

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
at Wellington
I Mua i Te Kōti Taiau o Aotearoa
Te Whanganui a Tara Rohe

ENV-2019- WLG-000116

under: the Resource Management Act 1991

in the matter of: an application under section 274 of the Act

between: **Porirua City Council**
Appellant

and: **Wellington Regional Council**
Respondent

Notice of the New Zealand Transport Agency's wish to be party to
proceeding

Dated: 9 October 2019

REFERENCE: Paula Brosnahan (Paula.Brosnahan@chapmantripp.com)

Rebecca Tompkins (Rebecca.Tompkins@chapmantripp.com)

Chapman Tripp
T: +64 9 357 9000
F: +64 9 357 9099

23 Albert Street
PO Box 2206, Auckland 1140
New Zealand

www.chapmantripp.com
Auckland, Wellington,
Christchurch



Form 33**NOTICE OF THE NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To The Registrar
Environment Court
Wellington

1 The New Zealand Transport Agency (*Transport Agency*) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Porirua City Council (*Appellant*) on the decision of an independent hearing panel on behalf of the Wellington Regional Council (*Council*) on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (*Proposed Plan*) (*Decision*).

The Transport Agency's interest in these proceedings

2 The Transport Agency made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.

3 The Transport Agency is a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest of the general public. Under the Land Transport Management Act 2003, the Transport Agency must undertake its functions in a way that contributes to an effective, efficient and safe land transport system in the public interest.

4 The Transport Agency is not a trade competitor for the purpose of section 308C of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*RMA*).

5 The Transport Agency is interested in parts of the proceedings that may affect its ability to construct, operate, maintain and upgrade its infrastructure, including in particular the following parts of the proceedings:

5.1 Policy 12;

5.2 Policy 24;

5.3 Policy 34;

5.4 Policy 30;

5.5 Policy 39;

5.6 Policy 40;

5.7 Policy 41A;

5.8 Policy 48;

- 5.9 Amendments to give effect to Policy 102;
- 5.10 Rule 100;
- 5.11 New Rule after Rule 116; and
- 5.12 New Rule after Rule 167.

Relief supported by the Transport Agency

- 6 The Transport Agency supports the relief sought in relation to the provisions outlined above in principle because it:
 - 6.1 Promotes the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
 - 6.2 Promotes the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
 - 6.3 Results in the most appropriate plan provisions in terms of section 32 of the RMA;
 - 6.4 Implements the Council's functions under section 30 of the RMA;
 - 6.5 Gives effect to higher order planning documents under section 67(3) of the RMA; and
 - 6.6 Is consistent with good resource management practice.
- 7 Without limiting the generality of the reasons outlined above, the specific reasons for the Transport Agency's support of the relief sought include:
 - 7.1 The Proposed Plan is required to give effect to the Wellington Regional Policy Statement (*RPS*). To give effect to Objective 10 and Policy 7 of the RPS, the Proposed Plan should "provide for" Regionally Significant Infrastructure. The RPS requires that "the social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of regionally significant infrastructure are recognised and protected";
 - 7.2 The Proposed Plan must provide a policy framework that recognises and provides for new and upgraded Regionally Significant Infrastructure in all environments where there is a functional need or operational requirement, including the presence of existing infrastructure;
 - 7.3 The Proposed Plan must provide a platform whereby a case-by-case evaluation of the benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure can be undertaken, balanced against its adverse effects and competing environmental policies whilst

taking into account the existing environment and the technical and operational requirements of the state highway network;

- 7.4 The use of absolute terms such as "avoid" and unclear terms such as "minimise" in the objectives and policies framework of the Proposed Plan is inconsistent with effects based planning, especially in the context of linear Regionally Significant Infrastructure; and
- 7.5 Non-complying activity status is not an appropriate method for managing the effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.

Relief sought

- 8 The Transport Agency supports the relief sought by the Appellant in principle as it strengthens the provisions relating to Regionally Significant Infrastructure, giving effect to the higher order planning documents.

Mediation

- 9 The Transport Agency agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of New Zealand Transport Agency by its solicitors and authorised agents Chapman Tripp



Paula Brosnahan / Rebecca Tompkins
Partner / Senior Associate

9 October 2019

Address for service of person:

New Zealand Transport Agency
c/- Rebecca Tompkins / Charlotte Aspin
Chapman Tripp
Level 38
23 Albert Street
PO Box 2206
Auckland 1140
Email address: Rebecca.Tompkins@chapmantripp.com /
charlotte.aspin@chapmantripp.com

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch