support	Modify Policy 28 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation ... considered to be no more than minor <u>or it is for regionally significant infrastructure</u> .	Reject	Issue 5
	FS61/029	Minister of Conservation		Oppose	Disallow		
S57/001		Samuel Robin Thorne Clark	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Define "Climate change" as a "change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S57/002		Samuel Robin Thorne Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Create a separate objective for climate change that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised"		
	/						
S57/003		Samuel Robin Thorne Clark	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to: (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S63/001		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S63/002		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S63/003		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S63/004		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S63/005		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S63/006		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S63/009		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S63/010		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S63/011		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S63/012		Warwick Stanley Wyatt	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S64/001		Richard McIntosh	2.2 Definitions	Amend	Define "Climate change" as "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods" (source: UN Framework Convention on climate Change 1992)	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S64/002		Richard McIntosh	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Add a separate objective for climate change that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised."	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S64/003		Richard McIntosh	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policy P29 to state: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to: (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S65/001		Mike Weir	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S65/002		Mike Weir	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S65/003		Mike Weir	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S65/004		Mike Weir	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S65/005		Mike Weir	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S65/006		Mike Weir	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S65/009		Mike Weir	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any expert reports report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S65/010		Mike Weir	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the Resource Management Act 1991, including that they give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region.		
	/						
S65/011		Mike Weir	Entire Plan	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports, having proper regard to s32 matters, including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S65/012		Mike Weir	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S75/021		Minister of Conservation	3. Objectives	Amend	Insert new objective as follows: In areas potentially affected by coastal hazards over at least the next 100 years, increases in risk, residual risk, and adverse effects from coastal hazards, including the effects of climate change on people, property or the environment are avoided.	Reject	Issue 2
	FS69/012	Wellington International Airport Limited		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/022		Minister of Conservation	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Insert new objective to address coastal hazards [See submission point S75/021]. Ensure that coastal hazards are not [?] addressed by this Objective.	Reject	Issue 3
	FS41/002	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/023		Minister of Conservation	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Amend	Insert new objective to address coastal hazards [See submission point S75/021]. Ensure that coastal hazards are not [?] addressed by this Objective.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS41/003	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/024		Minister of Conservation	Objective O22:	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			Hard engineering				
	FS41/004	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
	FS65/006	Land Matters Ltd		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/060		Minister of Conservation	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend as follows, or similar: b) the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk based approach, <u>is not increased, and reduced if practicable low.</u> (e) natural cycles of erosion and accretion, and the potential for natural features to fluctuate in position over time <u>at least a 100 year timeframe</u> , including movements due to climate change and sea level rise, are taken into account.	Accept in part	Issue 4
	FS41/012	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/061		Minister of Conservation	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	Issue 5
	FS41/013	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/062		Minister of Conservation	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Recognise the 100 year timeframe required by the NZCPS	Accept	Issue 6
	FS74/190	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Support	Allow		
	FS41/010	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/063		Minister of Conservation	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Provide for the restoration or enhancement of natural features such as beaches, dunes, or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards, <u>and ensure</u> the adverse effects of use and development on them are minimised.	Accept in part	Issue 7
	FS74/191	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Support	Allow		
	FS66/002	Waikanae Christian Holiday Park (El Rancho)		Oppose	Disallow		
	FS41/014	Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated		Oppose	Disallow		
S75/186		Minister of Conservation	Method M4: Sea	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 10

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			level rise				
	/						
S81/032		New Zealand Defence Force	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard, rather than inappropriately capturing all river beds and margins.AND modify the policy framework to be less absolute in terms of restrictions (e.g. replace the term avoid, and/or refer to 'inappropriate development').	Reject	Issue 4
	FS59/031	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S81/041		New Zealand Defence Force	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Amend	Amend the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard, rather than inappropriately capturing all river beds and margins.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS59/005	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S84/017		Hutt City Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Oppose	Reconsider the use of the term 'avoid' and ensure that it does not unnecessarily and inappropriately constrain activities that result in effects that are significant and/or provide essential services for the health and safety of the community and protection of the environment.Provide a policy framework that provides a pathway for new infrastructure reasonably needed to support existing or planned future development to gain resource consent. This would allow for resource consent for these activities to be granted in appropriate circumstances.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS64/010	New Zealand Defence Force		Support	Allow		
	FS69/045	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support in part	Allow		
	FS25/023	Wellington Water Limited		Support	Allow		
S84/018		Hutt City Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Reconsider the use of the term 'avoid' and ensure that it does not unnecessarily and inappropriately constrain activities that result in effects that are significant and/or provide essential services for the health and safety of the community and protection of the environment.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					Provide a policy framework that provides a pathway for new infrastructure reasonably needed to support existing or planned future development to gain resource consent. This would allow for resource consent for these activities to be granted in appropriate circumstances.		
	/						
S85/004		Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Amend	Amend the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard, rather than capturing all river beds and coastal areas.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/006	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support	Allow		
S85/006		Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	The wording of P28 should be widened to exempt hard engineering measures that contribute to protection of the environment and mitigation of adverse effects. Reconsider the use of the word 'development' throughout the plan, and if retained, insert a definition that includes roads.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S85/031		Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard, rather than inappropriately capturing all river and lake beds AND modify the policy framework to be less absolute in terms of restrictions (e.g. replace the term avoid, and/or refer to 'inappropriate development').	Reject	Issue 4
	FS30/057	Masterton District Council		Support	Allow		
	FS26/078	South Wairarapa District Council		Support	Allow		
	FS64/004	New Zealand Defence Force		Support	Allow		
S85/055		Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	Soft engineering	Amend	Amend the definition of 'soft engineering' to include the broader range of engineering options with lesser environmental impacts.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S90/001		Scott Wayne Cleator	2. Interpretation	Amend	[Amend the plan by including a definition of climate change]	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S90/002		Scott Wayne Cleator	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Amend the Plan by including a separate objective for climate change that states: <u>'the risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised'.</u>	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S90/003		Scott Wayne Cleator	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend policy P29 to: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including <u>but not limited to:</u> (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, <u>decreased minimum flows and</u> (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region <u>shall be recognised.</u> "	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S91/003		Philip and Dorothy Tortell	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	Amend the plan to make an explicit attempt to provide for the well-being and safety of people and communities who live on the coast and desist from making it harder for residents to protect their homes from existings and predicted risks.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S91/006		Philip and Dorothy Tortell	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Not stated	That the PNRP extend the sentiments of NZCPS section 27, section 1(c) to private residential properties.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S91/007		Philip and Dorothy Tortell	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Not stated	That difficulties placed in the way of residents who wish to manage their risk through seawalls contravenes NZCPS, policy 25(a)	Reject	Issue 4

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S93/003		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Entire Plan	Amend	Reconsider the whole plan, including definitions, objectives, policies, rules, other methods, schedules and maps that relate directly or indirectly to climate change, coastal hazards and mitigation (including protection) measures, both within the coastal marine area and otherwise e.g., in beds of rivers and streams.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S93/004		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Entire Plan	Amend	Undertake appropriate s 32 evaluations and prepare revised s 32 reports, having proper regard to s 32 matters, including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for hazard mitigation (including protection) measures. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S93/006		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	3. Objectives	Amend	Develop and include an objective and corresponding policy to deal with the specific issue of coastal hazard mitigation and protection. Word the objective in a manner that references the need to balance the benefits and costs of such measures on those affected.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S93/007		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Risk	Amend	Replace the current definition with: "The effect of uncertainty in hazards on the objectives people and communities have to provide for their well-being through the sustainable use and development of the coastal environment. Ref. AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk management - Principles and guidelines, November 2009"	Reject	Issue 3
	FS61/074	Minister of Conservation		Oppose	Disallow		
S93/009		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Risk-based approach (natural hazards)	Amend	Delete the existing definition and references to it elsewhere throughout the plan and add a new definition: "Risk management approach (natural hazards)" "Objective identification, assessment, and prioritisation of risks and related uncertainties ('Risk assessment') followed by the	Reject	Issue 3

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					coordinated and economical application of resources to minimise, monitor, and control the uncertainty of a hazard and its impact or to maximize the realisation of opportunities ("Risk management"). Policy 24 and Policies 25-27 of the NZCPS respectively exemplify risk assessment and management. Ref. AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk management - Principles and guidelines, November 2009"		
	FS61/075	Minister of Conservation		Oppose	Disallow		
S93/010		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Hazard management strategy	Amend	Replace definition of "Hazard management strategy" with: "A hazard risk assessment along with a plan to manage any hazard risks, developed using the risk management approach and approved by the local authority."	Reject	Issue 11
	/						
S93/011		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Oppose	Delete the definition of "High hazard areas" and refer instead to "areas at high risk of being affected by coastal hazards" as per the NZCPS. Align related passages of text to be compliant with NZCPS processes and provisions regarding areas at high risk of being affected by coastal hazards.	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S93/012		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Delete Objectives O19-22 and replace with a single objective as follows: "Objective Oxx: Ensure that natural hazard risks taking account of climate change, are managed by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • locating new development away from areas prone to such risks; • considering responses, including managed retreat, for existing development in this situation; and • protecting or restoring natural defences to such hazards."	Reject	Issue 2

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S93/013		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Risk-based approach (natural hazards)	Amend	Incorporate relevant aspects of the joint Australian and New Zealand International Standard on risk management AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 "Risk management - Principles and guidelines" into the PNRP, including (without limiting the breadth of the decision sought) principles d, f, h and i. Add the standard AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 to the list of standards referenced by the PNRP.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S93/014		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Hazard management strategy	Amend	Incorporate relevant aspects of "NZCPS 2010 Guidance Note Policy 3: Precautionary Approach".	Reject	Issue 11
	/						
S93/015		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Risk	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process that calls for uncertainty to be reported, not hidden and precautionary assumptions based on "professional judgement" to be avoided.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S93/028		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	3. Objectives	Oppose in part	Revise Chapter 3 to appropriately enable and address coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development. All of the matters addressed elsewhere [in the submission] and any suggested changes to provisions are subject to this general decision sought.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S93/041		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	4. Policies	Oppose in part	Revise Chapter 4 to appropriately enable and address coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially in areas of significant existing development. All of the matters addressed in the submission and any suggested changes to provisions are subject to this general decision sought.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S93/043		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	4. Policies	Amend	Include a specific policy to deal with issue of coastal hazard mitigation and protection, incorporating reference to "areas of significant existing development" and the "benefits and costs to those affected".	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S93/046		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Policy P3: Precautionary approach	Oppose in part	Make it clear that the principle does not apply to risk assessment [See original submission for further detail].	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S93/055		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	4. Policies	Oppose in part	Delete Policies P26-P30 and replace them with policies that use risk management and reflect the risk assessment and management policies in the NZCPS 2010 generalised to natural resources. Ensure that none of the replacement policies refer to "high hazard areas" and instead align any such needed language to Policy 24 of the NZCPS which identifies "areas at high risk of being affected [by coastal hazards]".	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S93/056		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Policy P29: Climate change	Oppose in part	Revise the policy so that it addresses the concerns expressed [See original submission p26]. Revise the policy so that it gives effect to the proper interpretation of the NZCPS	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S93/068		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	5. Rules	Oppose in part	Revise Chapter 5 to appropriately reflect risk management approaches and to enable and address coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities, especially for areas of significant existing development. All of the matters addressed [in the original submission] and any suggested changes to provisions are subject to this general decision sought.	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S93/097		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Method M3: Wellington regional hazards management strategy	Amend	Revise the method to address the concerns identified [see p49 of the original submission], in particular, when expanding on the intention refer to a "genuinely collaborative process" and "local communities of stakeholders, including affected property owners". Clarify more specifically what natural hazards such a strategy is intended to address.	Reject	Issue 9
	/						
S93/098		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Method M4: Sea level rise	Amend	Revise the method to address the concerns [see p49-50 of the original submission and the attachment]. Revise the Method M4 to say "will work in partnership with city and district councils and stakeholders, including affected property owners, to develop..." Add reference to using appropriate statistical input, information on the uncertainties, and the range of likely sea level rise outcomes over what likely timeframes. Add that the purpose is to enable a "consistent, robust and high-quality approach ..." Add reference to draft guidance being provided for public comment.	Reject	Issue 10
	/						
S93/104		Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Oppose in part	Delete Policies P27 and P28 and add a replacement policy: "Policy Pxx: Risk management approach" "Use and development shall be managed using a risk management approach, particularly when hazard risks impact upon the achievement of use and development objectives."	Reject	Issue 5
	FS49/111	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support in part	Allow in part		
S96/001		Christopher Butler	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S96/002		Christopher Butler	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, especially for areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S96/003		Christopher Butler	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development, and support the right of communities to integrate effectively with their environment.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S96/004		Christopher Butler	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development, and support the right of communities to integrate effectively with their environment.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S96/005		Christopher Butler	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S96/006		Christopher Butler	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S96/009		Christopher Butler	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that hazard identification/risk assessment needs to be an objective process.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S96/010		Christopher Butler	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					1991, NZCPS and RPS.		
	/						
S96/011		Christopher Butler	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S96/012		Christopher Butler	Entire Plan	Amend	Support for the submission of the Coastal Ratepayers United Inc [S93].	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S98/007		Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Oppose	Amend Objective 21: Inappropriate use and development in high hazard areas is avoided, <u>other than</u> <u>a) where it has a functional need and/or operational requirement to be located there, and/or</u> <u>b) where it is necessary to enable the efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</u>	Reject	Issue 4
	FS69/019	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		
	FS56/031	Powerco		Support	Allow		
S98/015		Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Support in part	Amend P28: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except <u>only be implemented</u> where it is necessary to protect...	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S99/021		Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Limited	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Amend	Amend Objective O22 in Section 3.4 as follows:'Objective O22 Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods are only used as a last <u>can be used as part of the best</u> practicable option.'	Reject	Issue 5
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S101/001		CBEC	2. Interpretation	Amend	That "Climate change" be defined as a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S101/002		CBEC	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Create a separate objective for climate change, and that states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised"	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S101/003		CBEC	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Amend P29 to read: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to: (a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S106/001		Jenny Clark	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S106/002		Jenny Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S106/003		Jenny Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S106/004		Jenny Clark	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	FS61/027	Minister of Conservation		Oppose in part	Not stated		
S106/005		Jenny Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S106/006		Jenny Clark	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	FS61/028	Minister of Conservation		Oppose in part	Not stated		
S106/009		Jenny Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S106/010		Jenny Clark	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S106/011		Jenny Clark	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S106/012		Jenny Clark	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S112/014		Friends of the Paekakariki Streams	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support	[Not stated]	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S112/015		Friends of the Paekakariki Streams	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support	[Not stated]	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S112/044		Friends of the Paekakariki Streams	Policy P29: Climate change	Support	[Not stated]	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S112/045		Friends of the Paekakariki Streams	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Support	[not stated]	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S117/017		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Support	Retain the objective	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S117/018		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support	Retain the objective	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S117/019		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support	Retain the objective	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S117/037		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Support	Retain the provision	Reject	Issue 4

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S117/038		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Support	Retain the provision	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S117/039		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Support	Retain the provision	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S117/040		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Support	Retain the provision	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S117/079		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Method M3: Wellington regional hazards management strategy	Amend	Amend Method 3 to read "Wellington Regional Council will work with city and district councils and the community to: (a) identify areas of significant natural hazards, and (b) develop and implement a hazard management strategy, and (c) produce maps of areas of significant natural hazards and other instruments by 2017 for inclusion in the Plan by change or variation	Reject	Issue 9
	/						
S117/080		Kāpiti Coast District Council	Method M4: Sea level rise	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 10
	/						
S121/007		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	Hard engineering	Support	Retain this definition in its current form.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S121/008		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Support in part	Clarify why the Coastal Marine Area is defined as a High Hazard Area and its implications for effects based decision making. Alternatively, exclude the Commercial Port Area from the definition.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/005	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support	Allow		
	FS59/004	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S121/027		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support in part	Clarify why the Coastal Marine Area is defined as a High Hazard Area and its implications for effects based decision making. Alternatively exclude the Commercial Port Area from the definition of High Hazard Area.	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S121/028		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Amend Objective O22 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods are only used as a last practicable option <u>unless there is a functional need or operational requirement.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS25/009	Wellington Water Limited		Support	Allow		
	FS69/020	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		
S121/052		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Support in part	Clarity why all the CMA is defined as a High Hazard Area and its implications for effects based decision making. Alternatively, exclude the Commercial Port Area from the definition.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/106	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support	Allow		
	FS59/030	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S121/053		CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Amend Policy P28 as follows:Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except where <u>(a) there is a functional and operational need; or(b) It is necessary to protect existing and planned future development from unacceptable risk, assessed using the risk-based approach, and the works either form part of a hazard management strategy or the environmental effects are considered to be no more than minor.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS69/047	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		
	FS25/024	Wellington Water Limited		Support	Allow		
S135/017		Wellington Water Limited	Hard engineering	Amend	Add "or infrastructure" after "to prevent erosion of the land".	Reject	Issue 5
	FS69/007	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S135/019		Wellington Water Limited	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Amend	Reconsider the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS69/008	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support	Allow		
S135/041		Wellington Water Limited	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Clarify what "acceptable" means in this context.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S135/042		Wellington Water Limited	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Amend	Clarify that hard engineering may be required to protect regionally significant infrastructure when it is the most cost effective measure.	Reject	Issue 5
	FS59/017	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S135/062		Wellington Water Limited	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Include protection of regionally significant infrastructure in high hazard areas in the list. Use plain English terms for "fluvial and lacustrine processes" in (e). Delete exception (b). Reconsider the definition of high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard. Clarify in what circumstances a risk assessment is required with a consent application, and what that should comprise, ensuring the assessment is only required in appropriate situations and is commensurate to the scale of the activity.	Accept in part	Issue 4
	FS69/046	Wellington International Airport Limited		Support in part	Allow		
S135/064		Wellington Water Limited	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	Include "and regionally significant infrastructure" after "to protect existing development". Do not require a hazard management strategy for regionally significant infrastructure. The wording should be widened to exempt hard engineering measures that contribute to protection of the environment or public assets, and mitigation of adverse effects. Clarify in what circumstances a risk assessment is required with a consent	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					application, and what that should comprise, ensuring the assessment is only required in appropriate situations and is commensurate to the scale of the activity.		
	FS59/032	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S135/065		Wellington Water Limited	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Replace "best" in (d) with who's estimate it will be or where it might be documented, for consistency and avoiding doubt.	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S135/187		Wellington Water Limited	Method M4: Sea level rise	Amend	Change "International Panel of Climate Change" to read "Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change"	Accept	Issue 10
	/						
S137/001		Beth Jones	2.2 Definitions	Amend	"Climate change" be defined as a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992).	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S137/002		Beth Jones	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	"Climate change" be defined as a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods." (Source: UN Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S137/003		Beth Jones	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	[I] seek the following decision from WRC: that a separate objective be created for climate change, and that it states: "The risk, residual risk, and adverse effects of climate change on people, the community, biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health, mahinga kai and infrastructure are recognised"	Accept in part	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S137/004		Beth Jones	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	That policy P29 states: "The potential for climate change to threaten biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai or to cause or exacerbate natural hazard events that could adversely affect use and development including but not limited to:(a) coastal erosion and inundation (storm surge), and (b) river and lake flooding and erosion, aggradation, decreased minimum flows and (c) stormwater ponding and impeded drainage, and (d) sea level rise, using the best available guidance for the Wellington Region shall be recognised."	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S140/006		Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	Hard engineering	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S140/019		Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Amend Objective 22 as follows:Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods are only used as a last practicable option <u>unless there is a functional need or operational requirement.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S140/036		Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Amend policy 28 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except where <u>(a) there is a functional and operational need; or</u> <u>(b) It is necessary to protect existing and planned future development from ...</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S141/004		CentrePort Properties Limited	Hard engineering	Support	Retain the definition.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S141/024		CentrePort Properties Limited	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	a) they have a functional ...no practicable alternative to be so located, and the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low, and or (b) the use and development is in the <u>Lambton Harbour Area, and...</u>	Reject	Issue 4

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S144/007		Chorus New Zealand Limited	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Oppose	Amend Objective O21 as follows: Inappropriate use and development in high hazard areas is avoided, <u>other than</u> <u>(a) where it has a functional need and/or operational requirement to be located there, and/or</u> <u>(b) where it is necessary to enable the efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</u>	Reject	Issue 4
	FS56/030	Powerco		Support	Allow		
	FS26/037	South Wairarapa District Council		Support	Allow		
	FS30/036	Masterton District Council		Support	Allow		
	FS59/016	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
	FS22/013	Transpower NZ Ltd		Support	Allow		
	FS26/056	South Wairarapa District Council		Support	Allow		
S144/016		Chorus New Zealand Limited	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	Amend Policy P28 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided <u>except only be implemented where it is necessary ...</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S145/019		First Gas Ltd	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Support	Retain objective 20	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S145/020		First Gas Ltd	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support	Retain Objective O21, subject to provisions which confirm that regionally significant infrastructure is appropriate as sought elsewhere in this submission	Reject	Issue 4
	FS57/023	The Oil Companies		Support	Allow		
	FS56/029	Powerco		Support	Allow		
S145/021		First Gas Ltd	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Delete Objective 022	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	FS73/041	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited		Support	Allow		
S145/034		First Gas Ltd	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	(c) the development does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in other areas <u>to an unacceptable degree</u> ; and (d) interference with natural processes (coastal, fluvial and lacustrine processes) is minimised, and (e) natural cycles . . .	Reject	Issue 4
	FS56/056	Powerco		Support	Allow		
S145/035		First Gas Ltd	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Replace Policy 28: <u>Avoid, remedy or mitigate the environmental effects of natural hazards, ensuring that mitigation and protection methods reduce risk to existing and new development using the risk based approach.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS56/057	Powerco		Support	Allow		
S146/023		NZ Transport Agency	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Neither support or oppose	No relief sought.	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S146/049		NZ Transport Agency	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S146/050		NZ Transport Agency	Objective O21: High hazard areas	Support in part	Amend Objective O21, to acknowledge that regionally significant infrastructure may be appropriate as sought elsewhere in this submission. <u>Only appropriate use and development, such as regionally significant infrastructure which has been suitably designed, is enabled to establish and operate in</u> inappropriate use and development in high hazard areas is avoided.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS64/005	New Zealand Defence Force		Oppose	Disallow		
S146/051		NZ Transport Agency	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Delete	Reject	Issue 5
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S146/092		NZ Transport Agency	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Support in part	Amend Policy 27: Use and development, including hazard mitigation methods, in high hazard areas shall be avoided except where: (a) they have a functional need or operational requirement or there is no practicable alternative to be so located, and (b) the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low, and (c) the development does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in other areas <u>to an unacceptable degree</u> ; and (d) interference with natural processes (coastal, fluvial and lacustrine processes) is minimised, and	Reject	Issue 4
	FS64/008	New Zealand Defence Force		Support in part	Allow		
S146/093		NZ Transport Agency	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Replace policy with: <u>Avoid, remedy or mitigate the environmental effects of natural hazards by ensuring that mitigation and protection methods reduce risk to existing and new development using the risk based approach.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S150/001		Fiona Jean Heron	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S150/002		Fiona Jean Heron	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S150/003		Fiona Jean Heron	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S150/004		Fiona Jean Heron	4.4 Natural form	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
			and function		coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.		
	/						
S150/005		Fiona Jean Heron	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S150/006		Fiona Jean Heron	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S150/009		Fiona Jean Heron	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S150/010		Fiona Jean Heron	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S150/011		Fiona Jean Heron	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S150/012		Fiona Jean Heron	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S152/013		Waa Rata Estate	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Change "wetlands" to "natural wetlands".	Accept	Issue 7
	/						
S153/004		Andrew James Alan McIntyre	Risk	Oppose in part	Re-define "risk" so that the definition complies with statute and with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S153/008		Andrew James Alan McIntyre	3. Objectives	Amend	Revise Chapter 3 to appropriately enable and address coastal hazard mitigation activities, including protection works.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S153/009		Andrew James Alan McIntyre	Policy P3: Precautionary approach	Amend	Make it clear that the precautionary principle does not apply to risk assessment.	Reject	Issue 8
	/						
S158/001		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S158/002		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S158/003		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S158/004		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S158/005		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S158/006		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S158/009		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S158/010		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S158/011		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S158/012		Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S162/003		Mt Victoria Residents Association Inc	Entire Plan	Not stated	The Proposed Plan include rules and methods covering climate change causes and transport-related matters. They can be repeated and cross-referenced from the Regional Land Transport Plan if necessary.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S163/053		Porirua City Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Support	[Retain]	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S163/054		Porirua City Council	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Support in part	[Not stated; supports minimisation of adverse effects on natural features that buffer development from natural hazards.]	Reject	Issue 7
	FS96/075	Queen Elizabeth II National Trust		Support	Allow		
S163/150		Porirua City Council	Method M3: Wellington regional hazards management strategy	Support	[Retain]	Reject	Issue 9
	/						
S163/151		Porirua City Council	Method M4: Sea level rise	Support	Change "International Panel of Climate Change" to read "Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change"	Accept	Issue 10
	/						
S166/001		Bob Stewart Family Trust	Entire Plan	Oppose	Revise all provisions of the PNRP in order to appropriately address and enable coastal and river/stream hazard mitigation measures, particularly in relation to areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S166/002		Bob Stewart Family Trust	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise all provisions of the PNRP to particularly enable coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection) in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S166/003		Bob Stewart Family Trust	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S166/004		Bob Stewart Family Trust	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that recognise the importance and benefits of coastal hazard mitigation activities (including protection), especially in areas of significant existing development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S166/005		Bob Stewart Family Trust	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Include objectives that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S166/006		Bob Stewart Family Trust	4.4 Natural form and function	Amend	Include policies that, if a resource consent is required for hazard mitigation activities, support that consent being obtained, and remove provisions that would hinder consent being obtained.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S166/009		Bob Stewart Family Trust	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Revise the PNRP to clarify that, in contrast to risk management, hazard identification/risk assessment is an objective process and that any scientific or expert reports are to be scientific and objective (not policy-based or precautionary) and report not only likely estimates but also their uncertainties, to enable submitters to participate in an informed way and to enable decision-makers to make informed decisions.	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S166/010		Bob Stewart Family Trust	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA 1991, NZCPS and RPS.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S166/011		Bob Stewart Family Trust	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Amend	Undertake appropriate s32 evaluations and prepare revised s32 reports, having proper regard to s32 matters, including in relation to the implications of the PNRP for coastal hazard mitigation (including protection) activities. Have regard to those revised reports.	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S166/012		Bob Stewart Family Trust	Entire Plan	Amend	The decisions sought in the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc. [S93] are supported and adopted in addition to, and as they may overlap with, this submission.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S167/007		Sustainable Wairarapa Inc	3.4 Natural character, form and function	Not stated	While GWRC has a separate climate change Strategy how has climate change been incorporated in the environmental management outcomes of the NRP?	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S167/015		Sustainable Wairarapa Inc	3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai	Not stated	Does the plan ensure that rivers are sustainably managed by flood protection and river management activities?	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S175/001		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Entire Plan	Oppose in part	Ensure that the provisions of the PNRP comply with the RMA, undertake appropriate s32 evaluations, and revise the PNRP to address the concerns expressed in the submission [see original submission pages 1-3].	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S175/002		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Entire Plan	Amend	Revise the PNRP to deal with the concerns related to risk. [See original submission S175 p9 for details].	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S175/011		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Hazard management strategy	Amend	Clarify the reference to "appropriately qualified agency" and revise the definition to address the concerns [See original submission p12 for details].	Reject	Issue 11
	/						
S175/012		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	High hazard areas (also known as areas at high risk from natural hazards)	Amend	Retain.	Reject	Issue 4
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S175/013		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Entire Plan	Amend	Ensure that the provisions in the PNRP (objectives, policies, rules, etc) are appropriate not only for the areas currently defined but for areas that might be added in the future.	Reject	Issue 1
	/						
S175/014		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Risk	Amend	Reconsider the definition of "risk" to address the issues raised throughout this submission [See original submission S175, p15].	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S175/015		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Risk-based approach (natural hazards)	Amend	Revise the definition and/or include new definitions to address the concerns expressed. [See original submission S175 p14-15].	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S175/016		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	3. Objectives	Oppose in part	Develop and include new objectives to address the concerns expressed [See original submission p16 for details].	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S175/018		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Amend	[See submission point S175/017].	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S175/019		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Retain the objective and, in particular, the term "acceptable". Add at the end of the objective "to those who need to bear them". [See also submission point S175/017]	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S175/020		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Delete Objective O22 or revise Objective O22 to address the concerns expressed [See original submission S175 p17-18 for details].	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S175/028		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	4. Policies	Oppose in part	Revise Chapter 4, and develop and include policies to address the concerns expressed [See original submission S175 p20-21 for details].	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S175/029		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Policy P3: Precautionary approach	Oppose in part	Include words along the lines of: "where uncertainty is material to the management of significant risks of use and development"; limit the policy to the coastal environment; include wording to acknowledge that being too precautionary is just as inappropriate as not being precautionary enough; and make it clear that precaution does not apply to hazard identification/risk assessment. [See original submission S175 p21 for details].	Reject	Issue 8
	/						
S175/039		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Oppose in part	Revise this policy to address the concerns expressed and/or create a new policy to deal appropriately with hazard mitigation measures.	Accept in part	Issue 4
	/						
S175/040		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose in part	Revise the policy to make it less black and white and extreme and to address the concerns expressed.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S175/041		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Policy P29: Climate change	Oppose in part	Revise policy to give effect to proper interpretation of the NZCPS. Replace "the potential for" with "the likelihood of" and make corresponding wording changes. Consider whether (d) should be revised to refer to "relative" sea level rise. Replace "guidance" in (d) with "evidence" and refer to "for the local area within the Wellington Region". Include reference to the need to consider uncertainties and the range of likely outcomes. Revise policy so it deals appropriately with the range of issues raised in submission S175.	Accept in part	Issue 6
	/						
S175/053		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	5. Rules	Oppose in part	Revise Chapter 5 to address the concerns expressed, which could include revising/adding individual rules or creating a new section dealing with coastal hazard mitigation (including	Reject	Issue 1

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					protection).		
	/						
S175/077		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Method M3: Wellington regional hazards management strategy	Amend	Revise the method to address the concerns expressed in relation to Methods M3 and M4. When expanding on the intention, refer to a "collaborative process" and "local communities or stakeholders, including affected property owners". [See also submission point S175/078].	Reject	Issue 9
	/						
S175/078		Joan Allin and Rob Crozier	Method M4: Sea level rise	Amend	Revise Method M4 to say "will work in partnership with city and district councils and stakeholders, including affected property owners to develop..." or something along those lines. Add reference to using appropriate statistical input, information on the uncertainties, and the range of likely sea level rise outcomes over what likely timeframes. Add that the purpose is to enable a "consistent, robust and high-quality approach..." Add reference to draft guidance being provided for public comment. Revise the method to address the concerns expressed in [original submission S175 p53-55 and Appendix p55-p89].	Reject	Issue 10
	/						
S279/031		Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Amend	Retain and amended the objective to clearly state what 'acceptable' means	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S279/032		Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc	Objective O21: High hazard areas	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S279/033		Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S282/012		Wellington International Airport Limited	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 3

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S282/013		Wellington International Airport Limited	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support in part	Amend Objective O21 as follows: Inappropriate use and development in high hazard areas is avoided, <u>and where avoidance is impracticable or for reasons of functional need or operational requirements, the effects of use and development in high hazard areas should be remedied or mitigated.</u>	Reject	Issue 4
	FS15/003	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Limited		Support	Allow		
S282/014		Wellington International Airport Limited	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support in part	Amend Objective O22 as follows: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods are only used as a last practicable option <u>to protect against natural hazards.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S282/035		Wellington International Airport Limited	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend Policy P27 as follows: Inappropriate use and development, including hazard mitigation methods, in high hazard areas shall be avoided, remedied or mitigated except where: ...b) <u>mitigation or remediation measures can appropriately manage the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low, and</u> c) the development does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in other areas, and d) interference with <u>adverse effects on natural processes (coastal, fluvial and lacustrine processes) is minimised</u> are avoided, remedied or mitigated, and...	Accept in part	Issue 4
	FS49/110	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support	Allow		
S282/036		Wellington International Airport Limited	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	Amend Policy P28 as follows: Hard <u>hazard</u> engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except:a. where it is necessary to protect	Accept	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					existing development from unacceptable risk, assessed using the risk-based approach; and, b. the development demonstrates a functional need or operational requirement to locate in a particular location; and, i. the works either form part of a hazard management strategy; or, ii. the any environmental effects <u>that</u> are considered to be no more than minor are remedied or mitigated.		
	/						
S285/034		Land Matters Ltd	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S286/004		Wellington City Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend the Plan to provide better policy integration across issues such as heritage, seawalls, infrastructure provision, and the effects of climate change.	Reject	Issue 6
	FS59/035	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S286/008		Wellington City Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend Policy 29 to provide stronger wording for the recognition of the potential for climate change to exacerbate natural hazards.	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S286/009		Wellington City Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Amend the Plan to make climate change adaptation and resilience an important consideration in all parts of the policy framework.	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S286/010		Wellington City Council	Policy P29: Climate change	Amend	Clarify how the policy approach to seawalls and heritage structures will be considered when the primary purpose of undertaking an activity is to improve WCC's resilience to the effects of climate change. For example in some cases	Reject	Issue 6

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					removing existing seawalls and relying on developing a dune system may be the best defence approach, where in others repairing or constructing new seawalls may be appropriate. The policy should be flexible and support the ability of territorial authorities to make optimal decisions based on their merits.		
	FS59/034	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S286/017		Wellington City Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Reconsider the definition of the high hazard areas so that it is based on an appropriate assessment of actual hazard; exclude small waterways which are not high risk. Clarify the circumstances in which a risk assessment is required with a resource consent application. Ensure that where a risk assessment is required the scope of any assessment is commensurate to the scale of the proposed activity.	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/107	CentrePort Properties Limited		Support in part	Allow in part		
S286/018		Wellington City Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	Amend Policy 28 or include a new policy that recognises the benefits of hard engineering in protecting infrastructure and development.	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S294/034		Bell Camp Trust	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy P30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, <u>if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.</u>	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S295/034		The Carter Family	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, <u>if that</u>	Reject	Issue 7

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.		
	FS1/076	Waa Rata Estate		Support	Allow		
S297/034		Kennott Family Trust	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such asbeaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shallbe minimised, if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S299/034		Julian and Ruth Blackett	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such asbeaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shallbe minimised, if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S300/004		Kahungunu ki Wairarapa	6. Methods	Amend	Greater consideration to blue and green infrastructure, natural water and natural plan infrastructure. New methods needed to enable these and to respond to climate change	Reject	Issue 6
	FS54/002	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		Support	Allow		
	FS74/002	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Support	Allow		
S308/020		Fish and Game	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Amend	Amend section 3.4 and objectives O17, O19, and O22 [see submission point S308/018 for detailed amendments requested].	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S349/034		USNZ	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.	Reject	Issue 7
	/						

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S351/034		Tim Mansell and family	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, <u>if that use of development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer.</u>	Reject	Issue 7
	/						
S352/030		Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Hazard management strategy	Amend	Hazard Risk management strategy - A coherent, integrated framework for the management of <u>risks a hazard</u> , normally developed by a local authority or appropriately qualified agency, and including some or all of the following elements; hazard and risk identification...	Accept	Issue 11
	/						
S352/071		Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S352/072		Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Oppose	Delete	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S352/136		Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Amend	amend as follows or to similar effect: hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except <u>may be appropriate</u> where it is necessary to protect existing development from unacceptable risk, assessed using the risk-based approach <u>and cost/benefit assessment of options, and the works either form part of a hazard management strategy or the environmental effects are considered to be no more than minor</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS89/072	Fish and Game		Oppose	Disallow		
S352/137		Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised.	Reject	Issue 7
	FS74/055	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Oppose	Disallow		
	FS89/073	Fish and Game		Oppose	Disallow		

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
S353/008		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	2.2 Definitions	Not stated	Include a definition of climate change: A change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods.	Reject	Issue 6
	FS74/157	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Support	Allow		
S353/021		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Oppose	Replace with "The adverse effects from natural hazards and climate change on people, the community, ecological values and infrastructure are anticipated and provided for in a proactive and environmentally sensitive manner."	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S353/022		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Objective O21:High hazard areas	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 4
	/						
S353/023		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Objective O22: Hard engineering	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 5
	/						
S353/051		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	3.13 Coastal management	Not stated	New objective inserted: Soft engineering solutions to coastal hazards are preferred over hard engineering solutions.	Reject	Issue 5
	FS74/164	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc		Support	Allow		
S353/068		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Policy P29: Climate change	Support in part	Rename P29 Effects of climate change Add a new policy Managing the Effects of climate change The effects of climate changes are addressed in a proactive way by: (a) retaining existing indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment;(b) using environmentally sensitive options when addressing the effects of climate change, including soft engineering options.	Accept in part	Issue 6
	FS61/030	Minister of Conservation		Support in part	Allow		
S353/069		Royal Forest and Bird Protection	Policy P30:	Support	Retain	Reject	Issue 7

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
		Society	Natural buffers				
	/						
S364/005		Terra Moana	Policy P29: Climate change	Not stated	[Not stated]	Reject	Issue 6
	/						
S364/006		Terra Moana	Method M4: Sea level rise	Not stated	[Not stated]	Reject	Issue 10
	/						
S366/043		South Wairarapa District Council	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Oppose	Replace the words "are acceptable" with "identified, and where necessary, appropriately managed".	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S366/076		South Wairarapa District Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend Policy P27(a) to read: they have a functional need <u>or historical need</u> , or operational requirement or there is no practicable alternative to be so located, and Amend Policy P27(b) to read: the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is <u>acceptable low</u> , and Amend Policy P27(c) to read: the development, <u>unless unavoidable, and as far as is practicable in the specific circumstances</u> , does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in other areas, and	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/109	CentrePort Properties Limited		Oppose	Disallow		
S366/077		South Wairarapa District Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Amend Policy P28 to read: Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except where it is necessary to protect existing <u>and planned for</u> development from unacceptable risk, assessed using the risk-based approach, and the works either form part of a hazard management strategy; <u>or the works are required to protect the safe and efficient operation of Regionally Significant Infrastructure; or the environmental effects are considered to be acceptable, taking into account the assessment of risk no more than minor.</u>	Reject	Issue 5

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
	/						
S367/043		Masterton District Council	Objective O20: Risk from natural hazards	Oppose	Replace the words "are acceptable" with "identified, and where necessary, appropriately managed".	Reject	Issue 3
	/						
S367/076		Masterton District Council	Policy P27: High hazard areas	Amend	Amend Policy P27(a) to read: they have a functional need <u>or historical need</u> , or operational requirement or there is no practicable alternative to be so located, and Amend Policy P27(b) to read; the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is <u>acceptable low</u> , and Amend Policy P27(c) to read: the development, <u>unless unavoidable, and as far as is practicable in the specific circumstances</u> , does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in other areas, and	Reject	Issue 4
	FS49/108	CentrePort Properties Limited		Oppose	Disallow		
S367/077		Masterton District Council	Policy P28: Hazard mitigation measures	Oppose	Amend Policy P28 to read: Hard engineering Hard engineering mitigation and protection methods shall be avoided except where it is necessary to protect existing <u>and planned for</u> development from unacceptable risk, assessed using the risk-based approach, and the works either form part of a hazard management strategy; <u>or the works are required to protect the safe and efficient operation of Regionally Significant Infrastructure; or the environmental effects are considered to be acceptable, taking into account the assessment of risk no more than minor.</u>	Reject	Issue 5
	FS59/033	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council		Support	Allow		
S370/034		Mahaki Holdings Ltd	Policy P30: Natural buffers	Amend	Amend Policy 30: The adverse effects of use and development on natural features such as beaches, dunes or wetlands that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimised, <u>if that</u>	Reject	Issue 7

Submission point	Further submission point*	Submitter	Provision	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Officer recommendation	Officer comment
					use of development reduces the ability of that feature to <u>operate as a buffer.</u>		
	/						